

Natural Gas Outlook To 2020

The U.S. Natural Gas Market — Outlook and Options for the Future

February 2005

American Gas Foundation

400 North Capitol St., NW Washington, DC 20001 202-824-7270 www.gasfoundation.org

Natural Gas Outlook To 2020

The U.S. Natural Gas Market — Outlook and Options for the Future

February 2005

Prepared for the American Gas Foundation by:

Paul Wilkinson and Chris McGill American Gas Association

and

Kevin Petak and Bruce Henning Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

Copyright © 2005 by the American Gas Foundation

DISCLAIMER

Legal Notice: This report was prepared for the American Gas Foundation by AGA and EEA. It is primarily based on the Gas Market Data and Forecasting System model owned and operated by EEA. The specific scenarios examined in this report were based on assumptions developed by AGA with oversight and guidance by EEA. The interpretation and presentation of the report was done by AGA with the oversight of EEA. Neither the American Gas Foundation, AGA, EEA nor any person acting on their behalf:

- a. Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately-owned rights,
- b. Assumes any liability, with respect to the use of, damages resulting from the use of, any information, method, or process disclosed in this report, or
- c. Recommends or endorses any of the conclusions, methods or processes analyzed herein. Use of this publication is voluntary and should be taken after an independent review of the applicable facts and circumstances.

American Gas Foundation

Founded in 1989, the American Gas Foundation is a 501(c)(3) organization that focuses on being an independent source of information research and programs on energy and environmental issues that affect public policy, with a particular emphasis on natural gas. For more information, please visit the website at <u>www.gasfoundation.org</u> or contact Gary Gardner, Executive Director, at 202.824-7270 or <u>ggardner@gasfoundation.org</u>.

American Gas Association

The American Gas Association represents 192 local energy utility companies that deliver natural gas to more than 53 million homes, businesses and industries throughout the United States. AGA member companies account for roughly 83 percent of all natural gas delivered by the nation's local natural gas distribution companies. AGA is an advocate for local natural gas utility companies and provides a broad range of programs and services for member natural gas pipelines, marketers, gatherers, international gas companies and industry associates.

Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

Energy and Environmental Analysis (EEA), located in metropolitan Washington, D.C., is a nationally recognized consulting firm offering technical, analytical and management consulting services to a diverse clientele. Founded in 1974 to perform economic, engineering and policy analysis in the energy and environmental fields, EEA has exhibited leadership and innovation in investigating energy and environmental issues.

FOREWORD

Natural gas is a clean, efficient, safe and reliable source of energy that provides one-fourth of the total energy consumed in the U.S. Further, most natural gas consumed in the United States is derived primarily from North American sources. For these reasons natural gas is highly valued by residential, commercial and industrial customers as well as by electricity generators. Also for these reasons, policy makers have generally promoted the use of natural gas. However, natural gas markets have been strained since late in the year 2000 with both tight supplies and higher and more volatile prices than was the case historically. Questions have arisen with respect to the likely role that natural gas will play in terms of meeting future U.S. energy needs and in terms of the outlook for future supply sources and prices of natural gas. Further, what types of actions and energy policies may result in either an improvement or deterioration in the outlook for natural gas? The purpose of this document is to address these questions.

This report analyzes the outlook for natural gas under three alternative policy scenarios. Under none of these scenarios does the natural gas market return to the conditions that prevailed in most of the 1980s and 1990s – surplus supply and relatively low, stable prices. However, it is clear that a number of critical issues currently face both public policy makers and private industry decision makers that will have significant impacts on the availability and price of natural gas for decades to come. Failure to act swiftly, decisively and positively on issues such as the constructing of liquefied natural gas receiving terminals and an Alaskan gas pipeline, diversifying our electricity generating mix and increasing access to domestic supplies of natural gas would prolong and exacerbate problems affecting natural gas markets and all consumers of natural gas.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Executive Summary	1
II.	Scenarios and Implications	4
III.	Natural Gas Demand Overview	14
IV.	Electricity Generators Will Drive Overall Natural Gas Demand	16
V.	Industrial Natural Gas Demand Growth will Lag Significantly Behind Growth in the Other Key Demand Sectors	20
VI.	Modest Growth and Stiff Competition are Anticipated for Residential Natural Gas Demand	25
VII.	Commercial Sector Natural Gas Demand Growth Will Mirror the Residential Sector – Steady but Unspectacular	30
VIII.	Natural Gas Supply Overview	
IX.	Lower-48 Sources will Account for a Declining Share of Our Total Natural Gas Supply	
X.	The Trend of Steadily Increasing Natural Gas Imports from Canada is Likely Over	41
XI.	Domestic Natural Gas Sources will Compete with Liquefied Natural Gas to Serve U.S. Markets	47
XII.	Alaskan Natural Gas Represents a Critical Uncertainty	53
XIII.	Natural Gas Trade with Mexico will Have a Limited Overall Impact on the U.S. Market	59
XIV.	Dramatic Movement is not Anticipated for Annual Natural Gas Prices – Upward or Downward	61
XV.	Appendices Appendix I-Expected Policies Scenario Appendix II-Expanded Policies Scenario Appendix III-Existing Policies Scenario	I-16 II-16 III-16

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The American Gas Foundation study "Natural Gas Outlook to 2020" (February 2005) analyzes the U.S. natural gas market to the year 2020 under three alternative public policy scenarios: "Expected", "Expanded", and "Existing". These scenarios, outlined below, were used to describe potential market conditions and to emphasize the key policy variables that will have an impact on markets through 2020. They were not constructed in an attempt to present the "best" and "worst" possible cases.

Expected

The moratoria on exploration & production in the eastern Gulf of Mexico and off the East and West Coasts continues and drilling in the Intermountain West remains partially restricted. Also assumes that an Alaskan natural gas pipeline is operational by 2014 and that liquefied natural gas (LNG) import capacity will be 18 billion cubic feet per day (Bcfd) by 2020. Natural gas fuels 40% of new electricity generation.

Expanded

Assumes a lifting of the drilling moratoria in the eastern Gulf of Mexico and off the East Coast, but not the West Coast. Under this scenario, access in the Intermountain West is less restricted but it is not unlimited. Also assumes the Alaskan pipeline will be operational by 2014 and LNG import capability is 23 Bcfd by 2020. New electricity generation capacity fueled by natural gas falls to 20% of the total fuel mix.

Existing

This "status quo" public policy scenario has the same exploration & production moratoria assumptions as in the Expected scenario. Also assumes that an Alaskan gas pipeline is NOT operational by 2020 and although the four currently operational LNG terminals are assumed to expand, no new terminals are completed. The LNG import capacity is 5.3 Bcfd by 2020. Natural gas fuels 40% of new electricity generation.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The results of the study point to the need for public policy makers and industry decision makers to immediately address critical issues that will have a significant impact on the availability and price of natural gas for decades to come. Under none of these scenarios does the natural gas market return to the conditions that prevailed in most of the 1980s and 1990s – surplus supply and relatively low, stable prices. Therefore, failure to act swiftly, decisively and positively on issues such as constructing liquefied natural gas receiving terminals and an Alaskan gas pipeline, diversifying our electricity generating mix and increasing access to domestic supplies of natural gas would prolong and exacerbate problems affecting natural gas markets and all consumers of natural gas.

Natural Gas Prices

Expected: Natural gas prices remain in the \$5 to \$6 per MMBtu range for most of the study period with a 2020 nominal forecast price of \$8.15.

Expanded: Prices average \$5.50 over the entire study period with a 2020 forecast price of \$5.47. *This price is 33% lower than the Expected scenario and results in a savings of roughly \$80 billion dollars for consumers in 2020.*

Existing: The supply constraints of this scenario push the 2020 nominal forecast price to \$13.76 with an average price of \$9.43 over the study period. Average natural gas prices are nearly 70% higher in 2020 under the Existing scenario. *This represents over \$120 billion dollars in additional natural gas costs to the U.S. consumer in 2020 versus the Expected scenario and \$200 billion versus the Expanded scenario.*

Natural Gas Supply

Expected: Natural gas supply will become more diverse, in contrast to the traditional 85% Lower-48 and 15% Canadian supply mix that we have come to expect. This scenario projects much greater supply diversity in the future, including major contributions in the form of Alaskan natural gas and LNG. Delays or denials of these sources will shift supply to more expensive marginal sources of domestic natural gas.

Expanded: Despite the measures incorporated under this scenario to increase access to gas supply in the U.S. and Canada, both Lower-48 production and Canadian imports are lower relative to the Expected scenario. The higher level of LNG imports under this scenario acts to reduce exploration for higher cost traditional sources of gas in a lower price environment.

Existing: The lower demand level in this scenario is met primarily by a greater dependence on traditional Lower-48 sources of gas and increased Canadian imports. No Alaskan gas is shipped to the Lower-48 and LNG imports do not reach 2 quads per year.

Natural Gas Demand

Expected: In spite of higher prices, annual natural gas consumption is projected to exceed 30 quads by 2020. This growth is attributable primarily to continued rising demand for gas to power electricity generation, while residential and commercial demand increase at a modest rate, just over 1% per year. Electricity generation accounts for two-thirds of the natural gas demand growth over the period.

Expanded: Total overall consumption is very similar to the Expected scenario, but industrial consumption is higher in response to lower prices while consumption for electricity generation is lower.

Existing: Higher prices in this scenario reduce consumption in total and for each of the consuming sectors. Consumption is somewhat lower in the residential and commercial sectors, but two quads lower for electricity generation and one quad lower for industrial customers.

Critical Study Implications

- The extreme prices of the Existing scenario are the result of not expanding the natural gas infrastructure much beyond that which is in place <u>today</u>. Operating under the Expected or Expanded scenario would require a significant increase in the natural gas infrastructure, including LNG terminals and the Alaskan gas pipeline.
- Increased unemployment, plant closings and the movement of industrial operations overseas have occurred over the past four years, in part in response to higher natural gas prices. The industrial sector will remain the most sensitive sector to tight supplies and high prices.
- Due to expectations of continued access restrictions and declining deliverability, both Lower-48 and Canadian sources will struggle to keep production stable at the current levels.
- Expectations for persistent tight market conditions are not a negative reflection on the natural gas resource base domestic or worldwide. Ample resources of natural gas exist to meet demand for generations to come. However, the U.S. industry is severely restricted in terms of exploring for, producing and delivering natural gas, and severe restrictions are likely to remain.
- The outlook for significant natural gas-fired demand growth by electricity generators is unlikely to be altered, particularly prior to 2015, due to increasing use of the vast number of gas units completed over the past five years and the difficulty in siting and constructing coal or nuclear generating units in less than 10 years.
- Natural gas demand will become less predictable as the relative share of total demand attributable to electricity generators increases, while the relative share attributable to the industrial sector declines.
- The lack of a contribution in the Existing scenario from new LNG operations and Alaskan gas
 most likely would occur as a result of environmental opposition to the siting and construction of
 new facilities. However, failure to construct these new facilities would result in a far greater
 reliance on Lower-48 sources of supply, increasing the need to drill in both onshore and offshore
 areas, which also will be subject to environmental concerns.

II. SCENARIOS AND IMPLICATIONS

This study analyzes U.S. natural gas markets through the year 2020 under three alternative scenarios. The focal point of the study is the "Expected Policies" scenario. This scenario assumes that U.S. energy policy decisions over the next 16 years are relatively consistent with those that are being made today or that have been made in the recent past. The "Expanded Policies" scenario employs a number of modifications to both natural gas supply- and demand-related assumptions that act to ease pressure in the gas market and that, by doing so, ultimately reduce the cost of gas to the consumer. The third scenario is the "Existing Policies" scenario. This scenario was constructed under the assumption that most projects to expand gas supply would be impeded, and that major modifications in terms of gas demand would not be undertaken.

These scenarios were not constructed in an attempt to present the "best" and "worst" possible cases. For example, it is possible that drilling restrictions in the Intermountain West could be even more severe than they are today, but that possibility was considered remote and it was not incorporated in the Existing Policies scenario. Conversely, it is possible that all offshore areas currently subject to a drilling moratorium could be opened, but the possibility of drilling off the West Coast is considered so remote that it was not included in the Expanded Policies scenario. Changes expected to have only a modest impact over the forecast period, such as changes to appliance efficiency standards, also were not included. (Some potential variables were considered but ruled out after preliminary model runs found their impacts to be minimal or inconclusive.) This study attempts to present plausible scenarios with an emphasis on the key policy variables that will have an impact on natural gas markets through 2020. Each of the scenarios is described below.

Expected Policies

Under the Expected Policies scenario it is assumed that domestic drilling opportunities will change little throughout the forecast. The moratoria on exploration and production in the eastern Gulf of Mexico and off the East and West Coasts will continue, and drilling activity in the Intermountain West will remain partially restricted. It is assumed that an Alaskan natural gas pipeline will be operational by 2014, and that liquefied natural gas (LNG) import capacity will be 18 billion cubic feet per day (Bcfd) by 2020. This LNG capability is based on an expansion of the four currently operational receiving terminals to 5.3 Bcfd and the construction of nearly 13 Bcfd of new capacity. In this case, LNG meets 22 percent of total gas demand in 2020. Electricity generating capacity under the Expected Policies scenario expands by roughly 150 gigawatts (GW), including 60 GW of gas-fired capacity, 50 GW of coal-fired capacity, and 40 GW of renewable capacity.

Expanded Policies

The Expanded Policies scenario assumes a lifting of the drilling moratoria in the eastern Gulf of Mexico and off the East Coast, but not the West Coast. Access in the Intermountain West is less restricted under this scenario than is currently the case, but it is not unlimited. The Alaskan pipeline assumption is the same as under the Expected Policies scenario – operational by 2014. LNG import capability is 23 Bcfd by 2020, 28 percent greater than under the Expected Policies

scenario. LNG under this scenario ultimately provides 28 percent of U.S. gas supply. Natural gas-based electricity generating capacity increases by 30 GW under this scenario, half as much as under the Expected Policies scenario. Coal, nuclear and renewable capacity are each roughly 10 GW greater in this case – the nuclear increment results primarily from a greater utilization of existing plants, although 2.5 GW of new nuclear capability is also added.

Existing Policies

Under the Existing Policies scenario no changes were assumed with respect to the in-place offshore moratoria, nor were any changes assumed with respect to access in the Intermountain West. It was assumed that an Alaskan gas pipeline would not be operational by 2020, and although the four currently operational LNG terminals were assumed to expand, no new terminals would be completed. The LNG capacity of 5.3 Bcfd could supply roughly 7 percent of this case's (lower) total demand in 2020. The electricity generating addition assumptions are the same as in the Expected Policies scenario, including 60 GW of new gas-fired capacity.

Common Assumptions

A number of key variables were assumed to be the same in all three cases, including:

Annual GDP growth rate: 2.8% per year

Industrial production growth rate: 2.3% per year after 2003 Coal prices: increase at 1% per year Oil prices: RACC declines to \$28/bbl by 2010, and increases 2% annually thereafter Electricity generating capacity additions: short-term additions based on existing development plans, long-term assumes 1.9% annual growth rate in electricity output Capacity utilization of coal generating units: 70% today, 73% in 2010, and 78% in 2020 Nuclear generation: capacity utilization continues at current level, no major retirements

A. EXPECTED POLICIES SCENARIO

In spite of a higher price environment, annual natural gas consumption is projected to exceed 30 quads by 2020. Total U.S. consumption of natural gas is projected to increase nearly 40 percent by 2020, from 22.1 quads in 2003 to 30.5 quads in 2020. (See Exhibit II-1.) This growth is attributable primarily to continued rising demand for gas to power electricity generation, while residential and commercial demand will increase at a modest rate, just over 1 percent per year. Gas consumption by electricity generators is projected to increase by 6.0 quads by 2020 as compared with growth of 1.1 quads and 0.7 quads for residential and commercial customers, respectively. Industrial gas consumption is expected to rebound, after falling sharply between 2000 and 2003. The increase in industrial consumption from 7.4 quads in 2003 to 7.7 quads in 2020, however, is relatively modest.

Natural gas supply will become more diverse, in contrast to the traditional 85 percent Lower-48 and 15 percent Canadian supply mix that we have come to expect. The projected supply in 2020 is 61 percent Lower-48, 22 percent LNG, 9 percent Alaskan and 8 percent Canadian. Lower-48 gas will remain the primary source of supply, but even with higher prices production will struggle to stay between 18 and 19 quads per year. Canadian production will be similarly affected, and Canadian gas also will be used to satisfy growing Canadian demand, resulting in a decrease in exports to the U.S. from 3.3 quads in 2003 to 2.3 quads in 2020. LNG will be the

Exhibit II - 1

COMPARISON OF LONG-TERM SCENARIOS 2003 - 2020

		F	ORECAST - 20	20
CONSUMPTION (TBtu)				
	Actual	Expected	Expanded	Existing
	<u>2003</u>	Policies	Policies	Policies
Res	5,188	6,326	6,417	6,076
Com	3,287	3,944	4,074	3,610
Ind	7,412	7,739	8,268	6,718
EG ¹	4,230	10,203	9,526	8,226
Pipe ²	777	993	976	930
<u>L&P ³</u>	<u>1,247</u>	<u>1,254</u>	<u>1,211</u>	<u>1,336</u>
Total	22,141	30,459	30,472	26,896
SUPPLY (TBtu)				
L-48	18,655	18,966	18,325	20,671
Alaska ⁴	362	2,724	2,700	406
Canada	3,300	2,326	1,266	3,944
Mexico	-350	-177	-177	-177
LNG	478	6,835	8,569	1,931
Total	22,445	30,674	30,683	26,775
PRICE (\$/MMBtu, Henry Hu	ıb)			
Nominal	\$ 5.49	\$8.15	\$5.47	\$13.76
Nom. Avg (2004-2020)		\$6.72	\$5.50	\$9.43

¹ Electricity generation.
 ² Pipeline compressor fuel.
 ³ Lease and plant fuel.
 ⁴ Includes gas consumed in Alaska and the Lower-48, but excludes LNG exports.

relief valve, increasing nearly 15-fold by 2020. LNG is projected to supply 2.9 quads to U.S markets by 2010 and 6.8 quads by 2020.

Natural gas prices are expected to remain in the \$5 to \$6 range for most of the forecast period, falling modestly in the 2010 to 2015 timeframe as new sources of LNG and Alaskan gas enter the market, but rising again between 2015 and 2020 as Lower-48 production is strained. (See Exhibit II-2.) Appreciably lower gas prices over the next several years – or prior to the construction of one or two new LNG terminals – is not considered likely under any of the scenarios examined. Although LNG would be competitive at significantly lower prices, lower prices would render some Lower-48 production uneconomic. It should be noted that, when adjusted for inflation, real natural gas prices actually fall – from \$5.49 per MMBtu in 2003 to an average of \$4.93 from 2011 through 2020 (\$2003 per MMBtu at the Henry Hub).

Some of the key findings of the Expected Policies scenario are highlighted below.

Electricity generation will account for two-thirds of the natural gas demand growth over the forecast period. Gas consumption for electricity generation is projected to increase from 4.2 quads in 2003 to 6.8 quads in 2010 and to 10.2 quads in 2020. Sales of electricity are projected to increase by over 38 percent over the forecast period and gas is expected to account for 26 percent of the electricity generated in 2020 versus 15 percent today. Gas is not expected to capture as much of the new generation market in the future – down to 40 percent of the new market as opposed to the more than 90 percent it has realized in recent years. However, much of the generating capacity required in 2020 is already on line or under construction. There are 410 gigawatts of gas-fired capacity on line today with a projected total of 466 gigawatts by 2020.



Environmental issues and lengthy lead times will limit the contribution of new coal-fired capacity prior to 2015, and the contribution of coal to the overall generation mix is projected to decline modestly, from 55 percent today to 50 percent in 2020. Despite a five-fold increase projected for renewable sources of electricity (solar, wind and biomass) over the forecast period, these sources will account for only 1 percent of the electricity generated in 2020. No new nuclear or hydroelectric capacity is anticipated.

Industrial natural gas demand will rebound and grow, but growth will be sluggish – at about one-third the rate experienced in the 1990s. Natural gas consumption by the industrial sector was 7.4 quads in 2003, about 15 percent below the 2000 consumption level. Higher prices over the past three years are in part responsible for this load loss, particularly in feedstock applications (ammonia, methanol and hydrogen). A further decline is projected in the gas feedstock industries, but this decline is expected to be offset by increases in boiler and "other" applications as the economy expands. A growth rate of 0.3 percent annually is projected for the industrial sector in total, with an overall consumption of 7.7 quads in 2020. Higher gas consumption growth rates are forecast for the stone-clay-glass, chemical and food industries – 1.0, 0.6 and 0.4 percent annually, respectively. Declines of 1.0 percent and 0.5 percent annually are projected for the steel and paper industries, in addition to an annual decline rate of 0.6 percent for petroleum refining. Growth is expected to be stronger in those industries that are less susceptible to foreign competition and in industries that benefit significantly from market proximity, such as the food and building products industries.

Modest growth is projected for the residential and commercial sectors, with continued efficiency improvements partially offsetting new customer hookups. Residential natural gas consumption is projected to increase from 5.2 quads in 2003 to 6.3 quads in 2020. The trend in declining gas usage per residential customer, attributable primarily to tighter homes and more efficient appliances, is expected to continue but at a rate roughly half that experienced from 1980 through 2001. An annual growth rate in residential consumption of 1.2 percent nationally is projected, but rates near or above 2 percent are projected for parts of the West and Northwest. Conversely, rates near or below 1 percent annually are projected in most of the Northeast, Middle Atlantic and Midwestern states. Competition for market share relative to electricity is expected to be intense, particularly in the warmer South Atlantic states.

The projected growth rate for commercial gas consumption (1.1 percent per year) is almost identical to that projected for the residential sector. Increasing consumption in the commercial sector faces the same obstacle as in the residential market. The average consumption per commercial customer fell by 18 percent from 1979 through 1999, limiting growth in commercial customers.

Lower-48 production will remain the largest component of U.S. gas supply, but producers will struggle to keep production between 18 and 19 quads per year. Projected Lower-48 production shows little movement in this outlook, staying mostly within a range of 18 to 19 quads per year. The percentage of the total supply mix accounted for by the Lower-48 therefore declines from 83 percent in 2003 to 61 percent in 2020. Although this scenario does not project significant growth in Lower-48 production, it does not forecast a dramatic decline either, as some analysts suggest.

Despite the fact that the U.S. is in the midst of a drilling boom, with 20,000 wells being completed annually, the supply response to this drilling has been modest, at best. In fact, discoveries per well drilled have flattened or decreased in recent years. A dramatic reversal in this trend is not anticipated. Relatively high gas prices have pushed producers toward marginal wells where gas is known to exist. These wells offer low risk and rapid potential depletion, but less volume recovered per well. Additionally, unconventional gas sources, including tight gas sands, coalbed methane and gas shales have become a significant portion of our total supply – almost 30 percent of the total. These sources are attractive in that they tend to produce for 10, 20 or even 30 years. However, unconventional resources usually come from low permeability reservoirs that require a relatively high number of modest production wells.

In this scenario domestic production is expected to remain constrained, both onshore and offshore, by access restrictions. These restrictions, including off the East and West Coasts, in the eastern Gulf of Mexico and the Intermountain West, affect areas believed to have significant production potential. There is no way to precisely quantify the size or quality of gas reserves to be found in restricted areas without exploratory drilling. Thus most analyses, including this one, may actually understate the actual gas resource.



Canadian imports are expected to fall from 3.3 Quads annually to 2.3 Quads in 2020.

Canadian imports provided 15 percent of total U.S. supply in 2003, but a decline to 8 percent of the total is projected by 2020. This decline is, in part, attributable to increasing gas demand within Canada. Canadian demand projections reflect normal economic and population growth, but they also reflect a significant increase in the use of gas to fuel electricity generation, to

combat various forms of pollution and to enhance the production of oil from huge Canadian tar sands deposits.

On the supply side, Canadian producers are facing many of the same problems as U.S. producers. Virtually all Canadian gas production occurs in the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin, which is reaching maturity. Canadian governmental estimates forecast overall production declines beginning as early as 2005. Efforts have begun to migrate production northward and eastward from traditional producing areas.

A potential offset to pending declines in production from traditional sources is production of coalbed methane (CBM). The Canadian CBM resource is vast, with estimates ranging from 500 Tcf to 2,700 Tcf. However, much of the CBM resource in Canada is unlike that in the U.S. and recovery will be difficult without significant technological advances. It is assumed in this analysis that 95 Tcf of the CBM resource in Canada is technically recoverable.



LNG imports of 6.8 quads are projected in 2020, accounting for 22 percent of the total U.S. gas supply. A significant and rapid expansion in U.S. LNG imports is anticipated in this outlook. Imports increase from 0.5 quads in 2003 to 2.9 quads in 2010, to 5.3 quads in 2015 and to 6.8 quads in 2020. Expansions at the existing receiving terminals are assumed, as is the completion of new terminals as early as 2008 when imports account for over 7 percent of the total U.S. supply.

Approximately 93 percent of the world's natural gas resource base is outside of North America, and much of this gas is stranded and seeking a market. The U.S. is one of the most attractive markets for this gas. Additionally, LNG can be economically landed in the U.S. at a price in the \$3.50 to \$4.50 range – today and in the foreseeable future. The Expected Policies scenario assumes that LNG imports will, and in fact must, grow significantly in the very near future.

Huge quantities of gas at the North Slope are only the tip of the iceberg in terms of Alaska's total natural gas resource, but little progress in developing a transportation system for Alaskan gas has been made over the past 30 years. The total gas resource potential in Alaska, including the North Slope, Cook Inlet, coal seams and other onshore and offshore areas, is estimated at 251 Tcf. There is no doubt about the size of the Alaskan gas resource, yet this resource will remain stranded until an overland or LNG transportation system is put in place. Little progress has been made on a transportation option over the past three decades, primarily because of the scope of the project. For example, the estimate for the Alaskan natural gas markets and prospects for significant imports of competing LNG cloud the outlook for any Alaskan gas transportation project.

The Expected Policies scenario assumes 4 Bcfd of flowing gas from an Alaskan pipeline by 2014 and an expansion to 6 Bcfd by 2017. Alaska is projected to account for 9 percent of the total U.S. gas supply by 2020. Failure to have a transportation system in place to move Alaskan gas by this date would put further pressure on other sources of supply and prices would be pushed upwards.

B. EXPANDED POLICIES SCENARIO

Overall consumption under the Expanded Policies scenario is very similar to the Expected Policies scenario, but average natural gas prices are up to 33 percent lower. The combination of supply and demand measures taken in the Expanded Policies scenario has a significant impact on gas prices (although the impact is relatively modest prior to 2008-2010). Gas prices in this scenario are 33 percent lower in 2020 than under the Expected Policies scenario - \$5.47 per MMBtu versus \$8.15 per MMBtu. Prices averaged over the entire projection period - \$5.50 per MMBtu - are 18 percent lower in this scenario.

Total consumption of 30.5 quads in 2020 under this scenario is almost identical to the consumption in the Expected Policies scenario, although the breakout by consuming sector shows some key differences. Consumption in the Expanded Policies scenario is only marginally higher in the residential and commercial sectors, but in response to lower prices it is 0.5 quads higher in the industrial sector. Conversely, gas consumption for electricity generation is 0.7 quads lower in the Expanded Policies scenario, the result of an assumed more diverse generating mix.

Despite the measures incorporated under this scenario to increase access to gas supplies in the U.S. and Canada, both Lower-48 production and Canadian imports are lower relative to the Expected Policies scenario. Lower-48 production falls from 19.0 quads in the Expected Policies scenario to 18.3 quads in 2020, while Canadian imports fall from 2.3 quads to 1.3 quads. The higher level of LNG imports under this scenario – 8.6 quads or 28 percent of the supply mix – acts to reduce exploration for higher cost traditional sources of gas in a lower price environment.

C. EXISTING POLICIES SCENARIO

Average natural gas prices are nearly 70 percent higher in 2020 under the Existing Policies scenario. The supply constraints of the Existing Policies scenario push prices to \$13.76 in 2020, with an average price of \$9.43 over the forecast period. These higher prices, combined with a failure to construct new LNG terminals and the Alaskan pipeline, spur higher production from (higher cost) domestic sources. Lower-48 production reaches 20.7 quads in this scenario, 1.7 quads more than under the Expected Policies scenario. Canadian imports also are higher under this scenario, by 1.6 quads – responding in a similar manner to Lower-48 production.

Not surprisingly, higher prices in the Existing Policies scenario reduce consumption in total, and for each of the consuming sectors. Total consumption falls to 26.9 quads, with declines of 2.0 quads for electricity generators, 1.0 quads for industrial customers and 0.3 quads for both residential and commercial customers, relative to the Expected Policies scenario.

D. CRITICAL STUDY IMPLICATIONS

- Natural gas price projections are similar under all scenarios until roughly 2008. Some price relief is achievable at that point relative to the Existing Policies scenario due to the availability of LNG, supplemented in 2014 by Alaskan natural gas.
- Due to expectations of continued access restrictions and declining deliverability, both Lower-48 and Canadian sources will struggle to keep production stable at the current levels.
- Expectations for persistent tight market conditions are not a negative reflection on the natural gas resource base domestically or worldwide. Ample resources of natural gas exist to meet demand for generations to come. However, the U.S. industry is severely restricted in terms of exploring for, producing and delivering natural gas, and severe restrictions are likely to remain.
- The Expected Supply scenario projects much greater supply diversity in the future, including major contributions in the form of Alaskan natural gas and LNG. Delays or denials of these sources will shift supply to more expensive marginal sources of domestic natural gas.
- The lack of a contribution in the Existing Policies scenario from new LNG operations and Alaskan gas most likely would occur as a result of environmental opposition to the siting and construction of new facilities. However, failure to construct these new facilities would result in a far greater reliance on Lower-48 sources of supply, increasing the need to drill in both onshore and offshore areas, which also will be subject to environmental concerns.
- The extreme prices of the Existing Policies scenario are the result of not expanding the natural gas infrastructure much beyond that which is in place <u>today</u>. Moving to the Expected Supply (or Expanded Supply) scenarios would require a significant increase in the natural gas infrastructure, including LNG terminals and the Alaskan gas pipeline.

- The outlook for significant natural gas-fired demand growth by electricity generators is unlikely to be altered, particularly prior to 2015, due to increasing use of the vast number of gas units completed over the past five years and the difficulty in siting and constructing coal or nuclear generating units in less than 10 years.
- Natural gas demand will become less predictable as the relative share of total demand attributable to electricity generators increases, while the relative share attributable to the industrial sector declines.
- Increased unemployment, plant closings and the movement of industrial operations overseas have occurred over the past four years, in part in response to higher natural gas prices. The industrial sector will remain the most sensitive sector to tight supplies and high prices.

III. Natural Gas Demand Overview

Exhibit III-1 depicts future consumption levels of natural gas in 2010, 2015 and 2020 for each of the three scenarios analyzed. Total consumption, which was 22.1 quads in 2003, is projected to reach 25.0 quads in 2010 under the Expected Policies assumptions and 30.5 quads in 2020. Nearly 72 percent of the total growth is attributable to the increasing demand for gas by electricity generators. Despite projected higher natural gas prices, generators continue to use gas because coal and nuclear units run at full capacity and significant additional coal capacity is not available until nearly 2015.

The alternative scenario consumption levels are not dramatically different than the Expected Policies scenario in 2010. However, total consumption in the Existing Policies scenario is 2.7 quads lower than in the Expected Policies scenario in 2015 and 3.6 quads lower in 2020. Consumption levels fall significantly in all consuming sectors in response to far higher prices, including declines of 1.9 quads by electricity generators and 1.0 quads by industrial customers.

Total consumption levels under the Expanded Policies scenario are quite similar in total to the Expected Policies scenario totals throughout the forecast period. However, there is a significant shift in the composition of the total. Consumption by residential, commercial and industrial consumers all increase – by over one-half quad for industrial consumers in 2020 – in response to lower prices. Additionally, more generating options are available to electricity generators as a result of a more diverse generating mix and, as a result, gas consumption by electricity generators falls by nearly 0.7 quads relative to the Expected Policies scenario.

E xhibit III-1 PROJECTED NATURAL GAS CONSUMPTION QUADS

2010







2015





IV. ELECTRICITY GENERATORS WILL DRIVE OVERALL GAS DEMAND

The demand for electricity is projected to increase at a rate slightly less than 2 percent per year. The overall demand for electricity is driven primarily by the level of economic activity. In recent years, every 1 percent increase in GDP has resulted in a 0.72 percent increase in electricity sales. This GDP elasticity has declined in each decade over the past 50 years and a continued modest decline is projected - to 0.64 percent by 2020. As a result, a modest decline in the rate of growth for electricity sales is projected, falling from the current rate of 2.0 percent per year to 1.8 percent per year throughout the forecast period. Despite this falling growth rate, annual electricity sales of 3,482 billion kWh in 2003 are projected to reach 4,820 billion kWh by 2020, an increase of over 38 percent.

Electricity generators are expected to account for one-third of U.S. natural gas consumption in 2020 versus less than 20 percent today. Roughly 4.2 quads of gas were consumed to generate electricity in 2003, 19 percent of total U.S. gas consumption. This market share is projected to increase to 27 percent by 2010 (6.8 quads) and to 33 percent (10.2 quads) by 2020. Thus, by 2020 electricity generators are expected to be the dominant sector in terms of gas demand, with consumption 32 percent greater than that of the industrial sector and 61 percent greater than that of the residential sector.

Today gas is the source of about 15 percent of all electricity generated but this number is projected to increase to 26 percent by 2020. Conversely, 55 percent of all electricity generated today is coal-based but this percentage is projected to fall to 50 percent by the end of the forecast period.

Gas consumption by electricity generators is lower in both the Expanded Policies and Existing Policies scenarios. The reduction in 2020 is 0.7 quads in the Expanded Policies scenario relative to the Expected Policies scenario due to more diversity in the construction of new generating capacity. It is 2.0 quads lower in the Existing Policies scenario in response to dramatically higher gas prices.

Growth in gas demand for electricity generation will be particularly strong in the Southeast, Intermountain West, Texas and along the West Coast while moderate to weak in the Midwest. The total projected increase in gas consumption for electricity generation from 2003 to 2020 is 6.0 quads. Of this amount, nearly 75 percent is attributable to the Southeast (1.8 quads), Florida (0.4 quads), Texas (0.8 quads), California/Nevada (0.8 quads), the Pacific Northwest (0.3 quads) and the Intermountain West (0.3 quads). Significant growth is also projected for the Middle Atlantic states, New York and New England, while little or no growth is expected the Midwest (ECAR, MAIN, MAPP and SPP NERC regions). The projected annual growth rates in gas consumption in a number of regions range from 10 to 20 percent for the 1999-2010 timeframe. In addition to the obvious gas supply issues that will be faced in these regions, infrastructure concerns will be critical, particularly for increased pipeline and storage capacity to accommodate more dramatic demand swings.

Most of the gas-fired generating capacity that will be required in 2020 is already on-line or under construction. Natural gas clearly has been the fuel of choice for new generating capacity in recent years. In fact, over 90 percent of the capacity added since the mid 1990s has been gasbased. However, somewhat greater diversity is anticipated for new generating capacity in the coming years, including anticipated contributions from both coal and renewable sources. It is projected that 40 percent of the electricity generating capacity added over the forecast period will be natural gas-based. Additionally, there is currently a generating surplus in most regions of the country, and new plant construction has slowed noticeably. Whereas roughly 200 GW of gas capacity was added over the past 5 years, only about half of that amount will be added over the next 15 years. Total gas-fired generating capacity in 2020 is estimated at 466 GW as compared with the roughly 410 GW that is operational today. That is, the gas-based capacity available today is nearly 88 percent of that expected to be operating in 2020.



This study does not assume significant changes in a number of environmental issues that could have an impact on the composition of electricity generation capacity. For example, the adoption of standards to control mercury (beyond those currently being considered) or CO_2 could significantly shift capacity away from coal and toward gas. On the other hand, a proliferation of aggressive renewable portfolio standards could reduce capacity additions for all conventional generating sources. These kinds of policies tend to have major economic and political consequences, and therefore their adoption is considered unlikely. Similarly, obstacles to the relicensing of nuclear and/or hydro units – which combine to provide 30 percent of our current electricity supply – could have a dramatic impact on gas demand. Based on current trends, denials of a significant number of re-licensing applications were not considered likely.



The ability of other fuels to substitute for natural gas in periods of high gas demand continues to decline. In the mid-1970s there was a fairly high degree of substitutability between gas and oil in the electricity generation sector. Many generating units, particularly boilers, could operate on either gas or oil and it was common to switch from one fuel to the other as their relative economics changed. Additionally, oil and gas boilers tended to operate in an intermediate mode basis with annual capacity factors in the 20 to 40 percent per year range. Thus, if an individual boiler was not capable of switching from one fuel to another that boiler could be shut down and an available boiler could be started up on the competing fuel. The ratio of gas to oil consumed for electricity generation in the mid-1970s was about 50:50.

Changes in equipment and environmental regulations have combined to significantly reduce gas and oil substitutability. Combined cycle units have replaced boilers and although these units may be set up to operate on both gas and oil, most combined cycle units were installed in a gasonly mode to optimize environmental and operating performance. Additionally, oil backup requires the siting and installation of storage tanks. According to the National Petroleum Council, less than 20 percent of the generating capacity installed between 1998 and 2005 will have alternate fuel capability.¹ Further, ozone and other environmental standards preclude the use of oil in many parts of the country at some or all times. The combination of the evolution in equipment coupled with environmental restrictions has reduced gas/oil substitutability. Today, eight times more gas than oil is consumed for electricity generation.

While the construction of some new coal-fired generating units is anticipated, a modest decline in the contribution of coal to total electricity generation is projected. Coal-fired generating capacity is projected to increase from the current level of 314 GW to 364 GW in 2020. Based on this projection, coal will maintain just over one-third of total generating capacity over the forecast period. The rate of growth for coal-based capacity accelerates somewhat from 2010 to 2020 relative to the 1999 to 2010 growth rate -1.0 percent per year versus 0.7 percent

per year. Further, capacity additions of roughly 2.0 percent per year are expected after 2015. Coal consumption also is expected to increase over the forecast period, moving from 19.7 quads in 2003 to 24.1 quads in 2020. Despite this growth in both coal capacity and coal consumption, the share of coal in the generation mix is expected to fall somewhat – from 55 percent today to 50 percent in 2020.

Building new coal-fired capacity becomes economic when gas prices exceed roughly \$4.50 per MMBtu. However, the lead-time for coal plants is 8 to 10 years. Thus, 33 of the 50 GW of new coal capacity is projected to come on-line after 2015. Additionally, environmental opposition and concerns over controlling CO_2 and mercury will continue to deter new construction. The utilization of available coal-capacity will be maximized, and an increase in capacity utilization from 70 percent to 78 percent is projected.

No new nuclear units are anticipated by 2020 and the contribution to total generation from nuclear sources is projected to fall from 22 percent to 16 percent. Nuclear power currently provides 22 percent of the U.S. electricity supply. However, under the Expected Policies scenario it is assumed that new nuclear plant construction remains infeasible and therefore no plants will be constructed. As a result, the contribution from nuclear sources is projected to fall to 16 percent by 2020. Nuclear units, similar to coal units, will be run in a full-out baseload mode, with capacity factors in excess of 90 percent. Under the Expanded Policies scenario 2.5 GW of nuclear capacity is constructed toward the end of the forecast period and all plants are run at a slightly higher capacity. As a result of these actions the nuclear share falls to 18 percent rather than 16 percent as in the Expected Policies scenario.

Despite a five-fold increase in renewable generating capacity, renewable sources are projected to account for only 1 percent of the electricity generated in 2020. Renewable sources, including wind, solar, biomass and geothermal, provide only 0.3 percent of U.S. electricity today. Renewable generating capacity, driven largely by wind projects, is projected to jump from about 10 GW today to 50 GW by 2020. Based on this forecast, renewable sources will represent 4 percent of the generation capacity in 2020 and 1 percent of the electricity generated. These sources tend to have a much lower utilization factor than do traditional generating modes – typically in the 10 to 20 percent range.

Additional hydroelectric capacity is not likely. Hydro capacity is expected to remain flat at 99 GW throughout the forecast period. Hydro provides 8 percent of the total electricity generated today, but this share is projected to fall to 6 percent by 2020. However, the availability of hydro will continue to have important implications for natural gas, particularly on the West Coast where hydro output is a function of snow and rainfall levels and where a lack of hydro translates directly into increased gas demand for electricity generation.

¹National Petroleum Council, *Balancing Natural Gas Policy, Fueling the Demands of a Growing Economy*, Volume II, September 2003, p. 90.

V. INDUSTRIAL NATURAL GAS DEMAND GROWTH WILL LAG SIGNIFICANTLY BEHIND GROWTH IN THE OTHER KEY DEMAND SECTORS

Natural gas consumption in the industrial sector is projected to increase at an annual rate of **0.3 percent through 2020 – only one-fifth the rate of growth experienced in the 1990s.** Natural gas provides nearly 40 percent of the primary energy consumed in the industrial sector. In the decade of the 1990s, industrial gas consumption exceeded oil consumption in every year. However, oil consumption has exceeded gas consumption since 2001, with a difference of roughly 1 quad in 2003. Industrial coal consumption is about one-fourth that of natural gas or oil.

Gas consumption by industrial customers was 7.4 quads in 2003, a decline of roughly 15 percent from the levels experienced in the late 1990s. During the 1990s, industrial gas consumption increased at an annual rate of roughly 1.5 percent, but significant declines were realized in 2001 and 2003, with a modest rebound in 2002. The Expected Policies scenario projects an industrial gas consumption total of 7.7 quads in 2020, reflecting an annual growth rate of 0.3 percent per year.

The 2020 projection of the Expanded Policies scenario is roughly a half a quad higher (8.3 quads) than the Expected Policies scenario, while the Existing Policies scenario is about 1.0 quads lower (6.7 quads). These estimates reflect the prices of natural gas in the alternative scenarios relative to those of the Expected Policies scenario.

The declining market share projected for the industrial sector will result in a less steady national gas load. The industrial sector accounts for one-third of U.S. gas consumption today, but a decline to 25 percent is forecast by 2020. The industrial sector has not only been the largest single sector in terms of U.S. gas demand, but also it has been the sector with the most stable demand profile. Whereas residential, commercial and electricity generation demand are driven primarily by weather and they therefore exhibit extreme peaks and valleys in demand, major industrial customers often operate 24 hours a day, 365 days a year on a fairly constant gas flow. The load balancing potential of industrial customers is often enhanced through the use of interruptible contracts. Additionally, industrial facilities have traditionally provided economic justification for extending gas mains to areas without gas service, opening the way for residential and commercial gas growth. Local gas utilities deliver over half of the gas consumed by industrial customers.

Industrial natural gas demand growth will be constrained by limited growth in industrial production, increasing efficiency and global competition. Although the U.S. gross domestic product is projected to increase at an annual rate of 2.8 percent throughout the forecast period, growth in industrial production is expected to lag behind GDP, increasing at a rate of 2.3 percent per year. The high energy consuming portion of the industrial sector will not grow as rapidly as other segments of the economy, in part because basic manufacturing operations will continue to face higher costs at home and growing competition from other parts of the world. Not only will industrial production lag behind overall economic growth, but also energy consumption in the industrial sector will not even keep pace with industrial growth. The higher energy prices experienced since 2000 will motivate firms to seek out additional energy efficiency measures to further decrease the energy input required per unit of production. To illustrate the magnitude of

the improvement in industrial energy efficiency since the first Arab oil embargo, industrial output has doubled since 1973, but the level of industrial energy consumption is virtually identical to what it was 30 years ago.



Although growth in industrial natural gas demand is expected to be lackluster, a continued dramatic decline in this market is not anticipated. Industrial natural gas consumption dropped sharply from 2000 to 2003 – by over 15 percent. This decline was concurrent with, and in part attributable to, the rapid rise in gas prices. Some plant operators have shutdown their facilities or moved overseas, often citing high gas prices as the sole reason. Feedstock industries were particularly hard hit. Some have argued that only the old, marginally competitive facilities were affected, and that surplus worldwide production capacity, a sluggish worldwide economy and the value of the dollar were the real culprits. Certainly all of these factors contributed. The question is whether or not the downward spiral in industrial demand will continue or whether a rebound is to be expected.

The slow growth projection of the Expected Policies scenario is based on a detailed examination of the key gas consuming industries as summarized below. Hundreds of billions of dollars have been invested in the stock of U.S. gas consuming industrial facilities. For some of these industries, energy costs represent a relatively minor share of the total cost of production. Additionally, it is very difficult to compete from abroad for some industries, such as foods and building products. It is expected that as the national and worldwide economies rebound, so to will these industries and so too will their gas consumption. However, the move toward greater efficiency and/or fuel substitution will only intensify with gas prices in or above the \$4 to \$5 range, although few major additional efficiency improvements are available and all-time high oil prices limit fuel switching

options. The outlook is bleaker for domestic feedstock industries such as ammonia and methanol, whose gas consumption is expected to plummet by about 60 percent between 2000 and 2020.

The major domestic gas-consuming industries tend not to be high growth industries, and energy consumption growth, in most cases, will lag behind industry growth. However, both industrial output and gas consumption will respond to a rebounding world economy.

Exhibit V-2 INDUSTRIAL SECTOR GAS USE, 2002

Industry P	ercent of Total lustrial Gas Use (%)	Gas Share of Value Added (%) ¹
Chemicals		
(all chemicals except ammonia production)	32	13
Ammonia Production	5	80
Refining	18	8
Pulp Paper Production	7	6
Food Processing and Manufacturing	8	3
Iron, Steel, Aluminum, Other Metals	7	6
Stone, Clay, and Glass	5	2
All Other Manufacturing and Non Manufacturing	g 18	2
All Industrial	100	7

The use of gas for boiler and "other" applications is projected to increase, while feedstock applications will experience declining gas usage. Nearly 80 percent of the gas consumed in the industrial sector is concentrated in six industries – chemicals (2.5 quads), petroleum refining (1.3 quads), paper (0.6 quads), food processing (0.6 quads), iron and steel (0.3 quads) and stone, clay and glass (0.3 quads).

The primary functions of gas are as a boiler fuel (2.4 quads); for other process heating applications (2.5 quads); as a feedstock, particularly for ammonia, methanol and hydrogen (0.6 quads); and for various "other" applications (1.7 quads). The projection shows slow growth for boiler fuel and "other" applications, but declines for gas process heating and feedstock uses.

Industrial growth in gas consumption is expected to be sluggish in all geographic regions. Roughly 54 percent of all industrial gas consumption occurs in two geographic regions, the West South Central (2.8 quads in 2003) and the East North Central (1.3 quads). Gas consumption in the West South Central region is heavily concentrated in chemicals and petroleum refining, and this one region accounts for over half of all gas consumed nationally as a boiler fuel or as a feedstock. A growth rate of 0.3 percent annually is projected for gas consumption in the West South Central region through 2020.

Gas consumption in the East North Central region is less than half that of the West South Central and it is distributed primarily between the chemical, iron and steel, food, petroleum refining and paper industries. An annual growth rate of 0.2 percent is projected for this region.

Growth in industrial gas consumption in all other regions is projected to fall in a fairly narrow range from 0.2 to 0.8 percent per year.

The demand for chemicals will continue to grow worldwide, and U.S. gas consumption will rise modestly in response. The U.S. chemical industry accounts for about one-fourth of worldwide chemicals production. This industry is energy intensive and natural gas intensive. In fact, it accounts for 11 percent of all U.S. gas consumption – a total of 2,544 TBtu in 2003. Geographically, roughly 60 percent of the gas consumption for chemicals is accounted for by the West South Central region. One-fourth of the industry's gas consumption is as a feedstock, primarily for ammonia, methanol and hydrogen. The other three-quarters are attributable to boilers (32 percent), process heaters (23 percent) and a variety of other uses (22 percent). Similar to other energy intensive industries, energy consumption per unit of production has been reduced significantly in recent years. According to the American Chemistry Council, this reduction has been nearly 40 percent since the mid-1970s.¹

Plant shutdowns and layoffs in the chemical industry have received much attention since the winter of 2000-2001, and certainly higher natural gas prices have been a contributing factor. Feedstock operations have been particularly hard hit. However, a worldwide economic slowdown and a weaker dollar have also played a part. Chemicals remains a growth industry, with new products and new markets fueling new demand. As a result of this industry growth, natural gas demand also is expected to increase, although slowly. Consumption in 2020 is projected to reach 2,813 TBtu for the industry, reflecting a growth rate of 0.6 percent per year. However, gas consumption for methanol and ammonia production is expected to be cut nearly in half.

Petroleum refineries are expected to reduce natural gas consumption. Petroleum refineries consumed 1,347 TBtu of natural gas in 2003, nearly 20 percent of total industrial gas consumption. The refining industry is highly competitive, and many less efficient refineries have been shut down over the past three decades, while no new units have been constructed. Some environmental factors favor increased gas usage at refineries, such as the production of cleaner gasoline that requires hydrogen, for which natural gas is the typical feedstock. However, refineries have multiple energy options for most of their processes and they will move from fuel to fuel depending on relative prices. In addition to readily available fuel switching, refineries will continue to invest in energy efficiency, thereby reducing total energy demand in general and natural gas demand in particular. However, most of the easy energy efficiency opportunities have already been captured and future gains will be less dramatic. An annual decline in gas consumption of roughly 0.6

percent per year is projected for petroleum refining, resulting in a consumption level of 1,218 TBtu in 2020.

Natural gas consumption by the paper industry will decline as companies attempt to further increase energy efficiency. Gas consumption by the paper industry is fairly dispersed nationally, but it is particularly strong in the East North Central, West North Central and West South Central regions. Energy is a significant component of total operating costs in the paper industry. Although on-site energy production (primarily cogeneration fueled by wood by-products) supplies about 60 percent of the industry's energy needs, purchased fuels cost the industry roughly \$8 billion annually. The paper industry faces significant foreign pressure and it will continue to seek ways to reduce purchased energy costs. It is projected that gas consumption by the paper industry will fall by 0.5 percent per year over the forecast period, declining from 613 TBtu in 2003 to 563 TBtu in 2020.

Modest growth is projected for natural gas consumption by the food industry. Natural gas consumption in the food industry is projected to increase at an annual rate of 0.4 percent, moving from 604 TBtu in 2003 to 642 TBtu in 2020. Much of this industry's energy consumption takes place in the East North Central and West North Central regions. Energy costs in the food industry are less than 1.5 percent of the value of total shipments and the industry is therefore less sensitive to price movement than are some other industries. Natural gas is also considered a premium fuel due to its cleanliness – a primary concern in this industry. Moderate growth is predicted for the food industry as a result of increasing population and disposable income, and foreign competition is not as significant a concern as it is in many other industries.

The growing demand for housing products and limited foreign competition will increase the natural gas demand of the stone, clay and glass industry. Energy is consumed in the stone, clay and glass industry primarily for the manufacturing of housing construction products – brick, concrete, gypsum, lime – as well as for various container products. Nearly 40 percent of the gas consumption in this industry occurs in the East North Central and South Atlantic regions. Transportation costs are relatively high in this industry relative to the value of the product, and thus foreign competition tends to be a less significant issue, as production near the actual market served is critical. Gas consumption in the stone, clay and glass industry is projected to increase at a rate of 1.0 percent per year, higher than the growth rate projected for any of the other primary industrial gas consumers. National gas consumption is projected to reach 417 TBtu by 2020 as compared to 350 TBtu in 2003.

Multiple factors will act to reduce natural gas consumption by the steel industry. Gas consumption by the steel industry is projected to fall at a rate of 1 percent per year, from 348 TBtu in 2003 to 293 TBtu in 2020. A number of factors suggest declining gas consumption, including: high energy intensity resulting in significant price sensitivity; a move towards minimills that tend to use less energy and relatively more electricity; excess production capacity worldwide; and, aggressive foreign competition. Energy costs account for about 15 percent of the total cost of manufacturing steel.

¹National Petroleum Council, *Balancing Natural Gas Policy, Fueling the Demands of a Growing Economy*, Vol. II, September 2003, p. 54.

VI. MODEST GROWTH AND STIFF COMPETITION ARE ANTICIPATED FOR RESIDENTIAL NATURAL GAS DEMAND

Natural gas remains the primary energy source in the residential sector, although electricity has made significant inroads. Natural gas accounts for 45 percent of the energy consumed in the residential sector versus 38 percent for electricity and 13 percent for heating oil. The natural gas share has fallen slightly over the past three decades, down from 49 percent in 1973, while the drop in the share of heating oil has been much more dramatic, falling from 28 percent to 13 percent. In contrast, the share of electricity doubled over the same timeframe, moving from 19 percent to 38 percent.

Modest growth in natural gas demand is projected for the residential sector. Residential natural gas consumption reached 5.2 quads in 2003 and an increase to 6.3 quads is projected by 2020. This increase reflects an annual growth rate of 1.2 percent – roughly four times the rate projected for industrial consumption (0.3 percent), but significantly less than that projected for electricity generation (5.0 percent). Residential gas consumption is driven primarily by the weather (assumed to be normal throughout the forecast period), population growth, the share of gas heated homes and gas prices.

In the Expanded Policies scenario residential gas consumption is 1.4 percent higher in 2020 (6.4 quads) than in the Expected Policies scenario. However, in the Existing Policies scenario it is 4 percent lower (6.1 quads), primarily in response to higher prices.

Growth rates will be higher in the West than in the East and Midwest. Residential gas demand growth is projected to be near or above 2 percent per year in parts of the West and Northwest, but near or below 1 percent annually in the Northeast, Middle Atlantic and Midwestern states. These projections reflect anticipated population shifts and new (larger) home construction.

Natural gas consumption per customer will continue to fall, but at a decreasing rate. Although the number of gas customers in the U.S. increased by nearly 33 percent between 1980 and 2001, overall consumption increased very little because of increased energy efficiency. The average use per residential gas customer (weather normalized) was 109 MMBtu per year in 1980, but only 85 MMBtu per year in 2001. Over half of this dramatic reduction is attributable to more efficient appliances and about one-quarter is due to tighter homes. The remainder is due to a variety of demographic and miscellaneous factors.¹

It is expected that the average use per customer will continue to fall throughout the forecast period, but at a slower rate – roughly 0.5 percent per year versus the 1 percent annually experienced since 1980.² Two principal factors will tend to moderate the decline. First, the oldest and most inefficient appliances have already been replaced and potential improvements in new equipment are more modest. Second, consumers are demanding larger homes, thereby increasing the heating load. There is also a potential to reverse the use-per-customer trend as gas customers, similar to electricity customers, demand more and different energy related products - such as gas fireplaces and gas grills.

Exhibit VI-1

ACTUAL AND PROJECTED RESIDENTIAL NATURAL GAS CONSUMPTION BY REGION, 2003-2020



Competition for market share in the residential sector will be intense, particularly in warmer climatic areas, rural areas and in the smaller homes market. While electricity competes with natural gas in traditional markets – space heating, cooking, water heating and clothes drying – much of the growth in the electricity share is attributable to the proliferation of devices that only operate on electricity, such as computers, televisions, VCRs, DVDs, stereos, and the like. Natural gas remains very successful in the traditional markets, with market shares just over 50 percent for both space and water heating, 35 percent for cooking and 22 percent for clothes drying.³



Market shares for the four primary energy applications have been quite steady on a national basis in recent years, although there has been variability among the geographic regions. Natural gas market shares in the Northeast increased from 1987 to 2001, from 40 percent to 46 percent for space heating and from 44 percent to 49 percent for water heating. In contrast, in the South the market share for gas fell: from 38 percent to 35 percent for space heating, from 38 percent to 33 percent for water heating, and from 26 percent to 22 percent for cooking. Gas market shares in the West have increased for all four applications – space heating (62 percent to 64 percent), water heating (66 percent to 68 percent), cooking (39 percent to 42 percent), and clothes drying (27 percent to 31 percent). Gas market shares in the Midwest have been high and increasing for space heating (70 percent to 71 percent), and high but slightly decreasing (by 1 or 2 percentage points) for each of the other three applications.





In the space heating market, the increased efficiency and reliability of the electric heat pump will continue to put pressure on natural gas in warmer climates. This has historically been the case, particularly in the South Atlantic states. However, advances in heat pump technology will



continue to expand the geographic areas where it is most competitive, both northward and westward. Electricity also will be very competitive in smaller, less expensive and space-constrained homes where gas presents incremental cost and space requirements relative to electricity. Gas has made significant inroads versus heating oil in the Northeast, and further gains are anticipated as gas pipeline and distribution systems expand. Gas will remain strongly preferred in colder climates where space heating is paramount.

¹American Gas Association, *Patterns in Residential Natural Gas Consumption, 1997-2001*, June 16, 2003, p. 2.

²American Gas Association, *Forecasted Patterns in Residential Natural Gas Consumption*, 2001-2020, September 2004, p. 1.

³American Gas Association, *Residential Natural Gas Market Survey*, March 2004, pp. 13-14.

VII. COMMERCIAL SECTOR GAS DEMAND GROWTH WILL MIRROR THE RESIDENTIAL SECTOR – STEADY BUT UNSPECTACULAR

Natural gas accounts for 40 percent of the energy consumed in the commercial sector. The natural gas share of commercial sector energy consumption has fallen somewhat since 1973 – from 45 percent to roughly 40 percent today. On the other hand, the electricity share rose from 26 percent of the total to 45 percent over the same timeframe. Oil has fallen off sharply, with a reduction in share from 27 percent to 8 percent.

Lighting is the largest single energy-related application in the commercial sector. Space and water heating energy consumption combined is approximately equal to the total required for lighting. Gas has a 2:1 advantage in market share relative to electricity for space heating and the two are roughly equal for water heating. Gas has a modest advantage in market share for cooking.

Commercial sector natural gas consumption is projected to increase by less than 1 quad over the forecast period. Commercial sector gas consumption is projected to increase by roughly 0.7 quads over the forecast period – moving from 3.3 quads in 2003 to 3.9 quads in 2020. This represents an annual growth rate of 1.1 percent, only marginally below the 1.2 percent rate forecast for the residential sector. The share of total gas consumption accounted for by commercial customers falls from 15 percent in 2003 to 13 percent in 2020.

Projected commercial sector consumption in the alternative scenarios responds in a fashion similar to the residential sector– driven primarily by gas prices – although the commercial response is about twice as great as the residential response. The commercial consumption level of 3.6 quads in the 2020 Existing Policies scenario is 8 percent lower than in the Expected Policies scenario. Conversely, the 4.1 quads projected in the Expanded Policies scenario are 3.3 percent higher than the Expected Policies projection.

Similar to the residential sector, the commercial sector has exhibited a dramatic increase in energy efficiency. Although the number of commercial gas customers jumped by 46 percent in the 1980s and 1990s, total consumption rose by only 20 percent. The average use per commercial customer fell by roughly 18 percent from 1979 through 1999.¹ Thus, consumption per customer, again similar to the residential sector, has been falling by about 1 percent annually. There has been significant variation in the use per customer trend on a regional basis. Use per customer in the Northeast increased by 47 percent due to increases in floor space and penetration by gas heating. However, in the Midwest and South respectively, declines of 27 percent and 30 percent were observed. The decline in the West was 18 percent, equal to the national average. A second measure of commercial energy efficiency is gas consumption per square foot of commercial space. This yardstick also indicates a dramatic decline over the past two decades, falling by 40 percent nationally.



Newer and more efficient equipment, particularly for space and water heating, is responsible for over half of the reduction in use per customer. The remainder is largely attributable to more insulation, better windows and other building "envelope" improvements. A decline in the rate of reduction in consumption per customer is anticipated as these appliances approach their maximum efficiency.

Natural gas will not compete for many of the growth applications in the commercial sector.

The strongest growth in terms of energy consumption for commercial sector applications is projected for various electrical devices – computers, copiers, imaging equipment and telecommunication devices. Annual growth rates for some of these applications are expected to be above 4 percent.² In contrast, the growth rate for many applications for which gas competes are projected in the 1 to 1.5 percent per year range. New applications, such as on-site electricity generation, offer some potential for greater gas growth.

¹American Gas Association, *Trends in the Commercial Natural Gas Market*, October 23, 2002, p.1.

²U.S. Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Outlook, 2004*, January 2004, p. 5.
VIII. NATURAL GAS SUPPLY OVERVIEW

For much of the 1990s, U.S. natural gas markets were said to have excess supply, often described as a "bubble." In both the United States and Canada, natural gas production capability exceeded the requirements for produced gas even during most periods of peak demand. For purchasers of natural gas, the result was stable acquisition prices that were relatively low. In addition to North American supplies, liquefied natural gas (traded internationally) provided one to two percent of the natural gas consumed in the U.S.

Quite demonstrably since 2000, the relationship between producible natural gas and requirements for gas supply changed. The market today is often characterized as "tight" (supply strains to meet demand) or as supply-constrained - that is, domestic gas production is at or near 100 percent of production capability.



The tight market for U.S. gas production compared to demand requirements is not likely to change in the near-term. Sustaining natural gas production in the U.S. or growing it modestly presents significant challenges to gas producers, as well as to policy makers who have a strategic role in the future development of domestic natural gas resources.

Exhibit VIII-2 shows key natural gas supply sources for the U.S., including Lower-48 states production, gas from Alaska, Canada and internationally traded LNG, and their estimated contribution to U.S. natural gas supply out to 2020. Each of the three scenarios - Expected Policies, Expanded Policies and Existing Policies - is shown in the exhibit. Each supply source is examined more closely in the following sections of this report.

In the Expected Policies scenario, Lower-48 production varies from 19.1 quads to 19.4 quads annually from 2010 to 2020. Offshore moratoria essentially stay in place and portions of federally owned land in the Intermountain West remain restricted. Nominal gas prices fluctuate between \$5.30 and \$8.15 per MMBtu and LNG followed by Alaskan gas (after 2013) meet incremental growth in demand. With a total market over 30 quads by 2020, Lower-48 supplies account first for about 81 percent of U.S. gas consumption in 2005, declining to 61 percent in 2020.

Under the Expanded Policies scenario, steps are taken to lift offshore moratoria and to open more areas of the Intermountain West to drilling. Roadblocks to LNG and Alaskan gas development also are overcome, resulting in the greatest estimate of these critical supplies among the three scenarios. With these sources of gas supply available, acquisition prices fall compared to the Expected Policies scenario to a nominal value of about \$5.47 per MMBtu by 2020. This results in a slightly smaller contribution of Lower-48 gas to the whole (about 60 percent in 2020) because lower prices do not support some of the more expensive domestic sources of gas compared to LNG and reserves in Alaska, once the pipeline infrastructure is in place.

The Existing Policies scenario produces a different result. Under this scenario, neither new LNG terminals nor an Alaskan pipeline are constructed. Thus, domestic production is left to meet more of a smaller overall gas market (just under 27 quads annually). Prices rise to \$13.76 per MMBtu in 2020, which supports Lower-48 production that still accounts for 77 percent of gas consumption. The irony is that, for reasons of environmental protection and security, less LNG is made available to the market, Alaskan gas is eliminated and, therefore, more drilling occurs in the Lower-48 states, particularly in tight sands and coal seams – activities that also raise environmental flags.

Exhibit VIII-2 PROJECTED NATURAL GAS SUPPLY QUADS

2010



2020



Lower-48





IX. LOWER-48 SOURCES WILL ACCOUNT FOR A DECLINING SHARE OF OUR TOTAL NATURAL GAS SUPPLY

Even with substantial natural gas resources in the ground, merely sustaining annual gas production will challenge domestic producers. Estimates of annual Lower-48 gas production in this report (for all three scenarios) only vary 2.3 quads, from 18.3 to 20.7, for the period 2005-2020. Why? The Potential Gas Committee (Colorado School of Mines) estimates that over 1,200 Tcf of natural gas exists *in the ground* onshore and under the coastal waters of the United States (including Alaska). At current rates of production (approximately 19 Tcf annually) that is more than 60 years of natural gas resource development. In fact, even that number is not static and is likely to grow. However, very limited growth (or decline for that matter) in Lower-48 states production is forecast in this study.

The National Petroleum Council (NPC) report on natural gas released in 2003 noted that only 14 percent of its assessed North American resource base was proven, that another 17 percent could be attributed to field growth associated with known reserves and that a full 69 percent was still undiscovered. Admittedly, there is a great deal of uncertainty associated with our knowledge of the ultimate volume of natural gas to be recovered. That said, virtually all estimates of natural gas resources in North America are large. With all of this gas in the ground, why isn't future gas production expected to grow?

The answer lies primarily in the type of well being drilled today (and likely to be drilled in the future) and the locations available for gas and oil development. America is in the midst of a drilling boom. Rig activity in the U.S. has been sustained above 1,000 total rigs operating for more than a year. Operations in search of natural gas targets routinely account for 85 percent of all rig activity and as many as 20,000 wells are completed annually to be added to the current inventory of over 350,000 producing gas wells. All of those indicators are good. But a not-so-subtle change in quality and productivity of individual producing wells is also occurring.

Exhibit IX-1 shows some of the current trends. For example, rig counts have been rising with gas-directed operations growing from 55 percent of total activity to over 80 percent of total activity. Gas well completions have increased, particularly since 1999, but discoveries per well have flattened or decreased. This is typical when well completions increase dramatically, inasmuch as some marginal prospects are drilled along with better prospects during periods of price induced higher activity.

Year	Annual Avg. Rig Count	Annual Avg. Gas Rigs	Percent Gas Rigs	Gas Wells Completed	Total Discoveries (Bcf)	Additions per Well (Bcf)	
1994	775	427	55	9,538	12,315	1.291	
1995	723	385	53	8,354	10,961	1.312	
1996	779	464	60	9,302	12,318	1.324	
1997	943	564	60	11,327	15,648	1.381	
1998	827	560	68	11,144	11,433	1.026	
1999	625	496	79	10,877	10,807	0.994	
2000	918	720	78	16,455	19,138	1.163	
2001	1,156	939	81	22,083	22,758	1.031	
2002	830	691	83	16,155	17,795	1.102	
2003	1,032	872	84	19,722	19,288	0.978	

Exhibit IX-1 RIG COUNT, WELLS AND DISCOVERIES

In addition, higher gas prices at the wellhead tend to support producer efforts to completely recover gas in areas where it is known to exist. Drilling in these mature areas presents less risk to producers but also leads to less volume recovered per well because the typical reservoir size declines. In addition, technology allows reservoirs to be drained more quickly and efficiently, which is an important factor in making these natural gas reservoirs economic to develop, but which also contributes to shorter reservoir life. This, in turn, requires producers to drill more to replace the decline in volume as reservoirs deplete. And so the cycle, or "treadmill" as it is often referenced, continues. This is happening in the mature areas of the Gulf of Mexico, onshore U.S. and Canada.

Gulf of Mexico gas production will decline as a portion of all North American gas production, particularly after 2010. Gulf of Mexico gas production, which was 30 percent of U.S. gas production in 1990, was only 23 percent of gas production in 2003. New frontier gas, primarily from deepwater, has to some extent offset declines in shallow water continental shelf production. However, even recent deeper drilling on mature shallow water leases (enhanced by royalty relief) coupled with deepwater gas will not compensate for the decline in traditional shelf production. During the period 2010 to 2020 this condition is expected to deteriorate further. Other gas sources will need to be more fully exploited in order to sustain overall Lower-48 production. An increasing dependence on unconventional gas sources will increase a drilling focus in the Intermountain West, with lower producing but longer-lived wells. One activity offsetting the high decline rates and recovery factors of traditional sandstone and other reservoirs is the activity in less traditional reservoirs such as tight sands and coal seams. The NPC study of 2003 estimated that over half (55 percent) of the remaining *conventional* resource base in North America is located in the Gulf of Mexico, Western Canada Sedimentary Basin and Alaska. Only four percent of the conventional resource was attributed to the Intermountain West.

In contrast, 44 percent of the yet to be developed *unconventional* resource is expected to be found in the Intermountain West. It is generally recognized that a substantial portion of the total U.S. natural gas resource base is tied up in low permeability (perm) reservoirs such as Devonian Shale and coal seams in the Appalachians, shallow coals in Kansas (and other locations in the mid-continent) and tight sands and coals in the Rocky Mountains. Estimates of unconventional resource volumes vary but they are large for technically recoverable gas.

Advanced Resources International estimates that unconventional resources may total 760 Tcf. In addition, so-called unconventional sources of gas account for over a quarter of U.S. production today and they may account for more in the future.

ESTIMA	TES OF	TECHNICA		VERABLE
U.S. U	NCONV	ENTIONAL	GAS RES	OURCES
				Year 2025
		Current Technolog	ју (Tcf)	Technology (Tcf)
	Proved Reserves/	Undeveloped	Ultimate	Ultimate
	Production	Resource	Resource	Resource
Tight Gas Sands	149	292	441	520
Gas Shales	13	62	75	90
Coalbed Methane	<u>29</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>124</u>	<u>150</u>
TOTAL	191	449	640	760

Exhibit IX-3

SOURCES OF U.S. NATURAL GAS PRODUCTION IN 2001 (TCF)



Source: Advanced Resources Int'l.

Unconventional gas resources are noted as such only because the geologic setting from which they originate is different from more traditionally productive sources of gas. Technology has been a key factor in permitting gas to be recovered from these sources and to be produced in commercial quantities. But low permeability reservoirs behave differently than sandstone high perm reservoirs. If a high perm reservoir produces at millions of cubic feet per day initially, a low perm reservoir produces at tens or possibly hundreds of thousands of cubic feet per day – often at one-tenth or less a high perm well. Therefore, to maintain the same initial production capability as traditional reservoirs, 10 or more coal seam or tight sand wells may need to be drilled. On a positive note, however, wells drilled and produced from less conventional reservoirs often produce for 15, 20 or even 30 years, albeit at more modest annual volumes. That said, the baseline of production that is established in these fields helps to support the long-term production capability of national natural gas inventories.

An example of recent success in an unconventional play where producing rates have improved significantly is that of the Jonah and Pinedale Fields in southwestern Wyoming. In 1995, the fields in aggregate produced 8 MMcf per day of natural gas from low permeability tight sands. Today, they produce over 1 Bcf per day (over a 100-fold increase) and remain prospective for additional production increases. It is estimated that 700 producing wells may grow to 2,500 successful wells in the future. A combination of new applications of well fracturing techniques, well spacing and 3D seismic has given field operators an opportunity to maximize economic recovery of the gas in-place – estimated to be over 6 Tcf.

A significant portion of the domestic gas resource is restricted from exploration and it is likely to remain so. Large portions of federally controlled lands and offshore locations are off limits to gas and oil exploration due to congressional moratoria and other land use restrictions. Included are the East and West coast (continental shelf and slope), areas of the eastern Gulf of Mexico and onshore acreage, particularly in the Intermountain West.



Numerous locations in these areas have natural gas production potential. For example, natural gas discoveries have been made on the coasts of Canada and are, in fact, being produced offshore of the eastern Canada Maritime Provinces. Yet no gas exploration is permitted in East Coast U.S. waters. To date, the political process and positioning of strong environmental advocates have all but ensured that the moratoria on offshore drilling will remain in place for the foreseeable future. Some of these moratoria have been in place for three decades or more, and they do not recognize the significant improvements in exploration and development technology and business practices that are now available and in use.

Some positive developments for natural gas exploration and development have slowly materialized in recent years in the West where large tracts of federally owned and managed lands are designated for multi-use. However, moving the permit process along where there is federal jurisdiction (Bureau of Land Management and Forest Service, for example) is slow.

Critical land use and coastal resource management issues have a direct impact on hundreds of Tcf of potential gas resources. An exact estimate of the magnitude of the affected resource is not possible. The fact is that precise gas and oil assessments can only be made when the drill bit is permitted to explore.

Even with access restrictions and the introduction of new sources of gas supply such as LNG or gas from the Alaskan North Slope, domestic Lower-48 states production is still expected to supply about 60 percent of the gas consumed in the United States in 2020. Even with what would appear to be a difficult road ahead for E&P investment and land access for gas and oil drilling, Lower-48 states production is expected to be the primary source of U.S. gas supply well into the future. However, because Lower-48 production is expected to remain relatively flat while total supply/consumption continues to grow, the share of total supply accounted for by Lower-48 production will fall. This share was roughly 83 percent in 2003, but in the Expected Policies scenario falls to 77 percent in 2010, 67 percent in 2015 and 61 percent in 2020.

X. THE TREND OF STEADILY INCREASING NATURAL GAS IMPORTS FROM CANADA IS LIKELY OVER

Challenges to natural gas supply in Canada are similar to those in the United States at a time when Canadian requirements for natural gas are increasing. Over the last 20 years, estimates of Lower-48 and Canadian gas resources have grown more than the resource has been depleted. As a result, some analysts view the remaining resource potential today as much higher than it was 20 years ago, despite resource depletion. That is the good news. Prospects for continued growth in "ultimate" resource estimates are in question, however. Western Canadian "ultimate" resource recovery, particularly that based on in-place unconventional resources, needs to be demonstrated by industry activity, which is only in its infancy.



Sustaining natural gas production in Canada faces many of the same challenges that exist in the U. S., including declines in well productivity, applications of key technologies to gas sources such as coal seams and the need to invest in costly infrastructure connecting new supply areas to the existing pipeline grid. In addition, Canadian exports to the U.S. will be affected by increasing gas demand within Canada.

Since the mid-1980s, *marketed* Canadian gas production has more than doubled, reaching 6.4 quads in 2000, which is a quarter of North American gas production. When North American

production peaked in 1973, Canada accounted for about 10 percent of North American gas production. However, growth in Canadian gas production has slowed since the mid-1990s.

Looking at the geologic make up of Canada reveals that sedimentary basins *do not* underlie most of the Canadian surface area (onshore and offshore). Almost all of Canadian gas production has come from the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin (WCSB), which underlies most of Alberta, and parts of British Columbia, Saskatchewan, Manitoba, and adjacent regions in the Yukon and Northwest Territories. The remaining production has come from Ontario and recently from Offshore Nova Scotia.

Canada, like the United States, will increasingly turn to frontier regions and less developed unconventional sources of gas (coalbed methane, for example) to maintain natural gas production capable of supporting domestic requirements and exports. However, both the Expected Policies and Expanded Policies scenarios in this report show declining levels of natural gas exports to the U.S. from Canada, particularly after 2010, for primarily the same reasons outlined above.



Lower 48 and Canada.

By 2020, Canada likely will be exporting less natural gas to the U.S. than it does today. Several observations work against the notion that Canada will be an endless source of gas supply to U.S. consumers. They are demonstrated in the graphic below, which is based on a forecast of supply and demand for natural gas made by the Alberta Energy and Utilities Board (AEUB) in 2002. First, most analysts believe that natural gas consumption will increase in Canada during the next fifteen years. The most often cited reasons include economic growth, an increasing demand for natural gas to generate electricity, environmental (air quality) issues and increasing natural gas use for enhanced oil recovery (much of that oil for export to the U.S.).

Second, resource depletion impacts are expected to be gripping the largest supply area of Canada, the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin, within the next five years, if they haven't already. The exhibit below shows the anticipated growth of gas demand and forecast for natural gas production declines made by the AEUB specifically for Alberta. Many forecasts of total Canadian demand and production look similar. That is, Canadian natural gas production, which has supported incremental growth in domestic consumption along with significant growth in natural gas exports to the U.S., declines, while domestic consumption of gas in Canada inevitably increases.



Only in the Existing Policies scenario are Canadian gas exports to the U.S. maintained as 13-15 percent of total U.S. consumption. As with the explanation of this phenomenon in the Lower –48 states, environmental or other constraints do not permit the construction of LNG infrastructure,

and the North American supply and demand balance, essentially supply constrained, results in gas acquisition prices in excess of \$13.75 per MMBtu by 2020. That encourages the drilling of marginally economic resources in Canada (as in the U.S.) and gas production grows. Of course, this scenario is very dependent on the successful development of other natural gas sources such as methane from coal seams in Canada.

Both the Expected Policies and Expanded Policies scenarios, however, reduce the volume of gas from Canada to the U.S. and reduce the relative level of gas supply in the U.S. attributed to Canada. By 2020, gas imports from Canada fall by 1 Quad annually (accounting for 8 percent of U.S. gas supply compared to 15 percent in 2000) in the Expected Policies scenario and by 2 quads under the Expanded Policies scenario, reducing Canadian contributions to overall U.S. gas supply to only 4 percent. In both cases the difference is made up by LNG imports (some of which may originally land in Canada) and flowing gas from Alaska. Like the explanation offered regarding Lower-48 states supplies, with the addition of significant quantities of imported LNG and other possible frontier sources, gas acquisition prices are reduced so sufficiently that drilling in Canada is not robust enough to offset the depletion impacts on traditional gas reservoirs.

Unconventional resources of natural gas may become a larger player in Canada's gas supply, but important unconventional sources of oil are likely to require significant quantities of gas for primary and secondary recovery. There are four general coalbed methane areas in Canada - Shallow Plains, Deeper Plains, Mountains and Foothills - and other coal areas are scattered throughout British Columbia and North of 60°. The Shallow Plains and Deeper Plains coals overlap in Central Alberta. Several coalbed methane projects are active in Western Canada. However, coal rank, which is an indicator of coalbed methane potential, increases moving from East to West. At the same time, the coal resource extends to a greater depth moving East to West. As a result, while the in-place coalbed methane resource increases moving in a westward direction, greater burial depths make recovery of a growing share of this resource uncertain.

Over 350 coalbed methane wells have been drilled in Canada, but there is still little production. In some ways, knowledge of coalbed methane recovery in Western Canada today is comparable to knowledge in the United States in the mid-1980s before significant production developed. More recent attempts to develop methane from coal seams have targeted lower-rank coals, which are more like those currently being developed in the Powder River Basin in the United States. Because of the production experience to date in the United States, Western Canada coalbed methane prospects could develop more quickly.

While estimates of coalbed methane gas-in-place in Western Canada range up to about 2,700 Tcf of gas, a more reasonable estimate at this point in time, and in light of available technology, is much smaller (about 500 Tcf-about 80 percent of which is in Alberta). For the purposes of this report 95 Tcf is viewed as technically recoverable. The fact is that any estimate of coalbed methane production capability remains very speculative until better supported by industry activity.



A complicating factor for natural gas production requirements, particularly in western Canada, is that of the recovery of oil from tar sands in northern Alberta. The United States receives more crude oil and petroleum products (about 2 million barrels per day) from Canada than any other country in the world, including Saudi Arabia, Mexico and Venezuela.

Much of the future of oil production in Canada (billions of barrels) is locked up in tar sands that require a source of heat for steam production or other processes to separate the hydrocarbons from the sediments and bitumen in which they are contained. Estimates of natural gas requirements for these processes vary, but some analysts have speculated that all of the gas associated with a proposed pipeline from the Mackenzie Delta/Beaufort Sea (up to 1 Bcf per day) would be consumed by oil recovery associated with the tar sands. If so, other WCSB natural gas would be made available to domestic and U.S. markets. Nonetheless, the development of oil resources in Canada is expected to be a significant consumer of natural gas in the future.

Canada may become a focal point of world LNG trade. Numerous projects for siting LNG regasification facilities have been proposed, particularly in eastern Canada, which could become a part of the burgeoning Atlantic basin LNG trade. Gas imported at these points could serve the Canadian Maritime Provinces, as well as other eastern population centers in Canada and even markets in the U.S. Northeast.

XI. DOMESTIC NATURAL GAS SOURCES WILL COMPETE WITH LIQUEFIED NATURAL GAS TO SERVE U.S. MARKETS

The movement toward increased LNG imports has begun. Liquefied natural gas is renewing itself as an increasingly import factor in U.S. gas markets. There are 113 active LNG facilities in the U.S., including import and export marine terminals, storage and peak-shaving facilities. In 2003, all four marine import terminals were operational (for the first time since 1981) and import levels (over 500 Bcf) doubled the previous record set 24 years earlier. This report projects imported LNG as a source of gas to U. S. customers as high as 28 percent of natural gas supply by 2020 (Expanded Policies scenario). To illustrate the magnitude of LNG operations, the second-largest producer of natural gas in the U.S., ExxonMobil, produced nearly 2.8 Bcf per day in 2003. One large LNG tanker can unload the same volume equivalent in less than 24 hours at the Cove Point, Maryland marine terminal. Simply put, LNG has an enormous potential to supply natural gas to the U.S. pipeline grid.

Even though LNG import facilities received a record volume in 2003, the gas represented less than three percent of all U. S. gas supplies and only 13 percent of all U.S. imports. However, existing import terminals are expanding with regasification capacity expected to increase by nearly 40 percent by year-end 2005 (see Exhibit XI-1). Additional expansions are identified for 2008, which would raise current baseload sendout capacity from about 931 Bcf annually to 1,782 Bcf annually, with even more potential identified in the form of expanded peak-day capability.

This report views expanding LNG capability as inevitable. By adding import facilities primarily in the Gulf of Mexico, the Expected Policies scenario grows LNG imports to 6.8 quads annually, accounting for about 22 percent of U.S. gas supply. Under the Expanded Policies scenario, siting of facilities is even less encumbered and LNG grows to account for 28 percent of U.S. gas supply, or about 8.6 quads annually. Both of these cases act to depress domestic production and imports from Canada slightly inasmuch as abundant LNG supplies push some of the more costly to recover domestic resource out of the market.

Only in the Existing Policies scenario does LNG fail to grow dramatically. Under the conditions of the Existing Policies scenario, no new LNG facilities are constructed due to siting opposition. The result is an LNG contribution to U.S. gas supplies of about 7 percent annually, or 2 quads, which implies that the current planned facilities expansions are the only additions to LNG capacity that are realized through 2020.

Exhibit XI-1

LNG CAPACITY AND PLANNED EXPANSIONS AT IMPORT TERMINALS

Facility	Owner	Storage	Daily Sendout Capacity (Bcf)			
Location		(Bcf)	Baseload	Peak		
Everett, MA	Tractebel/Distrigas	3.5	0 725	1 035		
Lake Charles, LA	Southern Union	0.0	0.120	1.000		
Ex Planned Expansion Planned Expansion	isting 2005 2007	6.3 3.0 0.0	0.630 0.570 0.600	1.000 0.300 0.800		
Cove Point, MD Ex Planned Expansion Planned Expansion	Dominion isting 2005 2008	5.0 2.8 6.8	0.750 0.000 0.800	1.000 0.000 0.800		
Elba Island, GA Ex Planned Expansion	El Paso/Southern LN isting 2005	G 4.0 3.3	0.446 0.360	0.675 0.540		
Total Existing Capacity Total Planned Expansio Total with Expansion (20	n 008)	18.8 16.4 35.2	2.551 2.330 4.881	3.710 2.440 6.150		

Source: Energy Information Administration, DOE, U.S. LNG Markets and Uses: June 2004 Update.

There are huge volumes of natural gas stranded around the world and many countries are focused on the current *window-of-opportunity* for developing international gas trade. There are a growing number of countries that are positioning themselves to monetize their otherwise stranded natural gas resources around the world. Some of them, like Venezuela, are in the western hemisphere and quite close to U.S. markets.

Exhibit XI-2



Source: Dominion Resources, Inc.

Transportation and distribution of LNG has a record of safe operations worldwide and it appears that current (and foreseeable) acquisition prices for natural gas lands LNG squarely in the competitive supply mix at roughly \$3.50-\$4.50 per mcf.

LNG facilities are likely to be constructed and expanded where there is the least public opposition. The list of competing LNG import facility proposals, once at about 35 announcements, has been reduced to about 27 during the past year. Those that have received regulatory approval include the Sempra Cameron LNG terminal in Hackberry, Louisiana, and the Port Pelican (ChevronTexaco) and Energy Bridge (Exelerate) offshore facilities (Louisiana), which have received licensing from the U.S. Coast Guard and Maritime Administration (MARAD). If even one of the offshore facilities for unloading LNG (Port Pelican or Energy Bridge) is constructed it will be the first of its kind in the world. Onshore LNG projects also have been proposed in Canada, Mexico and the Bahamas. These projects may directly serve U.S. markets or displace domestic gas so more production from these countries (Canada and Mexico) could be exported to the U.S.

Exhibit XI-3

PROPOSED LNG TERMINALS

Name	Location	Owner(s)	Capacity (MMcf/d)
West Coast			
Cabrillo Port LNG	Offshore Oxnard, CA	BHP Billiton	1,500
Crystal	Offshore Oxnard, CA	Crystal Energy	1,250
Terminal GNL Mar Adentro	Offshore Baja CA, Mexico	ChevronTexaco	750
Energia Costa Azul LNG	Onshore Baja CA, Mexico	Sempra/Shell	2,000
Sound Energy Solutions	Onshore Long Beach, CA	Mitsubishi	1,000
Gulf Coast			
Compass Port	Offshore Alabama	ConocoPhillips	1,000
Energy Bridge	Offshore Louisiana	Excelerate	500
Gulf Landing	Offshore Louisiana	Shell	1,000
Main Pass Energy Hub	Offshore Louisiana	McMoran	1,000
Port Pelican	Offshore Louisiana	ChevronTexaco	1,600
Altamira	Onshore Altamira, Mexico	Shell/Total	650
Cameron LNG	Onshore Hackberry, LA	Sempra Energy	1,500
Corpus Christi LNG	Onshore Corpus Christi, TX	Cheniere/BPU	2,600
Freeport LNG	Onshore Freeport, TX	Freeport/Cheniere/Contango	1,500
Golden Pass	Onshore Sabine Pass, TX	ExxonMobil	1,000
Ingleside Energy Center	Onshore Ingleside, TX	Occidental Petroleum	1,000
Port Arthur	Onshore Port Arthur, TX	Sempra Energy	1,500
Sabine Pass	Onshore Sabine Pass, LA	Cheniere	2,600
Vista del Sol	Onshore Quintana Isl, TX	ExxonMobil	1,000
Bahamas/Florida			
Calypso	Onshore Freeport, Grand Bahama	Tractebel Bahamas LNG	832
Ocean Express	Onshore Ocean Cay, Bahamas	AES	842
High Rock LNG/Seafarer	Onshore Grand Bahama	El Paso	820
East Coast			
Bear Head	Onshore Nova Scotia, Canada	Access NW Energy	1,000
Canaport	Onshore New Brunswick, Canada	Irving Oil	500
Crown Landing	Onshore Logan Twshp, NJ	BP	1,200
KeySpan LNG	Onshore Providence, RI	KeySpan/BG Group	525
Weavers Cove	Onshore Fall River, MA	Poten	800

Total

31,469

Yet opposition to new onshore facilities based on security and environmental concerns has arisen, particularly in market areas like the Northeast and Southern California. Even with the avoided costs of long-line transportation and the likelihood that a new market area source of natural gas would have a stabilizing impact on consumer prices, and even with proposals in areas with a rich history of maritime commerce, proposed onshore terminals have been defeated by local opposition – most notably and recently in Maine.

The path or strategy required to win approval for an import facility may be as simple as locating it where there is less local opposition. For example, in the Gulf Coast where the general public is well aware of the necessity for, and benefit of, large scale energy projects. Obviously, gas placed in the grid eventually finds its way to customers throughout the U.S. by direct transportation or displacement no matter where it initially enters.

Increased LNG imports can expand available natural gas supply and help moderate prices. Some analysts argue that although LNG can be landed in the U.S. at costs well below those seen in the market today (see exhibit XI-4), the price of LNG will be bid up to the prevailing market level set primarily by domestic gas and Canadian imports. Others suggest that the price of these traditional sources will be pulled down to the LNG price level.

Delivered Cost of LNG in the U.S. (\$ per MMBtu) Energy and Environmental Analysis (EEA)											
	Everett	Cove Point	Elba Island	Lake Charles							
Middle East Production Costs, including processing and transport to liquefaction facilities	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65							
Liquefaction	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09	All imported						
Representative LNG Shipping Rates					LNG is likely to						
A Igeria	0.52	0.57	0.60	0.72	be competitive						
- Nigeria	0.80	0.83	0.84	0.93	at a delivered						
Norway	0.56	0.61	0.64	0.77	cost of about						
Venezuela	0.34	0.33	0.30	0.35	\$3.50 per						
Trinidad and Tobago	0.35	0.35	0.32	0.38	MMBtu.						
Qatar	1.37	1.43	1.46	1.58	,						
Australia	1.76	1.82	1.84	1.84							
Regasification Cost	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30							
Total Cost of Middle East LNG 🤇	3.41	3.47	3.50	3.62	5						

It is the view of this report that given the construction of a sufficient number of receiving terminals, LNG facilities will operate at a high load factor and tend to push some higher cost domestic sources of gas out of the market. The worldwide gas resource is vast and diversely distributed. Roughly 93 percent of the world's gas reserves is located outside of North America. Further, based on existing infrastructure and gas demand, the U.S. is the most logical market for the bulk of the developing LNG supply worldwide for the foreseeable future. This view, however, does not preclude the knowledge that worldwide natural gas markets are expanding (for example, China) and that other countries are likely to increasingly compete with U.S. markets for LNG.

This report projects that increased LNG capability will lead to higher consumption levels and lower prices than otherwise would be the case. If LNG import capabilities are not significantly expanded, natural gas demand will be satisfied via higher cost domestic and Canadian sources.



Sources: British Gas and British Petroleum.

XII. ALASKAN NATURAL GAS REPRESENTS A CRITICAL UNCERTAINTY

Achieving a 30 quad natural gas market is unlikely without a significantly expanded supply contribution from Alaska. To reach 30 quads of annual natural gas consumption in the United States will require new sources of gas for consumers. Alaska is poised to be one of those new sources. However, it is very difficult to predict the political process or economic supports that may be necessary to realize this potential. That said, if the political process, economic hurdles and logistical challenge of actually manufacturing the pipe are overcome, the gas is available and ready to flow.

No supply case in this report assumes the delivery of new incremental supplies of gas to the Lower-48 states from Alaska before 2014. In the Expected Policies and Expanded Policies scenarios, gas from Alaska delivers about 4 Bcf per day into Lower-48 markets, growing to over 6 Bcf per day (2.2 quads per year) by 2020, accounting for about 9 percent of total U.S. gas supply. The Existing Policies scenario does not allow for permitting or construction of the line through 2020 and therefore no incremental gas is supplied to the Lower-48 from Alaska. This supply constraint is one reason why the overall gas market grows to only 27 quads annually under this case.

Most gas produced in Alaska today is reinjected rather than consumed. Gas production in Alaska dates back more than 50 years, beginning in 1949 at the Barrow gas field in North Alaska. Production, however, did not exceed 1 Bcf/year until 1961, after production began in the Cook Inlet area. Production did not average more than 1 Bcfd until 1977, when commercial oil production from Prudhoe Bay began. Additional areas for future gas production may include the National Petroleum Reserve, the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR) and coalbed methane area in South Alaska.

At the wellhead, Alaska is the third largest gas producing state in the United States, exceeded only by Louisiana and Texas. Since 1995 wellhead gas production has averaged over 9 Bcfd in Alaska. However, more than 80 percent of this production is re-injected due to the lack of the necessary infrastructure to move the gas to the Lower-48 and also to promote oil production. As a result, on a marketed basis, Alaska is only the eighth largest producing state. Marketed gas production in Alaska has averaged about 1.2 Bcfd (430 Bcf annually) since 1995. More than half of this marketed gas production is used as lease and plant fuel, and more than a quarter is used for LNG or ammonia manufacture for export. Less than 20 percent is used for "domestic" energy consumption in Alaska, and this market has shown almost no growth in the last 15 years.



Alaskan gas production and markets are at a transition point. In the Cook Inlet area new supplies will be needed in the near-term to meet gas demand. The critical issue for the Cook Inlet markets is whether these new supplies will be obtained from new discoveries in South Alaska or by delivering gas from North Alaska. On the North Slope, the relative economics of gas production for delivery to a market is becoming more attractive relative to continued re-injection.

Unlike Cook Inlet, North Alaska gas production increased between 1980 and 2002, although most of that growth occurred by 1995. North Alaska gas production has grown slightly since 1995 but oil production has declined almost one third. Currently, almost two thirds of the Btu content of North Slope hydrocarbon production is in the gas stream, compared to only 50 percent in 1995 and 29 percent in 1988, when North Slope oil production peaked. In Prudhoe Bay, the gas share of the hydrocarbon stream is larger, currently accounting for about three fourths of the Btu content. The Prudhoe Bay reservoir is at an operational point where marketing of the produced gas may be very attractive to the owners if it is economically possible to deliver that gas to a market.

North Slope natural gas reserves are only the *tip of the iceberg* when examining the total natural gas resource potential in Alaska. When a pipeline connecting Alaskan gas to the Lower-48 states is mentioned, most often the 40 Tcf of known reserves associated with North Slope oil production is cited as the foundation for the pipeline supply. The fact is that those reserves are only a small portion of total Alaskan gas potential. The Potential Gas Committee estimates a resource potential of 251 Tcf in Alaska, including gas from coal seams and offshore. However, much of that resource potential is considered speculative because it has not yet been fully explored.



Most of the press surrounding Alaska production issues centers on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR) and the National Petroleum Reserve Alaska (NPRA), outlined on the map above. However, there are large tracts of lands managed by the state of Alaska, as well as native lands that offer significant gas production potential and that are not hostage to the national debate on the environmental impacts of petroleum drilling. These properties are proximal to the proposed pipeline route and are far more likely to be gas-prone than oil-prone based on the geologic thermal history of the sediments in place. What they need for development is the transportation infrastructure that a gas pipeline would offer. **Constructing a transportation system to move Alaskan gas to the Lower-48 is likely a \$20 billion proposition.** The fundamental issues that impede all of the options described below to transport Alaskan gas to the Lower-48 states are quite simple – time and money. For example, the pipeline options entail roughly 10 years to construct with a price tag of some \$20 billion. Combining that much time and money in an uncertain gas market, including the unknown potential market impacts of a burgeoning LNG trade, present great risk to project sponsors. It is unlikely that any project will move forward without the enactment of some measures to reduce that risk. That is, there must be no question that, once constructed, the pipeline will operate competitively at full load, 365 days per year.

The figure below shows the market options for North Alaska gas relative to its nearest large markets in North America and North Asia. From the North Slope to Southern California via the Alaskan Natural Gas Transportation System (ANGTS) route, gas would move 3,600 miles. The distance to the Midwest market is about the same as Southern California, and continuing gas movement to the Northeast would add 900 miles or more. If LNG were ever developed to move North Slope gas to Southern California, gas would move about 3,400 miles, 900 miles would be by pipeline (800 miles in Alaska and 100 miles from Baja to Southern California) and 2,500 miles by ship as LNG. No matter how you do it, moving gas from the Alaska North Slope to the Lower-48 is a long-distance proposition.

Having said that, there are three principal pipeline options to move North Alaska gas to market. Two are gas pipelines to connect North Alaska gas to the North American gas transmission grid in Western Canada. A third is a gas pipeline to South Alaska, where the gas would be liquefied for transport by ship to Pacific gas markets in North America or North Asia.

The two pipeline options that would deliver gas to Western Canada are the Alaska Highway Corridor and the "Over the Top" Corridor, which would move gas from North Alaska to the Mackenzie Delta via an offshore pipeline and then down the Mackenzie Valley to Western Canada. For delivery of Northern gas to Pacific Coast gas markets, the nominal delivery point would be the Foothills Pre-Build pipeline at Caroline, Alberta. Deliveries to the Midwest may entail linkage to the Foothills Pre-Build and Alliance pipelines.



The Alaska Highway corridor is the site of the proposed ANGTS project that was proposed in the late 1970s. A pipeline in the Alaska Highway corridor will run about 2,000 miles from the North Slope of Alaska producing areas to the high-volume North American gas transmission network in Alberta. To keep gas transportation charges competitive for this option, volumes for proposed projects have been about 4 Bcfd, with some discussion of volumes approaching 6 Bcfd.

The over-the-top option that would move gas from Prudhoe Bay to the Mackenzie Delta is shorter than the Alaskan Highway route, but it would be an unlikely winner in the pipeline construction derby primarily due to environmental opposition, opposition by the state of Alaska and the fact that the produced and transported gas would then miss the Alaska population centers along the interior pipeline route. The shortest route, of course, would be to move gas to the south of Alaska near Valdez and then make it available for transport via LNG. At least insofar as the Lower-48 states are concerned this may be a non-starter simply because it is difficult to conceive of a LNG receiving terminal being built on the U.S. west coast due to citizen opposition. Even LNG projects in Mexico that could supply gas to the U.S. have met resistance.

XIII. NATURAL GAS TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HAVE A LIMITED OVERALL IMPACT ON THE U.S. MARKET

Mexico is expected to remain a net importer of natural gas from the U.S, although the import levels are likely to decline. Natural gas production in Mexico is about 1.8 Tcf annually from a resource base that likely exceeds 120 Tcf. More specifically, proved reserves are estimated by PEMEX to be 28.1 Tcf. In terms of gas-driven exploration activity, the country is lightly explored.

Under all scenarios examined in this report, natural gas trade between the United States and Mexico remains a net negative to the U.S. supply balance throughout the period 2005-2020. Net exports of natural gas from the U.S. to Mexico are projected to increase from 340 Tbtu in 2003 to 526 TBtu in 2005, decreasing to a net of 176 TBtu by 2020. There are numerous reasons why Mexico is not expected to be a net supplier of gas to the U.S., including the lack of sufficient transportation infrastructure, but perhaps even more important, there is a growing demand for gas in Mexico.

Primary energy consumption and natural gas consumption in Mexico are growing.

Primary energy consumption in Mexico grew 23 percent between 1991 and 2000. Most of that growth occurred after 1995, when the Mexican economy came out of its early 1990s doldrums. Gas consumption, specifically, grew more rapidly during the 1990s than total primary energy consumption. As a result, the gas share of Mexico's primary energy consumption grew from 21 percent in 1991 to almost 24 percent in 2000. Most of the increased share occurred after 1995.

Additionally, electricity consumption in Mexico grew more than twice as fast as growth in primary energy consumption during the 1990s. Although most Mexican electricity is generated by oil, most proposed new electricity generation capacity is gas-fired and a significant amount of existing oil-fired generation capacity may be converted to gas. As a result, the demand for gas in Mexico should surge as new gas-fired generation comes on line. The American Gas Foundation report, *Meeting the Gas Supply Challenge of the Next 20 Years – Non Traditional Gas Sources (December 2002)*, estimates that natural gas consumed for electricity generation could grow from less than 30 percent of the country's gas consumption to as much as 59 percent by 2020. Similar to the situation in the U.S., it is likely that growing gas demand for electricity generation in Mexico may result in higher gas consumption for this sector than for all industrial uses by 2020.

Mexico's gas supply outlook is not as robust as the demand outlook. Between 1995 and 2001 Mexican gas consumption increased about 300 Bcf, and one fourth of the increased Mexican gas supply came from the United States. In 2001, 10 percent of Mexican gas came from the United States.

Pipeline imports from the U.S. and/or LNG from other sources will play a growing role in Mexican gas supply. While all gas imports currently come from the United States, LNG is likely to become a significant factor in Mexican gas imports. In fact, in the most bullish of scenarios LNG could replace all U.S. gas supply in the Mexican market. Until significant LNG imports begin, the United States will supply any increased demand for gas imports by Mexico. In summary, because of a need to supply growing electricity demand in Mexico, Mexican gas demand is likely to grow. Unless a world-scale gas field, play, or basin beyond current expectations is discovered in Mexico, this rapid growth in Mexican gas demand will need growing gas deliveries from outside Mexico.

In the near term, the increased demand for imports likely will be met by increased deliveries from U.S. gas supply sources. By 2005, U.S. gas exports to Mexico are likely to exceed 1.4 Bcfd. If U.S. gas supplies remain tight in the near-term, this increased gas demand from Mexico would contribute to upward pressures on gas prices.

Should LNG terminals be developed in Mexico after 2005, Mexican demand for U.S. gas supply may begin to decline. The pace at which U.S. gas exports to Mexico tail off after 2010 will depend on the number and timing of additional LNG terminals in Mexico.

XIV. DRAMATIC MOVEMENT IS NOT ANTICIPATED FOR ANNUAL NATURAL GAS PRICES – UPWARD OR DOWNWARD

Average annual natural gas prices are projected to remain in the \$5 to \$6 range throughout most of the forecast period. Natural gas prices moved dramatically higher relative to historic normal levels late in the year 2000. Record cold temperatures late in 2000 pushed demand – and prices – upward, with an annual average price of \$4.29 per MMBtu set at the Henry Hub. In contrast, prices for most of the 1990s were in the low \$2.00 per MMBtu range. Prices averaged \$4.00 per MMBtu in 2001, fell back to \$3.37 per MMBtu in 2002, but rebounded to \$5.49 per MMBtu in 2003. The average price in 2004 is expected to be in the mid \$5.00 per MMBtu range. In addition to markedly higher price levels experienced since 2000, gas markets have exhibited far more short-term volatility than in past years. Spikes of \$7 to \$10 per MMBtu have been experienced in three of the past four years. In a study prepared for the American Gas Foundation,¹ natural gas prices were found to be more volatile than were the prices of all other commodities analyzed, with the exception of electricity.

Gas prices projected under the Expected Policies scenario average \$6.72 per MMBtu throughout the forecast period. The average for the five-year period 2001-2005 is \$5.26 per MMBtu, jumping to an average of \$6.72 per MMBtu for 2006-2010. Supply-side relief, primarily in the form of LNG, produces a reduction to \$6.31 per MMBtu in the 2011 to 2015 period. Market pressures push prices upward to \$7.14 per MMBtu in the final five-year period of the forecast, peaking at \$8.15 per MMBtu in 2020.

Prices projected under the Expanded Policies scenario move in a pattern similar to, but lower than, those projected in the Expected Policies scenario. Prices under this scenario average \$5.86 per MMBtu for 2006-2010, \$4.77 per MMBtu for 20011-2015, and \$5.41 per MMBtu for 2016-2020. The peak of \$5.47 in 2020 is 33 percent less than the Expected Policies final year price.

Prices in the Existing Policies scenario move upward earlier and far more sharply than in either of the other scenarios. The five-year average prices under this scenario are \$7.08, \$9.81 and \$12.50 per MMBtu, with a peak of \$13.76 per MMBtu in 2020. The peak price under this scenario is nearly 70 percent higher than the Expected Policies peak. The failure to construct new LNG receiving terminals pushes prices sharply upward as early as 2008 under this scenario.

Natural gas prices, when adjusted for inflation, are projected to decline modestly. As stated above, projected gas prices remain in a \$5-\$6 range for most of the 17-year forecast. Recognizing that this level is two to three times higher than the prevailing level of the 1990s, the projected prices actually fall when adjusted for inflation ("real" prices, stated in 2003 dollars). The Expected Policies real price in 2020 is \$5.35 per MMBtu, 3 percent below the price of \$5.49 per MMBtu set in 2003. The 2020 real price under the Expanded Policies scenario is \$3.59 per MMBtu – a 35 percent decline-while the Existing Policies real price of \$9.03 per MMBtu in 2020 is 64 percent higher than the actual 2003 price.

Projected prices in the Expanded Policies scenario are 33 percent lower than those of the Expected Policies scenario, but they are 70 percent higher in the Existing Policies scenario. The price trajectory of the Expected Policies scenario is not the midpoint of the two alternative scenarios. It is much closer to the Expanded Policies scenario than it is to the Existing Policies

scenario. Clearly, the actions of the Expanded Policies scenario can help to ease upward pressure on gas prices. However, the implication of the alternative scenario analysis is that a lack of action, as assumed in the Existing Policies scenario, will have a more dramatic impact on gas prices than will the positive actions of the Expanded Policies scenario– but in an upward direction.



Although price fluctuations are not expected to be extreme on an annual basis, short-term price volatility likely will persist. The nature of supply and demand in the natural gas market makes it vulnerable to price volatility. The demand for natural gas is capable of severe fluctuations over very short time intervals. Residential and commercial demand are largely determined by the weather, which drives the need for heating. Electricity generation gas demand is also driven by weather – hot weather in the summer that increases the need for air conditioning, and, to a lesser extent, cold weather in the winter that increases the need for electric heating. Industrial gas demand is driven by the level of economic activity, which can also fluctuate over short time intervals, although certainly not to the same extent as weather. Conversely, the supply side of the gas market is largely fixed in the short run. Wells and pipelines cannot be added and subtracted quickly as the temperature or GDP move up and down. The relatively fixed nature of supply coupled with extremely variable demand is conducive to price volatility. Demand moving quickly upward with a relatively fixed supply can push prices sharply upward, while an evaporation of demand with that same fixed supply pushes prices sharply downward.

The natural gas market was not as susceptible to price volatility in the 1980s and 1990s as it is today because the system was, in essence, overbuilt. There was surplus production and delivery capability on a national basis and changes in demand could usually be accommodated without placing undue strain on the system. Therefore the price response was limited. That surplus capability on the supply side has been eliminated. Since late 2000, spikes in demand have generally resulted in spikes in prices. It is unlikely that this situation will change in the near future. Investments will not be made in drilling rigs, pipelines and storage fields if these assets are not to be fully utilized. Utility regulators and investors will not allow it. Because the system is unlikely to be "overbuilt" in a physical sense, a reduction in price volatility may depend on other mechanisms, such as financial hedging and the use of long-term fixed price contracts.

Failure to complete large-scale supply projects would have a severe impact on natural gas consumers. The model employed for this study foresees a tight and tenuous natural gas market. Demand, driven primarily by electricity generation customers, continues to move upward. Supply can meet that demand, but it is pressed to do so and a return to pre-2000 price levels is not deemed plausible. The completion of large-scale supply projects, notably LNG receiving terminals and the Alaskan gas pipeline, as well as increased access for Lower-48 production, can take some of the pressure off domestic production in traditional areas. However, a failure to move forward with these options quickly would have severe consequences. As illustrated by the Existing Policies scenario, natural gas prices will move to levels never before experienced if new sources of supply are not introduced into the mix. Actions must be taken today to avoid these consequences in the very near future.

¹ American Gas Foundation for Oak Ridge National Laboratories, *Natural Gas and Energy Price Volatility*, October 2003.

APPENDIX I

EXPECTED POLICIES SCENARIO

Expected Policies Scenario

	Regional Natural Gas Consumption (Bcf)												1999-2010			
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	Annual % Change
United States																
Total Consumption	21,990	21,363	22,359	23,270	21,548	22,419	21,497	21,996	22,079	21,947	22,453	23,154	23,632	24,247	1888	0.74%
Residential	4,983	4,393	4,651	4,958	4,688	4,810	5,037	5,038	5,091	5,105	5,144	5,233	5,277	5,371	720	1.32%
Commercial	3,222	2,928	3,070	3,240	3,067	3,123	3,191	3,153	3,170	3,140	3,151	3,204	3,240	3,325	255	0.73%
Industrial	8,904	8,828	8,944	8,828	7,496	7.995	7.196	7;253	7;027	6,526	6,621	6,762	6,834	6,891	-2052	-2.34%
Cogeneration/1	1,481	1,474	1,492	1,471	1,179	1,249	1,116	1,124	1,091	993	1,000	1,021	1,028	1,034	-459	-3.28%
Power Generation	2,963	3,340	3,766	4,288	4,315	4,543	4,107	4,597	4,856	5,229	5,565	5,957	6,278	6,619	2853	5.26%
Cogeneration/1	0	0	24	120	280	466	525	600	655	748	818	889	955	1,019	995	40.77%
Pipeline Fuel	697	662	708	726	737	729	754	747	729	739	757	776	780	814	106	1.27%
Lease & Plant	1,221	1,212	1,220	1,230	1,246	1,220	1,211	1,207	1,206	1,208	1,214	1,222	1,222	1,227	7	0.05%
Cogeneration Total	1,481	1,474	1,516	1,591	1,459	1,714	1,641	1,725	1,746	1,742	1,818	1,909	1,983	2,053	537	2.79%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of both Industrial and Power Generation gas consumption. Cogeneration gas use for capacity constructed prior to 1999 is reported in the Industrial sector; gas consumption from capacity constructed from 1999 forward is reported in the Power Generation sector.

11-1e-zoos s:zs

Copyright 2001 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Used with Permission

APPENDIX I-1

Expected Policies Scenario

	Regional Natural Gas Consumption (Bcf)										2010-	2010-2020		
												Annual		Annual %
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Change	Change	Change	Change
United States														
Total Consumption	24,812	25,469	26,088	26,761	27,859	28,008	28,522	28,987	29,325	29,572	5326	2.01%	7213	1.34%
Residential	5,463	5,566	5,607	5,678	5,749	5,863	5,898	5,977	6,054	6,142	771	1.35%	1491	1.33%
Commercial	3,405	3,472	3,503	3,548	3,592	3,669	3,687	3,739	3,785	3,829	504	1.42%	759	1.06%
Industrial	6,928	6;928	7,027	7,084	7,315	7;238	7;316	7,517	7,557	7 ,';IA	622	n _. A70/.	-1430	-O. 83%
Cogeneration/1	1,040	1,042	1,055	1,064	1,098	1,093	1,097	1,130	1,133	1,129	95	0.88%	-364	-1.32%
Power Generation	6,999	7,463	7,899	8,371	9,069	9,106	9,473	9,577	9,747	9,906	3287	4.11%	6141	4.71%
Cogeneration/1	1,092	1,185	1,276	1,368	1,502	1,527	1,595	1,617	1,656	1,694	675	5.22%	1671	22.55%
Pipeline Fuel	787	801	812	840	899	906	924	956	964	964	150	1.70%	256	1.48%
Lease & Plant	1,231	1,239	1,241	1,239	1,235	1,227	1,224	1,221	1,217	1,218	-9	-0.08%	-3	-0.01%
Cogeneration Total	2,132	2,227	2,331	2,433	2,600	2,619	2,692	2,747	2,789	2,823	770	3.24%	1307	3.00%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of both Industrial and Power Generation gas consumption. Cogeneration gas use for capacity constructed prior to 1999 is reported in the Industrial sector; gas consumption from capacity constructed from 1999 forward is reported in the Power Generation sector. AGAOIL01 11-18-2004 9:26

> Copyright 2001 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Used with Permission

Expected Policies Scenario

			Regio	nal Indu	200	1-2010						
U.S. Total	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	Annual %Change
Total of All Industrial												···· A ·
Total of All End Uses	7,545	8,005	7,197	7,255	7,027	6,526	6,621	6,764	6,836	6,895	-649	-0.99%
Boilers	2,479	2,573	2,424	2,501	2,462	2,430	2,506	2,558	2,601	2,632	153	0.67%
Process Heat	2,635	2,825	2,528	2,558	2,473	2,188	2,194	2,249	2,262	2,274	-361	-1.62%
Other Uses	1,752	1,850	1,661	1,672	1,629	1,478	1,488	1,519	1,530	1,540	-211	-1.42%
Cogen Gas Use /1	1,179	1,249	1,116	1,124	1,091	993	1,000	1,021	1,028	1,034	-145	-1.45%
Ammonia Feedstock	370	426	319	280	235	212	211	211	211	211	-159	-6.07%
Methanol Feedstock	157	173	102	78	56	41	39	39	39	39	-118	-14.38%
Hydrogen Feedstock	152	157	162	167	172	177	182	188	193	199	47	3.05%
Food												
Total of All End Uses	599	623	586	585	581	538	542	552	557	564	-36	-0.68%
Boilers	223	229	226	229	231	229	233	237	239	241	18	0.85%
Process Heat	226	237	214	211	207	183	183	187	189	192	-35	-1.83%
Other Uses	150	158	146	145	142	126	126	128	129	131	-19	-1.48%
Paper												
Total of All End Uses	590	595	595	602	604	5135	584	583	5713	574	-16	-0.31%
Boilers	410	413	414	418	418	412	416	418	418	418	8	0.21%
Process Heat	55	55	55	56	56	52	51	50	49	47	-7	-1.60%
Other Uses	125	126	126	129	130	121	117	115	112	108	-17	-1.58%
Petroleum Refining												
Total of All End Uses	1,351	1,414	1,308	1,274	1,232	1,089	1,084	1,101	1,107	1,115	-236	-2.11%
Boilers	354	358	357	362	363	361	365	368	369	371	16	0.50%
Process Heat	797	846	759	727	691	579	572	583	587	592	-206	-3.26%
Other Uses	199	211	192	185	178	150	148	151	152	153	-47	-2.92%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of Other Uses. Cogeneration gas use reported here is only for cogen capacity constructed prior to 1999; gas consumption from capacity constructed from 1999 forward is reported in the Power Generation sector.

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

Used with Permission

APPENDIX I-3
Expected Policies Scenario

			Regiona	al Indust	trial Nat	ural Gas	Consun	nption (I	Bcf)		2001	-2020
U.S. Total	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Change	Annual %Change
Total of All												
Total of All End Uses	6,931	6,932	7,032	7,087	7,319	7,249	7,323	7,520	7,559	7,516	-28	-0.02%
Boilers	2,649	2,654	2,700	2,713	2,804	2,752	2,818	2,855	2,883	2,867	389	0.77%
Process Heat	2,282	2,276	2,305	2,329	2,410	2,394	2,394	2,489	2,491	2,466	-169	-0.35%
Other Uses	1,549	1,551	1,572	1,587	1,641	1,638	1,641	1,698	1,701	1,693	-59	-0.18%
Cogen Gas Use /1	1,040	1,042	1,055	1,064	1,098	1,093	1,097	1,130	1,133	1,129	-50	-0.23%
Ammonia Feedstock	208	206	206	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	-165	-3.06%
Methanol Feedstock	37	33	31	29	28	22	20	20	20	17	-140	-11.01%
Hydrogen Feedstock	205	211	218	224	231	238	245	252	260	268	116	3.02%
Food												
Total of All End Uses	568	572	579	586	598	603	604	621	622	623	24	0.20%
Boilers	242	244	246	248	251	252	255	258	260	262	39	0.84%
Process Heat	193	195	197	200	206	208	207	216	215	215	-12	-0.27%
Other Uses	132	133	135	137	141	142	142	146	146	147	-4	-0.13%
Paper												
Total of All End Uses	569	564	561	559	559	555	552	555	551	547	-42	-0.39%
Boilers	418	417	418	418	420	418	420	421	421	420	10	0.13%
Process Heat	46	44	43	43	42	41	40	41	39	38	-16	-1.83%
Other Uses	106	103	100	98	97	96	92	93	91	89	-36	-1.77%
Petroleum Refining												
Total of All End Uses	1,120	1,124	1,131	1,141	1,155	1,161	1,158	1,184	1,183	1,183	-168	-0.70%
Boilers	372	372	375	376	378	378	381	383	385	385	31	0.44%
Process Heat	595	597	602	608	618	622	618	637	635	634	-163	-1.20%
Other Uses	153	154	155	156	159	160	159	163	163	163	-36	-1.04%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of Other Uses. Cogeneration gas use reported here is only for cogen capacity constructed prior to 1999; gas consumption from capacity constructed from 1999 forward is reported in the Power Generation sector. AGAOIL01 11-16-2004 9:26

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

Expected Policies Scenario

			Regio	nal Indu	strial Na	atural G	as Const	Imption	(Bcf)		2001	-2010
												Annual
U.S. Total Chemicals	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	%Change
Total of All End Uses	2,602	2,986	2,470	2,510	2,341	2,219	2,310	2,394	2,447	2,478	-124	-0.54%
Boilers	822	910	781	828	780	763	815	848	879	899	77	1.00%
Process Heat	549	676	568	605	571	528	551	579	588	592	43	0.84%
Other Uses	551	643	538	552	528	498	513	530	536	538	-13	-0.26%
Ammonia Feedstock	370	426	319	280	235	212	211	211	211	211	-159	-6.07%
Methanol Feedstock	157	173	102	78	56	41	39	39	39	39	-118	-14.38%
Hydrogen Feedstock	152	157	162	167	172	177	182	188	193	199	47	3.05%
Stone, Clay and Glass												
Total of All End Uses	349	369	340	344	344	309	311	321	327	335	-14	-0.46%
Boilers	17	18	17	18	18	18	19	19	20	20	3	1.63%
Process Heat	287	303	278	282	281	251	252	261	266	272	-15	-0.61%
Other Uses	44	47	44	44	45	40	40	41	42	43	-1	-0.32%
Iron and Steel												
Total of All End Uses	318	334	338	368	368	338	329	325	316	309	-9	-0.30%
Boilers	50	53	56	60	60	59	60	61	61	61	11	2.23%
Process Heat	237	248	248	272	271	245	237	233	225	219	-18	-0.88%
Other Uses	31	33	34	36	37	33	32	31	30	30	-1	-0.52%
Primary Aluminum												
Total of All End Uses	89	85	82	82	80	71	69	70	68	66	-23	-3.23%
Boilers	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	0	0.23%
Process Heat	73	70	67	66	65	56	54	55	54	52	-22	-3.79%
Other Uses	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	-1	-3.60%
Other Primary Metals												
Total of Ali End Uses	191	169	137	137	134	117	116	117	118	119	-73	-5.18%
Boilers	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	-1	-2.45%
Process Heat	105	93	74	74	72	62	62	63	63	64	-42	-5.44%
Other Uses AGAOIL01 11-18-2004 9:26	81	72	59	58	57	50	50	50	50	51	-30	-5.05%

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

Used with Permission

APPENDIX I-5

Expected Policies Scenario

					Regi	onal Ind	ustrial N	atural C	Gas Cons	sumption	(Bcf)	2001-	-2020
U.S. Total	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	(Change	Annual %Change
Chemicals													/oenange
Total of All End Uses	2,493	2,480	2,543	2,552	2,707	2,603	2,683	2,749	2,779	2,731		130	0.26%
Boilers	907	903	934	933	1,005	949	993	1,011	1,024	998		176	1.03%
Process Heat	595	589	606	609	657	625	645	668	675	658		109	0.96%
Other Uses	541	538	549	552	582	565	575	592	595	585		34	0.32%
Ammonia Feedstock	208	206	206	205	205	205	205	205	205	205		-165	-3.06%
Methanol Feedstock	37	33	31	29	28	22	20	20	20	17		-140	-11.01%
Hydrogen Feedstock	205	211	218	224	231	238	245	252	260	268		116	3.02%
Stone, Clay and Glass													
Total of All End Uses	340	345	352	361	373	380	380	399	402	405		56	0.79%
Boilers	20	20	21	21	22	22	22	23	23	23		6	1.66%
Process Heat	276	280	286	293	303	309	309	325	327	329		42	0.72%
Other Uses	44	45	45	47	48	49	49	52	52	53		8	0.89%
Iron and Steel													
Total of All End Uses	305	299	296	295	295	295	284	295	291	284		-34	-0.59%
Boilers	61	61	61	61	62	61	62	62	62	62		12	1.14%
Process Heat	215	209	207	206	206	206	196	205	202	195		-42	-1.01%
Other Uses	29	28	28	28	28	28	27	28	27	27		-4	-0.80%
Primary Aluminum													
Total of All End Uses	64	61	58	57	56	55	51	53	52	50		-40	-3.03%
Boilers	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	10		0	-0.08%
Process Heat	49	46	44	43	42	41	38	40	39	37		-37	-3.59%
Other Uses	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		-3	-3.49%
Other Primary Metals													
Total of All End Uses	119	119	120	121	123	124	122	126	125	124		-67	-2.24%
Boilers	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		-1	-1.08%
Process Heat	64	64	64	65	66	66	66	68	67	67		-38	-2.36%
Other Uses AGAOIL01 11-18-2004 9:26	51	51	51	52	53	53	52	54	53	53		-28	-2.18%

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Used with Permission

Expected Policies Scenario

			Regiona	l Ind	ustrial N	atural	Gas	Consum	ption	(Bcf)	2001-	2010
U.S. Total	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	Annual %Change
Other Manufacturing												, o change
Total of All End Uses	869	837	770	765	759	708	714	727	735	746	-123	-1.68%
Boilers	358	348	334	340	342	340	346	351	355	359	1	0.03%
Process Heat	209	198	174	172	168	148	148	151	153	156	-53	-3.18%
Other Uses	303	290	261	253	249	220	220	225	228	232	-71	-2.93%
Non-Manufacturing												
Total of All End Uses	587	592	571	588	584	553	561	573	581	590	3	0.06%
Boilers	228	229	224	231	234	233	238	242	246	249	21	0.97%
Process Heat	96	98	92	93	91	84	84	87	88	90	-7	-0.80%
Other Uses	262	265	255	265	260	237	239	243	247	251	-11	-0.46%
Industrial Curtailments	49	10	1	2	0	0	1	2	1	4	-45	-24.40%

Expected Policies Scenario

					Regi	onal Ind	ustrial N	latural C	Gas Cons	sumption	(Bcf)		tool-2	2020
U.S. Total	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		Change		Annual %Change
Other														
Total of All End Uses	755	764	777	791	813	826	836	865	876	888			19	0.11%
Boilers	362	367	372	378	386	390	398	406	414	420			62	0.85%
Process Heat	158	160	163	166	172	175	176	185	186	188			-20	-0.54%
Other Uses	235	237	241	247	255	260	261	273	276	280			-23	-0.42%
Non-														
Total of All End Uses	598	605	615	626	640	648	652	673	677	681			94	0.79%
Boilers	252	255	258	261	265	267	272	276	279	282			54	1.12%
Process Heat	91	92	94	96	98	99	100	104	104	105			8	0.43%
Other Uses	255	258	263	269	277	281	281	294	294	295			33	0.62%
Industrial Curtailments	3	4	5	3	4	11	7	3	2	3			-45	-12.83%

AGAOIL01 11-16-2004 9:26

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Used with Permission

Expected Policies Scenario

					Power	Genera	ation Cap	acity	(GW)						1999-2	010
																Annual %
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	Change
LOWER-48																
TOTAL CAPACITY	723.2	727.4	736.0	763.8	799.9	865.0	920.8	927.8	935.7	951.5	956.5	961.6	965.9	972.0	236.0	2.56%
OIL/GAS CAPACITY	215.1	218.9	229.1	256.4	291.4	352.6	405.0	408.8	413.5	426.0	427.9	429.8	431.7	433.6	204.5	5.97%
CT/CC ADDITIONS	0.0	2.1	10.5	35.9	76.6	144.2	202.7	212.6	223.3	236.1	241.0	246.0	250.9	255.8	245.4	33.73%
COAL CAPACITY	304.5	304.5	304.5	306.4	307.2	309.6	311.9	314.3	316.7	319.0	321.4	323.7	326.4	329.1	24.6	0.71%
NUCLEAR CAPACITY	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	95.4	95.4	-1.1	-0.10%
HYDRO CAPACITY	100.0	100.2	99.0	97.7	97.7	97.9	98.3	98.6	98.8	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	0.0	0.00%
OTHER CAPACITY	7.2	7.3	6.8	6.9	7.2	8.4	9.0	9.6	10.2	10.9	11.7	12.5	13.3	14.9	8.1	7.35%

AGAOIL01 11-16-2004 9:26

Expected Policies Scenario

				P	ower Ge	neration (Capacity (GW)			2010-	2020	1999-	-2020
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2020	A	.nnual % ve Change	A Chang	nnual % ve Change	
LOWER-48	2011	2012	2010	2011	2010	2010	2017	2010	2017	2020	Chung	,e chunge	Chung	,e chunge
TOTAL CAPACITY	977.0	982.2	987.7	993.5	999.6	1013.3	1027.4	1042.1	1056.6	1072.5	100.5	0.99%	336.5	1.81%
OIL/GAS CAPACITY	436.5	439.4	442.2	445.1	447.9	451.6	455.3	458.9	462.6	466.3	32.6	0.73%	237.1	3.44%
CT/CC ADDITIONS	261.8	267.7	273.5	279.4	285.3	292.1	298.8	305.5	312.1	318.9	63.0	2.23%	308.4	17.67%
COAL CAPACITY	329.5	329.8	330.2	330.6	331.0	337.7	344.5	351.2	357.3	364.0	35.0	1.01%	59.6	0.85%
NUCLEAR CAPACITY	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.4	0.0	0.00%	-1.1	-0.05%
HYDRO CAPACITY	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%
OTHER CAPACITY	16.6	18.6	20.8	23.4	26.3	29.5	33.3	37.5	42.3	47.8	32.9	12.37%	40.9	9.71%

Expected Policies Scenario

				Po	wer Gen	eration Fo	ossil Fuel	Consum	otion (Qu	ads)					1999-2	2010
																Annual %
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	Change
LOWER-48																
FOSSIL FUEL CONSUMPTION	22.5	23.7	23.8	24.779	24.662	24.675	24.446	24.955	25.602	26.180	26.75	27.456	28.045	28.711	4.9	1.73%
GAS DEMAND	3.0	3.4	3.8	4.393	4.449	4.652	4.196	4.701	4.965	5.348	5.694	6.098	6.425	6.777	2.9	5.31%
Gas Curtailments	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.039	0.006	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.0	13.00%
Cogen Gas Use /1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.120	0.280	0.466	0.525	0.600	0.655	0.748	0.818	0.889	0.955	1.019	1.0	40.77%
COAL DEMAND	18.7	19.1	19.0	19.491	19.235	19.537	19.719	20.011	20.339	20.493	20.72	20.976	21.209	21.493	2.5	1.13%
OIL DEMAND	0.8	1.2	1.0	0.895	0.978	0.487	0.531	0.243	0.297	0.339	0.339	0.382	0.411	0.441	-0.5	-6.74%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of total Gas Demand. Cogeneration gas use reported here is only for cogen capacity constructed in 1999 and thereafter; gas consumption from capacity constructed prior to 1999 is reported in the Industrial sector.

AGAOIL01 11-19-2004 9:26

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Used with Permission

APPENDIX I-11

Expected Policies Scenario

					Power Ge	neration Fossil Fuel	Consum	ption (Quads)	20	10-2020	1999-202	0
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 2017	2018	2019	2020		Annual %	А	nnual
										Change	Change	Change	Change
LOWER-48													
FOSSIL FUEL CONSUMPTION	29.405	30.093	30.591	31.193	31.770	32.485 33.083	33.750	34.404	35.193	6.5	2.06%	11.417	1.88%
GAS DEMAND	7.167	7.645	8.092	8.576	9.296	9.341 9.713	9.814	9.985	10.149	3.4	4.12%	6.312	4.74%
Gas Curtailments	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.013 0.008	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.0	8.06%	0.003	10.62%
Cogen Gas Use /1	1.092	1.185	1.276	1.368	1.502	1.527 1.595	1.617	1.656	1.694	0.7	5.22%	1.671	22.55%
COAL DEMAND	21.739	21.912	21.992	22.027	22.038	22.403 22.784	23.225	23.647	24.140	2.6	1.17%	5.151	1.15%
OIL DEMAND	0.499	0.536	0.507	0.590	0.437	0.741 0.586	0.710	0.772	0.904	0.5	7.44%	-0.046	-0.24%

1. Cogeneratiion gas use is a part of total Gas Demand. Cogeneration gas use reported here is only for cogen capacity constructed in 1999 and thereafter; gas consumption from capacity constructed prior to 1999 is reported in the Industrial sector.

AGAOIL01 11-1e-2004 9:26

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Used with Permission

APPENDIX I-12

Expected Policies Scenario

				Reg	ional Na	atural G	as Bala	nce (An	nual Bcf	f)					19	999-2010
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	Annual % Change
United States/2,3																
Total Consumption	21,990	21,363	22,358	23,269	21,547	22,417	21,495	21,993	22,076	21,944	22,451	23,152	23,630	24,245	1,886	0.74%
+Storage Injections/1	1,886	1,993	1,656	1,533	2,380	1,637	2,406	2,045	2,097	2,313	2,294	2,234	2,265	2,231	576	2.75%
+ LNG Injections + Pipeline Exports	76	58	37	56	35	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	5	1.27%
+ Exports to Canada	529	435	539	581	789	735	883	819	784	806	825	884	897	893	354	4.70%
+ Exports to Mexico	33	48	55	100	140	266	340	409	512	461	212	240	240	272	218	15.73%
=Total Demand	24,513	23,896	24,644	25,540	24,893	25,098	25,165	25,309	25,511	25,566	25,824	26,552	27,074	27,683	3,039	1.06%
Total Production	19,207	18,862	18,922	19,088	19,383	18,734	18,528	18,414	18,382	18,488	18,744	18,889	18,916	18,985	62	0.03%
+ Supplemental Fuels	103	102	98	86	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	-19	-1,94%
+Storage Withdrawals/1	1,919	1,470	1,797	2,380	1,231	2,080	2,211	2,328	2,393	2,051	2,027	2,194	2,188	2,273	476	2.16%
+ LNG Withdrawals	68	52	<u>1.</u>	5 i	35	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	3	0.80%
+ Net LNG Imports	16	20	100	160	169	164	464	807	1,047	1,487	1,578	1,984	2,235	2,782	2,682	35.32%
+ Ethane Rejection	5	39	7	0	102	0	87	5	0	27	19	2	1	3	-4	-7.54%
+ Pipeline Imports																
+ Imports from Canada	3,494	3,577	3,856	3,997	4,261	4,227	4,087	3,918	3,830	3,671	3,540	3,563	3,822	3,739	-117	-0.28%
+ Imports from Mexico	11	10	50	6	7	0	0	0	0	9	73	53	60	49	-2	-0.29%
=Total Supply	24,822	24,131	24,866	25,769	25,266	25,324	25,496	25,592	25,770	25,852	26,099	26,804	27,340	27,949	3,083	1.07%
Balancing Item	309	236	222	229	374	226	331	283	259	286	275	252	266	266	43	1.62%

1. Sum of net monthly storage injections/withdrawals.

2. Net LNG Imports line item does not include LNG imports at Baja.

3. Imports from Mexico line item includes LNG gas delivered to Baja that is export to the U.S.

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Used with Permission

Expected Policies Scenario

					Reg	ional Na	tural Ga	s Balano	ce (Annu	al Bcf)	2010-20)20	1999-20	020
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	An	nual %	Α	nnual %
United States/2,3	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	2017	2010	2017	2020	Change	Change	Change	Change
Total Consumption	24,810	25,467	26,086	26,759	27,857	28,006	28,520	28,985	29,323	29,570	5,326	2.01%	7,212	1.34%
+Storage Injections/1	2,289	2,362	2,388	2,350	2,432	2,701	2,356	2,666	2,495	2,722	491	2.01%	1,067	2.40%
+ LNG Injections	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	0	0.00%	5	0.66%
+ Pipeline Exports														
+ Exports to Canada	825	855	858	1,122	2,368	2,340	2,521	3,121	3,146	3,124	2,231	13.34%	2,585	8.73%
+ Exports to Mexico	273	273	255	255	255	255_	255	255	255	255	-17	-0.65%	200	7.62%
=Total Demand	28,239	29,000	29,630	30,529	32,954	33,344	33,694	35,070	35,261	35,713	8,030	2.58%	11,069	1.78%
Total Production	19,071	19,239	19,286	19,524	20,688	20,524	20,614	21,158	21,110	21,124	2,139	1.07%	2,201	0.53%
+ Supplemental Fuels	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	0	0.00%	-19	-1.02%
+ Storage Withdrawals/1	2,362	2,367	2,337	2,387	2,342	2,667	2,455	2,515	2,655	2,666	393	1.61%	869	1.90%
+ LNG Withdrawals	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	0	0.12%	4	0.47%
+ Net LNG Imports	3,220	3,778	4,498	4,863	5,100	5,407	5,921	6,140	6,338	6,636	3,854	9.08%	6,536	22.12%
+ Ethane Rejection	5	16	8	11	9	63	14	1	0	20	17	20.84%	13	5.03%
+ Pipeline Imports														
+ Imports from Canada	3,691	3,714	3,597	3,846	4,969	4,830	4,833	5,395	5,312	5,382	1,643	3.71%	1,527	1.60%
+ Imports from Mexico	45	45	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	34	5.45%	33	2.40%
=Total Supply	28,512	29,278	29,927	30,832	33,310	33,692	34,038	35,412	35,616	36,029	8,080	2.57%	11,163	1.78%
Balancing Item	274	278	297	303	356	348	344	342	354	316	50	1.74%	93	1.68%

1. Sum of net monthly storage injections/withdrawals.

2. Net LNG Imports line item does not include LNG imports at Baja.

3. Imports from Mexico line item includes LNG gas delivered to Baja that is export to the U.S.

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Used with Permission

Expected Policies Scenario

Gas Prices (Nominal \$/MMBtu)

	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	AVG	Std Dev
Henry Hub														
1997	3.37	2.21	1.91	2.04	2.24	2.21	2.19	2.49	2.87	3.04	2.98	2.33	2.49	0.44
1998	2.11	2.22	2.23	2.44	2.13	2.16	2.20	1.85	1.99	1.89	2.09	1.68	2.08	0.19
1999	1.84	1.77	1.80	2.13	2.26	2.30	2.29	2.79	2.57	2.70	2.31	2.36	2.26	0.32
2000	2.41	2.66	2.78	3.02	3.58	4.30	4.05	4.39	5.02	5.03	5.49	8.69	4.29	1.65
2001	8.48	5.65	5.15	5.20	4.21	3.74	3.07	3.02	2.20	2.44	2.37	2.37	3.99	1.79
2002	2.32	2.28	3.02	3.39	3.52	3.22	3.04	3.13	3.55	4.13	4.06	4.74	3.37	0.68
2003	5.71	7.09	6.39	5.27	5.76	5.80	5.04	4.98	4.69	4.66	4.43	6.12	5.49	0.76
2004	6.05	5.40	5.38	4.42	5.62	5.73	7.08	6.38	5.68	4.95	5.75	5.85	5.69	0.64
2005	7.03	7.29	6.87	8.94	9.21	7.67	7.55	7.14	8.05	7.55	7.72	7.91	7.74	0.69
2006	8.98	9.08	8.27	7.40	9.13	7.91	7.84	7.57	8.71	7.76	8.04	8.17	8.24	0.58
2007	8.81	8.62	8.02	6.54	6.62	6.15	6.63	6.58	6.60	4.90	6.08	6.18	6.81	1.08
2008	6.70	6.62	6.08	6.68	7.04	6.44	7.09	6.96	6.46	5.54	6.37	6.48	6.54	0.41
2009	6.95	6.77	6.33	5.76	5.53	5.59	6.33	6.15	5.88	5.14	5.83	5.89	6.01	0.50
2010	6.34	6.16	5.74	6.63	6.99	5.96	5.79	5.93	6.27	4.82	5.67	5.82	6.01	0.52
2011	6.45	6.35	5.75	7.24	6.72	5.94	6.14	6.05	6.60	5.47	6.11	6.22	6.25	0.45
2012	6.97	7.01	6.19	5.58	6.72	6.97	7.84	7.53	7.00	5.96	6.82	6.98	6.80	0.60
2013	7.60	7.47	6.83	6.47	6.24	5.77	5.76	5.87	6.34	4.79	5.64	5.82	6.22	0.77
2014	6.52	6.52	5.91	7.48	7.87	6.68	6.78	6.82	7.71	7.27	6.81	7.42	6.98	0.55
2015	7.96	7.84	7.26	4.42	3.67	4.11	5.74	5.59	4.04	3.66	4.43	4.59	5.28	1.53
2016	5.42	5.78	5.08	11.23	9.98	9.15	8.62	8.25	8.78	6.53	7.83	8.01	7.89	1.80
2017	8.52	8.47	7.86	5.85	5.53	5.19	6.05	5.69	5.60	5.19	5.54	5.65	6.26	1.20
2018	6.42	6.47	5.78	6.63	7.98	7.11	7.43	6.77	6.73	6.22	6.53	6.72	6.73	0.55
2019	7.35	7.43	6.75	7.08	7.12	6.51	7.03	6.45	6.47	5.52	6.19	6.32	6.68	0.53
2020	7.21	7.49	6.69	9.09	9.79	8.50	8.60	7.72	8.58	7.83	8.05	8.21	8.15	0.81
AGAGIL01 11-18-2004 9:26														

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

APPENDIX I-15

Expected Policies Scenario

	Gas Prices (2003\$/MMBtu) nry Hub JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC AVG Std Dev														
Henry Hub	enry Hub JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC AVG Std Dev 997 3.81 2.50 2.15 2.30 2.52 2.48 2.46 2.79 3.21 3.40 3.33 2.60 2.80 0.49														
1997	3.81	2.50	2.15	2.30	2.52	2.48	2.46	2.79	3.21	3.40	3.33	2.60	2.80	0.49	
1998	2.35	2.47	2.48	2.72	2.36	2.40	2.44	2.04	2.20	2.09	2.31	1.86	2.31	0.22	
1999	2.03	1.94	1.98	2.33	2.48	2.51	2.51	3.04	2.81	2.94	2.51	2.56	2.47	0.34	
2000	2.61	2.87	3.00	3.24	3.83	4.60	4.33	4.69	5.35	5.35	5.84	9.21	4.58	1.73	
2001	8.97	5.97	5.43	5.47	4.42	3.92	3.22	3.16	2.29	2.54	2.46	2.46	4.19	1.91	
2002	2.40	2.36	3.12	3.48	3.62	3.30	3.11	3,19	3.62	4.20	4.12	4.80	3.44	0.68	
2003	5.77	7.14	6.43	5.29	5.78	5.80	5.02	4.95	4.66	4.62	4.38	6.05	5.49	0.78	
2004	5.96	5.31	5.28	4.33	5.49	5.59	6.89	6.20	5.51	4.79	5.55	5.64	5.55	0.62	
2005	6.76	7.00	6.58	8.55	8.78	7.30	7.17	6.76	7.62	7.13	7.27	7.43	7.36	0.65	
2006	8.43	8.51	7.73	6.90	8.49	7.34	7.27	7.00	8.04	7.15	7.39	7.50	7.65	0.56	
2007	8.06	7.87	7.31	5.95	6.01	5.57	6.00	5.94	5.95	4.40	5.45	5.53	6.17	1.02	
2008	5.98	5.90	5.41	5.92	6.24	5.69	6.25	6.13	5.67	4.85	5.57	5.65	5.77	0.38	
2009	6.05	5.88	5.49	4.99	4.77	4.82	5.45	5.29	5.04	4.40	4.98	5.01	5.18	0.45	
2010	5.39	5.22	4.86	5.60	5.89	5.02	4.86	4.97	5.24	4.02	4.72	4.84	5.05	0.46	
2011	5.34	5.26	4.74	5.96	5.53	4.87	5.03	4.95	5.38	4.45	4.96	5.04	5.13	0.38	
2012	5.64	5.66	4.99	4.48	5.39	5.58	6.26	6.00	5.57	4.74	5.40	5.52	5.44	0.48	
2013	6.00	5.89	5.37	5.08	4.88	4.51	4.49	4.56	4.92	3.71	4.36	4.49	4.86	0.63	
2014	5.02	5.01	4.53	5.72	6.01	5.09	5.16	5.18	5.84	5.50	5.13	5.59	5.32	0.40	
2015	5.98	5.88	5.43	3.30	2.73	3.05	4.26	4.14	2.99	2.70	3.26	3.37	3.92	1.16	
7AdC	n n∼	4.22	3.71	8.18	7.26	6.64	6.24	5.96	6.33	4.70	5.62	5.74	5.71	1.30	
2017	6.09	6.04	5.60	4.16	3.92	3.67	4.27	4.01	3.94	3.65	3.88	3.95	4.43	0.88	
2018	4.48	4.50	4.01	4.60	5.52	4.91	5.12	4.66	4.62	4.26	4.46	4.58	4.64	0.38	
2019	5.01	5.04	4.58	4.79	4.81	4.39	4.73	4.33	4.33	3.69	4.13	4.20	4.50	0.38	
2020	4.79	4.96	4.42	6.00	6.45	5.59	5.64	5.05	5.61	5.10	5.24	5.33	5.35	0.53	

AGAOIL01 11•18-2004 9:20

Copyright 2001by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Used with Permission

APPENDIX I-16

APPENDIX II

EXPANDED POLICIES SCENARIO

				Reg	ional N	latural	Gas Co	nsump	tion (B	cf)					1999-20	10
				c	,			•	,	,						Annual %
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	Change
United States																
Total Consumption	21,990	21,990 21,363 22,359 23,270 21,548 22,419 21,497 21,988 22,069 22,026 22,540 23,260 24,145 2 4,983 4,393 4,651 4,958 4,688 4,810 5,037 5,038 5,092 5,110 5,155 5,250 5,305														
Residential	4,983	4,983 4,393 4,651 4,958 4,688 4,810 5,037 5,038 5,092 5,110 5,155 5,250 5,305 3,222 2,928 3,070 3,240 3,067 3,123 3,191 3,153 3,172 3,147 3,166 3,227 3,280														
Commercial	3,222	2,928	3,070	3,240	3,067	3,123	3,191	3,153	3,172	3,147	3,166	3,227	3,280	3,393	323	0.91%
Industrial	8,904.	8,828	8,944	8,828	7,496	7,995	7,196	7,225	7,058	6,669	6,811	6,987	7,238	7,315	-1629	-1.81%
Cogeneration/1	1,481	1,474	1,492	1,471	1,179	1,249	1,116	1,125	1,096	1,018	1,036	1,062	1,102	1,119	-374	-2.59%
Power Generation	2,963	3,340	3,766	4,288	4,315	4,542	4,107	4,588	4,812	5,149	5,432	5,790	6,287	6,481	2716	5.06%
Cogeneration /1	0	0	24	120	280	466	525	599	647	734	793	858	948	993	969	40.44%
Pipeline Fuel	697	662	708	726	737	729	754	746	729	741	758	780	809	804	96	1.16%
Lease & Plant	1,221	1,212	1,220	1,230	1,246	1,220	1,211	1,207	1,206	1,210	1,217	1,226	1,226	1,226	6	0.04%
Cogeneration Total	1,481	1,474	1,516	1,591	1,459	1,714	1,641	1,723	1,743	1,751	1,829	1,920	2,050	2,112	595	3.06%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of both Industrial and Power Generation gas consumption. Cogeneration gas use for capacity constructed prior to 1999 is reported in the Industrial sector; gas consumption from capacity onstructed from 1999 forward is reported in the Power Generation sector. AGAOIL02 11-19-2004 11:19

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

					Regional I	NaturalGa	as Consun	ption (Bcf		201	0-2020	1999-202	20	
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Change	Annual % Change	Change	Annual % Change
United States														
Total Consumption	25,617	25,594	26,031	26,414	27,383	27,521	27,668	28,762	28,969	29,584	4947	1.85%	7225	1.34%
Residential	5,529	5,660	5,695	5,764	5,831	5,947	5,993	6,066	6,158	6,230	812	1.41%	1579	1.40%
Commercial	3,500	3,609	3,629	3,673	3,711	3,791	3,824	3,867	3,936	3,955	562	1.55%	885	1.21%
Industrial	7,511	7,336	7,335	7,476	7,761	7,711	7,613	7,971	7,963	8,027	712	0.93%	-917	-0.51%
Cogeneration /1	1,145	1,123	1,113	1,143	1,182	1,181	1,157	1,218	1,220	1,227	109	0.93%	-265	-0.93%
Power Generation	7,036	6,980	7,346	7,461	7,996	8,009	8,173	8,734	8,813	9,248	2767	3.62%	5483	4.37%
Cogeneration/1	1,088	1,089	1,158	1,184	1,282	1,288	1,341	1,425	1,447	1,521	528	4.35%	1497	21.92%
Pipeline Fuel	807	791	800	822	875	875	881	934	927	948	143	1.65%	239	1.39%
Lease & Plant	1,234	1,218	1,227	1,218	1,209	1,188	1,183	1,188	1,173	1,176	-50	-0.42%	-44	-0.18%
CogenerationTotal	2,233	2,212	2,270	2,328	2,464	2,469	2,498	2,643	2,667	2,748	637	2.67%	1232	2.87%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of both Industrial and Power Generation gas consumption. Cogeneration gas use for capacity constructed prior to 1999 is reported in the Industrial sector; gas consumption from capacity constructed from 1999 forward is reported in the Power Generation sector.

AGAOIL02 11-19-2004 11:19

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

					Region	al Industr	rial Natur	al Gas Co	onsumptio	on (Bcf)		2001-2010
U.S. Total	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Chan	nge Annual %Change
Total of All Industrial Sectors												0
Total of All End Uses	7,545	8,005	7,197	7,258	7,058	6,669	6,811	6,989	7,241	7,320	-2	-0.34%
Boilers	2,479	2,573	2,424	2,501	2,467	2,458	2,528	2,583	2,681	2,699	2	20 0.95%
Process Heat	2,635	2,825	2,528	2,558	2,488	2,259	2,300	2,374	2,463	2,491	-1	-0.62%
Other Uses	1,752	1,850	1,661	1,673	1,638	1,513	1,541	1,585	1,645	1,673		-79 -0.51%
Cogen Gas Use /1	1,179	1,249	1,116	1,125	1,096	1,018	1,036	1,062	1,102	1,119	-	-60 -0.58%
Ammonia Feedstock	370	426	320	281	237	217	216	216	216	215	-1	-5.84%
Methanol Feedstock	157	173	102	78	57	44	44	44	44	43	-1	-13.47%
Hydrogen Feedstock	152	157	162	167	172	177	182	188	193	199		47 3.05%
Food												
Total of All End Uses	599	623	586	585	583	549	557	571	583	592		-7 -0.13%
Boilers	223	229	226	229	232	231	234	237	240	242		19 0.90%
Process Heat	226	237	214	211	209	189	192	198	204	208	-	-18 -0.93%
Other Uses	150	158	146	145	143	129	131	136	139	142		-8 -0.58%
Paper												
Total of All End Uses	590	595	595	602	604	588	586	587	585	583		-7 -0.13%
Boilers	410	413	414	418	418	414	417	419	420	420		10 0.27%
Process Heat	55	55	55	56	56	53	51	51	50	49		-6 -1.19%
Other Uses	125	126	126		130	121	118	117	115	114	-	-11 -1.05%
Petroleum Refining												
Total of All End Uses	1,351	1,414	1,309	1,274	1,240	1,130	1,144	1,163	1,179	1,192	-1	-1.38%
Boilers	354	358	357	362	364	363	366	368	370	371		17 0.52%
Process Heat	797	846	759	727	698	610	619	633	644	652	-1	-2.21%
Other Uses	199	211	192	185	179	157	159	162	165	169	-	-31 -1.84%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of Other Uses. Cogeneration gas use reported here is only for cogen capacity constructed prior to 1999; gas consumption from capacity constructed from 1999 forward is reported in the Power Generation sector.

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

Used with Permission

APPENDIX II-3

			Regiona	al Indust	trial Nat	ural Gas	s Consun	nption (l	Bcf)		2001	1-2020
U.S. Total	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Change	Annual % Change
Total of All Industrial Sectors									-	0.001	107	0.000/
Total of All End Uses	7,517	7,341	7,341	7,480	7,767	7,713	7,616	7,975	7,965	8,031	487	0.33%
Boilers	2,791	2,712	2,767	2,778	2,917	2,866	2,848	2,976	2,954	2,977	498	0.97%
Process Heat	2,552	2,497	2,464	2,537	2,624	2,613	2,568	2,698	2,698	2,722	87	0.17%
Other Uses	1,711	1,681	1,660	1,713	1,768	1,772	1,735	1,828	1,833	1,846	95	0.28%
Cogen Gas Use /1	1,145	1,123	1,113	1,143	1,182	1,181	1,157	1,218	1,220	1,227	48	0.21%
Ammonia Feedstock	215	210	207	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	-165	-3.06%
Methanol Feedstock	42	30	25	23	22	20	15	15	15	14	-144	-12.08%
Hydrogen Feedstock	205	211	218	224	231	238	245	252	260	268	116	3.02%
Food												
Total of All End Uses	598	602	599	616	621	630	628	642	649	655	56	0.47%
Boilers	244	245	247	250	252	254	256	259	261	263	40	0.87%
Process Heat	210	212	209	218	219	223	221	228	230	233	6	0.15%
Other Uses	144	145	143	149	150	153	151	156	158	159	9	0.32%
Paper												
Total of All End Uses	579	574	565	568	564	565	557	560	559	556	-34	-0.31%
Boilers	421	419	419	420	422	422	421	422	422	423	13	0.16%
Process Heat	48	47	44	44	43	43	41	42	41	40	-15	-1.61%
Other Uses	111	108	102		100	100	95	96	95	93	-32	-1.54%
Petroleum Refining												
Total of All End Uses	1,199	1,203	1,195	1,219	1,224	1,235	1,232	1,249	1,257	1,264	-86	-0.35%
Boilers	372	374	375	377	379	380	382	383	385	386	32	0.46%
Process Heat	657	659	653	669	672	679	677	688	693	698	-99	-0.70%
Other Uses	169	170	168	173	173	175	173	177	179	180	-19	-0.53%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of Other Uses. Cogeneration gas use reported here is only for cogen capacity constructed prior to 1999; gas consumption from capacity constructed from 1999 forward is reported in the Power Generation sector.

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

Used with Permission

APPENDIX II-4

		2001	-2010									
U.S. Total	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	Annual %Change
Chemicals												
Total of All End Uses	2,602	2,986	2,470	2,512	2,354	2,272	2,371	2,462	2,644	2,665	64	0.27%
Boilers	822	910	781	828	783	780	831	869	951	959	136	1.72%
Process Heat	549	676	569	606	574	545	573	602	658	662	113	2.10%
Other Uses	551	643	538	552	530	508	526	544	582	588	36	0.71%
Ammonia Feedstock	370	426	320	281	237	217	216	216	216	215	-155	-5.84%
Methanol Feedstock	157	173	102	78	57	44	44	44	44	43	-115	-13.47%
Hydrogen Feedstock	152	157	162	167	172	177	182	188	193	199	47	3.05%
Stone, Clay and Glass												
Total of All End Uses	349	369	340	344	345	316	323	337	348	359	10	0.31
Boilers	17	18	17	18	18	18	19	19	20	20	3	1.70%
Process Heat	287	303	278	282	282	257	263	274	284	292	5	0.19%
Other Uses	44	47	44	44	45	41	42	43	45	47	2	0.53%
Iron and Steel												
Total of All End Uses	318	334	338	369	369	339	332	333	332	330	12	0.41%
Boilers	50	53	56	60	61	60	60	61	61	61	11	2.31
Process Heat	237	248	248	272	272	246	240	240	239	236	0	-0.02%
Other Uses	31	33	34	36	37	33	32	32	32	32	1	0.34%
Primary Aluminum												
Total of All End Uses	89	85	82		81	72	71	73	73	74	-16	-2.10%
Boilers	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	0	0.24%
Process Heat	73	70	67	66	65	57	56	58	58	59	-15	-2.47%
Other Uses	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	-1	-2.17%
Other Primary Metals												
Total of All End Uses	191	169	137	137	134	120	120	123	126	128	-63	-4.35%
Boilers	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	-1	-2.38%
Process Heat	105	93	74	74	72	64	65	66	68	69	-36	-4.60%
Other Uses AGAOIL02 11-19-2004 11:19	81	72	59	58	58	51	52	53	54	55	-26	-4.18%

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

					Regi	onal Ind	ustrial N	atural (Gas Cons	sumption	(Bcf)	2001-	2020
U.S. Total	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		Change	Annual %Change
Chemicals	-011	2012	2010	2011	2010	2010	-017	2010	-017	2020		Chunge	/veninge
Total of All End Uses	2,830	2,638	2,687	2,700	2,962	2,842	2,773	3,023	2,959	2,982		380	0.72%
Boilers	1,037	952	996	989	1,112	1,047	1,019	1,126	1,089	1,096		274	1.52%
Process Heat	711	651	659	666	749	709	687	767	744	752		203	1.67%
Other Uses	619	583	583	592	643	624	602	658	646	648		96	0.85%
Ammonia Feedstock	215	210	207	205	205	205	205	205	205	205		-165	-3.06%
Methanol Feedstock	42	30	25	23	22	20	15	15	15	14		-144	-12.08%
Hydrogen Feedstock	205	211	218	224	231	238	245	252	260	268		116	3.02%
Stone, Clay and Glass													
Total of All End Uses	365	371	369	387	393	402	403	418	427	435		86	1.17%
Boilers	20	21	21	21	22	22	22	23	23	24		7	1.71%
Process Heat	298	302	301	315	320	328	328	341	348	355		67	1.12%
Other Uses	47	48	48	50	51	52	52	54	56	57		12	1.30%
Iron and Steel													
Total of All End Uses	327	323	304	316	309	314	298	306	309	307		-11	-0.19%
Boilers	62	61	61	62	62	62	62	63	63	63		13	1.20%
Process Heat	234	230	214	224	218	222	208	215	217	215		-22	-0.51%
Other Uses	31	31	29	30	29	30	28	29	29	29		-2	-0.32%
Primary Aluminum													
Total of All End Uses	72	69	61		60	61	56	57	57	55		-34	-2.48%
Boilers	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	10		0	-0.07%
Process Heat	57	55	47	49	46	47	42	43	43	42		-32	-2.92%
Other Uses	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3		-2	-2.79%
Other Primary Metals													
Total of All End Uses	129	129	126	131	130	132	130	133	134	134		-57	-1.85%
Boilers	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		-1	-1.03%
Process Heat	69	69	68	70	70	71	70	71	72	72		-33	-1.96%
Other Uses	55	55	54	56	56	57	55	57	58	58		-23	-1.76%

AGADIL02 11-19-2004 11:19

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

Used with Permission

APPENDIX II-6

U.S. Total	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	Annual %Change
Other Manufacturing												/ochange
Total of All End Uses	869	837	770	766	762	721	733	750	767	782	-87	-1.17%
Boilers	358	348	334	340	342	342	347	352	357	361	3	0.10%
Process Heat	209	198	174	172	169	152	155	161	166	170	-39	-2.27%
Other Uses	303	290	262	253	250	226	231	238	244	251	-51	-2.04%
Non-Manufacturing												
Total of All End Uses	587	592	571	588	586	562	573	589	603	616	29	0.54%
Boilers	228	229	224	231	234	235	239	243	247	250	22	1.01%
Process Heat	96	98	92	93	91	86	88	91	93	95	-2	-0.20%
Other Uses	262	265	255	265	261	241	246	256	264	271	9	0.38%
Industrial Curtailments	49	10	1	2	0	0	0	2	3	5	-44	-22.46%

Regional Industrial Natural Gas Consumption (Bcf)

AGAOIL02 11-19-2004 11:19

2001-2010

					Regi	onal Ind	ustrial N	latural (Gas Cons	sumption	(Bcf)	2001	-2020
U.S. Total	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		Change	Annual %Change
Other Manufacturing													-
Total of All End Uses	794	801	802	829	843	862	866	895	914	932		63	0.37%
Boilers	366	369	374	381	388	394	400	409	416	424		66	0.90%
Process Heat	172	174	173	181	184	188	188	196	200	205		-4	-0.10%
Other Uses	255	258	255	268	271	279	278	290	297	303		0	0.00%
Non-Manufacturing													
Total of All End Uses	625	631	631	652	660	671	674	691	701	711		124	1.02%
Boilers	253	256	259	262	266	269	272	276	280	283		55	1.15%
Process Heat	96	97	97	101	102	104	104	107	109	111		14	0.73%
Other Uses	275	278	275	288	292	298	297	307	312	317		55	1.01%
Industrial Curtailments	6	5	6	4	6	2	3	3	2	4		-43	-10.41%

AGAOIL02 11-19-2004 11:19

1999-2010

Annual %

]	Power G	eneratio	n Capaci	ty (GW)							
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	Change
LOWER-48																
TOTAL	723.2	727.4	736.0	763.8	799.9	865.1	920.9	928.4	937.6	954.8	960.8	966.5	971.9	979.2	243.2	2.63%
OiL/GAS CAPACITY	215.1	218.9	229.1	256.4	291.4	352.6	405.0	408.8	413.5	426.0	427.9	429.8	431.7	433.6	204.5	5.97%
CT/CC ADDITIONS	0.0	2.1	10.5	35.9	76.6	144.2	202.7	212.6	223.3	236.1	241.0	246.0	250.9	255.8	245.4	33.73%
COAL CAPACITY	304.5	304.5	304.5	306.4	307.2	309.6	311.9	314.3	316.7	319.0	321.4	323.7	326.4	329.1	24.6	0.71%
NUCLEAR CAPACITY	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.6	96.6	97.1	98.5	99.8	100.8	101.5	101.4	102.0	5.5	0.51%
HYDRO CAPACITY	100.0	100.2	99.0	97.7	97.7	97.9	98.3	98.6	98.8	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	0.0	0.00%
OTHER CAPACITY	7.2	7.3	6.8	6.9	7.2	8.4	9.0	9.6	10.2	10.9	11.7	12.5	13.3	15.4	8.6	7.70%

AGAOIL02 11-132004 11:19

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Used with Permission

APPENDIX II-9

				I	Power Gei	neration C	Capacity (GW)				2010-2	020 1999-2	2020
											1	Annual %		Annual %
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Change	Change	Change	Change
LOWER-48														
TOTAL CAPACITY	987.5	996.6	1006.8	1018.6	1029.3	1039.4	1048.8	1057.2	1065.9	1074.8	95.7	0.94%	338.9	1.82%
OIL/GAS CAPACITY	433.8	434.0	434.1	434.3	434.5	434.8	435.1	435.4	435.8	436.1	2.5	0.06%	206.9	3.11%
CT/CC ADDITIONS	259.1	262.3	265.5	268.7	271.9	275.3	278.6	282.0	285.3	288.7	32.8	1.21%	278.2	17.12%
COAL CAPACITY	333.4	337.8	342.2	346.6	350.9	355.5	360.2	364.8	369.4	374.0	45.0	1.29%	69.6	0.98%
NUCLEAR CAPACITY	102.3	102.8	103.5	104.5	105.0	106.5	107.8	107.9	107.9	107.9	5.9	0.56%	11.4	0.53%
HYDRO CAPACITY	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%
OTHER CAPACITY	18.9	22.9	27.9	34.2	39.9	43.6	46.7	50.1	53.7	57.8	42.4	14.12%	51.0	10.71%

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

Used with Permission

APPENDIX II-10

	Power Generation Fossil Fuel Consumption (Quads)														1999-2	2010
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	Annual % Change
LOWER-48																
FOSSIL FUEL CONSUMPTION	22.5	23.7	23.8	24.779	24.662	24.674	24.441	24.934	25.502	25.968	26.473	27.106	27.625	28.228	4.5	1.57%
GAS DEMAND	3.0	3.4	3.8	4.393	4.449	4.651	4.196	4.692	4.920	5.266	5.556	5.925	6.436	6.636	2.8	5.11%
Gas Curtailments	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.039	0.006	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.0	16.94%
Cogen Gas Use /1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.120	0.280	0.466	0.525	0.599	0.647	0.734	0.793	0.858	0.948	0.993	1.0	40.44%
COAL DEMAND	18.7	19.1	19.0	19.491	19.235	19.536	19.714	20.002	20.295	20.393	20.614	20.851	20.967	21.322	2.3	1.06%
OIL DEMAND	0.8	1.2	1.0	0.895	0.978	0.487	0.531	0.240	0.287	0.310	0.302	0.330	0.221	0.270	-0.7	-10.80%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of total Gas Demand. Cogeneration gas use reported here is only for cogen capacity constructed in 1999 and thereafter; gas consumption from capacity constructed prior to 1999 is reported in the Industrial sector.

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

Expanded Policies Scenario

				Power	Generat	ion Foss	il Fuel	Consun	nption (Quads)	2	010-2020	1999	-2020
											A	nnual %	Aı	ınual %
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Change	Change	Change	e Change
LOWER-48											0	U	0	U
FOSSIL FUEL CONSUMPTION	28.854	29.624	30.111	30.676	31.225	31.890	32.388	33.038	33.704	34.516	6.3	2.03%	10.739	1.79%
GAS DEMAND	7.207	7.147	7.523	7.639	8.193	8.202	8.369	8.947	9.024	9.474	2.8	3.62%	5.637	4.40%
Gas Curtailments	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.002	0.006	0.0	10.30%	0.005	13.73%
Cogen Gas Use /1	1.088	1.089	1.158	1.184	1.282	1.288	1.341	1.425	1.447	1.521	0.5	4.35%	1.497	21.92%
COAL DEMAND	21.435	22.040	22.287	22.599	22.754	23.251	23.543	23.767	24.175	24.536	3.2	1.41%	5.546	1.23%
OIL DEMAND	0.213	0.437	0.301	0.438	0.278	0.438	0.476	0.324	0.504	0.506	0.2	6.47%	-0.444	-2.96%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of total Gas Demand. Cogeneration gas use reported here is only for cogen capacity constructed in 1999 and thereafter; gas consumption from capacity constructed prior to 1999 is reported in the Industrial sector.

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

	Regional Natural Gas Balance (Annual Bcf) 1														1999-	2010
	19	97 1998	8 1	999 20	00 20	001 2	2002 20	003 200	4 2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Chang	Annual e Change
United States/2,3																
Total Consumption	21,990	21,363	22,358	23,269	21,547	22,417	21,495	21,986	22,066	22,023	22,538	23,258	24,143	24,636	2,277	0.89%
+Storage Injections/1	1,886	1,993	1,656	1,533	2,380	1,637	2,406	2,048	2,106	2,328	2,293	2,252	2,259	2,343	687	3.21%
+ LNG Injections	76	58	37	56	35	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	5	1.27%
+ Pipeline Exports																
+ Exports to Canada	529	435	539	581	789	735	882	819	784	814	829	874	920	905	366	4.83%
+ Exports to Mexico	33	48	55	100	140	266	340	409	512	461	212	240	240	272	218	15.73%
=Total Demand	24,513	23,896	24,644	25,540	24,893	25,097	25,165	25,304	25,510	25,668	25,915	26,666	27,604	28,198	3,554	1.23%
Total Production	19,207	18,862	18,922	19,088	19,383	18,734	18,528	18,414	18,415	18,622	18,815	19,010	19,048	19,020	97	0.05%
+ Supplemental Fuels	103	102	98	86	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	-19	-1.94%
+Storage Withdrawals/1	1,919	1,470	1,797	2,380	1,231	2,080	2,211	2,325	2,370	2,053	2,073	2,228	2,179	2,332	535	2.40%
+ LNG Withdrawals	68	52	36	51	35	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	3	0.80%
+ Net LNG Imports	16	20	100	160	169	164	464	807	1,047	1,487	1,578	1,984	2,673	3,293	3,193	37.41%
+ Ethane Rejection	5	39	7	0	102	0	87	5	0	11	6	0	0	0	-7	-100.00%
+ Pipeline Imports																
+ Imports from Canada	3,494	3,577	3,856	3,997	4,261	4,227	4,087	3,916	3,821	3,640	3,520	3,524	3,777	3,649	-207	-0.50%
+ Imports from Mexico	11	10	50			0	0	0	0	9	73	53	60	49	-2	-0.29%
=Total Supply	24,822	24,131	24,866	25,:		25,324	25,496	25,587	25,772	25,940	26,183	26,919	27,856	28,461	3,595	1.24%
Balancing Item	309	236	222	229	374	226	330	283	262	272	268	253	252	263	41	1.54%

1. Sum of net monthly storage injections/withdrawals.

Net LNG Imports line item does not include LNG imports at Baja.
Imports from Mexico line item includes LNG gas delivered to Baia that is export to the U.S.

AGAOIL02 11-19-2004 11:19

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

Used with Permission

APPENDIX II-13

Expanded Policies Scenario

				Re	gional N	atural (Gas Bala	nce (An	nual Bc	f)	2010-2	2020	1999-2	020
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Aı Change	nnual % e Change	A Chang	Annual % ge Change
United States/2,3	25.615	25 502	26.020	26 442	27 200	27 540	27.666	20.760	20.067	20 592	4.046	1.05%	7 004	1 2 4 0/
	25,015	25,592	26,029	20,412	27,360	27,519	27,000	26,760	20,907	29,562	4,940	1.65%	7,224	1.34%
+Storage Injections/1	2,287	2,430	2,415	2,446	2,428	2,591	2,493	2,687	2,626	2,663	320	1.29%	1,008	2.29%
+ LNG Injections + Pipeline Exports	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	0	0.00%	5	0.66%
+ Exports to Canada	864	855	865	1,132	2,320	2,343	2,470	3,091	3,103	3,114	2,208	13.15%	2,575	8.71%
+ Exports to Mexico	273	273	255	255	255	255	255	255	255	255	-17	-0.65%	200	7.62%
=Total Demand	29,080	29,192	29,607	30,288	32,426	32,750	32,925	34,834	34,993	35,656	7,458	2.37%	11,012	1.77%
Total Production	19,212	18,857	19,084	19,172	20,290	19,837	19,903	20,649	20,348	20,477	1,457	0.74%	1,555	0.38%
+ Supplemental Fuels	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	0	0.00%	-19	-1.02%
+Storage Withdrawals/1	2,357	2,573	2,289	2,464	2,303	2,705	2,516	2,513	2,765	2,640	307	1.25%	843	1.85%
+ LNG Withdrawals	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	0	0.12%	4	0.47 ⁹ /6
+ Net LNG Imports	4,060	4,437	5,045	5,410	5,593	6,242	6,596	7,290	7,670	8,319	5,026	9.71%	8,220	23.44%
+ Ethane Rejection	0	16	13	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	NA	-7	-100.00%
+ Pipeline Imports														
+ Imports from Canada	3,531	3,423	3,245	3,331	4,369	4,058	4,011	4,515	4,334	4,343	695	1.76%	488	0.57%
+ Imports from Mexico	45	45	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	34	5.45%	33	2.40%
Total Supply	29,323	29,469	29,877	30,579	32,756	33,045	33,231	35,169	35,319	35,981	7,520	2.37%	11,115	1.78%
Balancing Item	243	277	270	292	331	295	305	335	327	326	62	2.15%	103	1.83%

1. Sum of net monthly storage injections/withdrawals.

2. Net LNG Imports line item does not include LNG imports at Baja.

3. Imports fi le item includes LNG gas delivered to Baja that is export to the U.S.

AGAOIL02 11-19-2004 11:19

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Used with Permission

Gas Prices (Nominal \$/MMBtu)														
	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	AVG	Std Dev
Henry Hub														
1997	3.37	2.21	1.91	2.04	2.24	2.21	2.19	2.49	2.87	3.04	2.98	2.33	2.49	0.44
1998	2.11	2.22	2.23	2.44	2.13	2.16	2.20	1.85	1.99	1.89	2.09	1.68	2.08	0.19
1999	1.84	1.77	1.80	2.13	2.26	2.30	2.29	2.79	2.57	2.70	2.31	2.36	2.26	0.32
2000	2.41	2.66	2.78	3.02	3.58	4.30	4.05	4.39	5.02	5.03	5.49	8.69	4.29	1.65
2001	8.48	5.65	5.15	5.20	4.21	3.74	3.07	3.02	2.20	2.44	2.37	2.37	3.99	1.79
2002	2.32	2.28	3.02	3.39	3.52	3.22	3.04	3.13	3.55	4.13	4.06	4.74	3.37	0.68
2003	5.71	7.09	6.39	5.27	5.76	5.80	5.04	4.98	4.69	4.66	4.43	6.12	5.49	0.76
2004	6.05	5.40	5.38	4.41	5.61	5.71	7.07	6.38	5.64	4.92	5.72	5.82	5.68	0.64
2005	6.98	7.23	6.79	8.85	9.12	7.52	7.39	6.97	7.86	7.38	7.52	7.68	7.61	0.68
2006	8.69	8.70	7.86	6.92	8.47	7.10	7.11	6.85	8.03	7.23	7.40	7.53	7.66	0.65
2007	8.15	7.96	7.38	6.53	6.57	5.80	6.23	6.10	6.07	4.54	5.61	5.72	6.39	0.98
2008	6.22	6.15	5.63	6.31	6.77	5.86	6.43	6.18	5.79	5.08	5.72	5.84	6.00	0.42
2009	6.18	5.99	5.67	3.85	3.38	3.65	4.68	4.51	3.44	3.08	3.70	3.83	4.33	1.03
2010	4.35	4.19	3.71	6.01	6.41	5.21	4.84	4.97	5.30	4.08	4.88	4.95	4.91	0.75
2011	5.43	5.22	4.78	4.26	3.17	2.74	2.99	2.87	2.74	2.49	2.83	2.99	3.54	1.02
2012	3.89	4.16	3.60	6.08	7.50	7.17	7.40	6.93	7.51	6.67	7.11	7.22	6.27	1.43
2013	7.92	7.71	7.02	4.32	3.57	3.70	4.20	3.99	3.78	3.01	3.69	3.79	4.73	1.67
2014	4.77	4.83	4.28	6.50	6.77	6.14	6.29	5.80	6.30	5.87	6.02	6.15	5.81	0.74
2015	6.83	6.64	5.97	3	4	2.27	2.57	2.63	2.31	2.18	2.34	2.53	3.49	1.75
2016	3.43	3.58	2.93	5	.7	6.79	7.71	7.30	6.92	5.82	6.77	6.91	5.82	1.58
2017	7.78	7.83	6.95	6.35	5.90	4.93	5.00	4.85	5.58	5.21	5.29	5.39	5.92	1.03
2018	6.08	5.98	5.28	3.68	3.31	3.28	4.27	4.12	3.15	3.63	3.63	3.80	4.18	0.99
2019	4.67	4.84	4.15	6.19	6.31	6.10	6.38	5.95	6.24	5.10	5.85	6.03	5.65	0.72
2020	6.74	6.76	6.04	5.62	5.87	5.24	5.50	5.09	4.68	4.34	4.77	4.98	5.47	0.74

AGAOIL02 11-19-2004 11:19

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

Expanded Policies Scenario

Gas Prices (2003\$/MMBtu)														
	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	AVG	Std Dev
Henry Hub														
1997	3.81	2.50	2.15	2.30	2.52	2.48	2.46	2.79	3.21	3.40	3.33	2.60	2.80	0.49
1998	2.35	2.47	2.48	2.72	2.36	2.40	2.44	2.04	2.20	2.09	2.31	1.86	2.31	0.22
1999	2.03	1.94	1.98	2.33	2.48	2.51	2.51	3.04	2.81	2.94	2.51	2.56	2.47	0.34
2000	2.61	2.87	3.00	3.24	3.83	4.60	4.33	4.69	5.35	5.35	5.84	9.21	4.58	1.73
2001	8.97	5.97	5.43	5.47	4.42	3.92	3.22	3.16	2.29	2.54	2.46	2.46	4.19	1.91
2002	2.40	2.36	3.12	3.48	3.62	3.30	3.11	3.19	3.62	4.20	4.12	4.80	3.44	0.68
2003	5.77	7.14	6.43	5.29	5.78	5.80	5.02	4.95	4.66	4.62	4.38	6.05	5.49	0.78
2004	5.96	5.31	5.28	4.32	5.48	5.57	6.88	6.20	5.47	4.76	5.53	5.61	5.53	0.62
2005	6.71	6.94	6.50	8.46	8.70	7.16	7.02	6.61	7.44	6.97	7.08	7.22	7.23	0.65
2006	8.15	8.14	7.34	6.45	7.88	6.59	6.59	6.33	7.41	6.66	6.80	6.91	7.11	0.63
2007	7.46	7.27	6.73	5.94	5.96	5.26	5.64	5.51	5.47	4.08	5.03	5.12	5.79	0.93
2008	5.56	5.48	5.00	5.60	5.99	5.18	5.67	5.44	5.09	4.45	5.00	5.10	5.30	0.39
2009	5.38	5.21	4.92	3.33	2.92	3.14	4.03	3.87	2.95	2.63	3.16	3.26	3.73	0.91
2010	3.70	3.56	3.14	5.08	5.40	4.38	4.06	4.16	4.43	3.41	4.06	4.11	4.12	0.63
2011	4.51	4.32	3.95	3.51	2.61	2.25	2.45	2.35	2.24	2.03	2.30	2.42	2.91	0.86
2012	3.14	3.36	2.90	4.89	6.02	5.74	5.92	5.53	5.98	5.30	5.63	5.71	5.01	1.13
2013	6.25	6.08	5.52	3.39	2.79	2.89	3.27	3.10	2.93	2.33	2.86	2.92	3.70	1.33
2014	3.67	3.71	3.29	4.97	5.17	4.68	4.79	4.40	4.77	4.43	4.54	4.63	4.42	0.55
2015	5.13	4.98	4_47	2.42	1.81	1.69	1.91	1.95	1.70	1.61	1.73	1.86	2.60	1.32
2016	2.51	2.62	2.14	3.83	4.70	4.93	5.58	5.27	4.99	4.19	4.86	4.95	4.21	1.12
2017	5.57	5.59	4.95	4.51	4.18	3.49	3.53	3.42	3.92	3.66	3.71	3.77	4.19	0.75
2018	4.24	4.17	3.66	2.5		2.27	2.94	2.83	2.16	2.49	2.48	2.59	2.89	0.70
2019	3.18	3.29	2.81	4.1		4.11	4.29	3.99	4.18	3.40	3.90	4.01	3.80	0.48
2020	4.47	4.48	3.99	3.71	3.86	3.44	3.61	3.33	3.06	2.83	3.10	3.23	3.59	0.51

AGADIL02 11-19-2004 11:19

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

APPENDIX III

EXISTING POLICIES SCENARIO

	Regional Natural Gas Consumption (Bcf)															1999-2010
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change [/]	Annual % Change
United States																
Total Consumption	21,990	21,363	22,359	23,270	21,548	22,419	21,497	21,996	22,094	21,978	22,540	23,170	23,577	23,961	1602	0.63%
Residential	4,983	4,393	4,651	4,958	4,688	4,810	5,037	5,038	5,091	5,106	5,147	5,238	5,278	5,363	711	1.30%
Commercial	3,222	2,928	3,070	3,240	3,067	3,123	3,191	3,153	3,170	3,142	3,154	3,210	3,241	3,312	243	0.69%
Industrial	8,904	8,828	8,944	8,828	7,496	7,995	7,196	7,253	7,033	6,548	6,670	6,775	6,795	6,713	-2231	-2.58%
Cogeneration/1	1,481	1,474	1,492	1,471	1,179	1,249	1,116	1,124	1,092	997	1,009	1,025	1,024	1,011	-481	-3.48%
Power Generation	2,963	3,340	3,766	4,288	4,315	4,543	4,107	4,597	4,858	5,232	5,588	5,943	6,251	6,529	2763	5.13%
Cogeneration/1	0	0	24	120	280	466	525	600	655	749	821	887	951	1,017	993	40.75%
Pipeline Fuel	697	662	708	726	737	729	754	747	735	740	764	779	784	807	99	1.20%
Lease & Plant	1,221	1,212	1,220	1,230	1,246	1,220	1,211	1,207	1,206	1,209	1,217	1,226	1,229	1,237	17	0.13%
Cogeneration Total	1,481	1,474	1,516	1,591	1,459	1,714	1,641	1,725	1,748	1,746	1,830	1,911	1,975	2,028	512	2.68%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of both Industrial and Power Generation gas consumption. Cogeneration gas use for capacity constructed prior to 1999 is reported in the Industrial sector; gas consumption from capacity constructed from 1999 forward is reported in the Power Generation sector.

AGAOIL4b 11-30.2004 11:37

					2010-202	0	1999-	2020						
												Annual %	L	Annual %
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Change	Change	Change	Change
United States														
Total Consumption	24,356	24,614	24,879	25,230	25,192	25,585	25,610	25,805	25,884	26,113	2152	0.86%	3754	0.74%
Residential	5,431	5,503	5,514	5,553	5,598	5,675	5,703	5,758	5,816	5,899	537	0.96%	1248	1.14%
Commercial	3,358	3,383	3,371	3,374	3,385	3,414	3,423	3,443	3,467	3,506	193	0.57%	436	0.63%
Industrial	6,627	6,585	6,535	6,549	6,464	6,464	6,512	6,543	6,534	6,522	-190	-0.29%	-2421	-1.49%
Cogeneration /1	998	993	982	982	961	956	962	965	962	956	-55	-0.56%	-536	-2.10%
Power Generation	6,882	7,057	7,352	7,621	7,601	7,861	7,799	7,874	7,882	7,986	1456	2.03%	4220	3.64%
Cogeneration/1	1,096	1,149	1,214	1,270	1,279	1,329	1,332	1,351	1,364	1,388	371	3.16%	1365	21.39%
Pipeline Fuel	811	825	838	856	864	884	886	896	892	903	96	1.13%	195	1.16%
Lease & Plant	1,247	1,262	1,270	1,277	1,281	1,287	1,287	1,290	1,292	1,297	60	0.47%	77	0.29%
Cogeneration Total	2,094	2,142	2,196	2,253	Z240	Z284	Z294	Z317	Z326	Z345	316	1.46%	828	2.10%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of both Industrial and Power Generation gas consumption. Cogeneration gas use for capacity constructed prior to 1999 is reported in the Industrial sector; gas consumption from capacity constructed from 1999 forward is reported in the Power Generation sector.

AGAOIL4b 11-30-2004 11:37

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Used with Permission

	2001-2	2010										
												Annual %
U.S. Total	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	Change
Total of All Industrial Sectors												
Total of All End Uses	7,545	8,005	7,197	7,255	7,035	6,549	6,672	6,777	6,796	6,715	-829	-1.29%
Boilers	2,479	2,573	2,424	2,501	2,463	2,434	2,518	2,550	2,582	2,560	81	0.36%
Process Heat	2,635	2,825	2,528	2,558	2,477	2,199	2,219	2,262	2,246	2,208	-427	-1.95%
Other Uses	1,752	1,850	1,661	1,672	1,631	1,484	1,501	1,526	1,523	1,507	-245	-1.66%
CogenGasUse/1	1,179	1,249	1,116	1,124	1,092	997	1,009	1,025	1,024	1,011	-168	-1.69%
Ammonia Feedstock	370	426	319	280	235	213	211	21 1	21 1	2W	-16-"	6.28%
Methanol Feedstock	157	173	102	78	56	41	40	40	40	35	-122	-15.36%
Hydrogen Feedstock	152	157	162	167	172	177	182	188	193	199	47	3.05%
Food												
Total of All End Uses	599	623	586	585	581	540	545	554	557	559	-40	-0.76%
Boilers	223	229	226	229	231	229	234	236	238	238	15	0.72%
Process Heat	226	237	214	211	208	184	185	189	189	191	-35	-1.88%
Other Uses	150	158	146	145	142	126	127	129	130	131	-19	-1.53%
Paper												
Total of All End Uses	590	595	505	602	604	585	585	583	576	567	-23	-0.43%
Boilers	410	413		418	418	413	416	417	417	414	4	0.10%
Process Heat	55	55		56	56	52	51	50	48	46	-9	-1.86%
Other Uses	125	126	126	129	130	121	118	116	111	107	-18	-1.71%
Petroleum Refining												
Total of All End Uses	1,351	1,414	1,308	1,274	1,234	1,096	1,095	1,110	1,112	1,109	-242	-2.17%
Boilers	354	358	357	362	363	361	365	367	369	367	13	0.39%
Process Heat	797	846	759	727	693	584	580	590	591	589	-208	-3.31%
Other Uses	199	211	192	185	178	151	150	152	153	153	-47	-2.92%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of Other Uses. Cogeneration gas use reported here is only for cogen capacity constructed prior to 1999; gas consumption from capacity constructed from 1999 forward is reported in the Power Generation sector.

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

				Regiona	l Industria	I Natural	Gas Cons	umption (Bcf)		2001-	2020
LLS Total	2014	2012	2012	2014	2015	2016	2017	2049	2010	2020	Change	Annual
Total of All Industrial Sectors	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	2017	2010	2019	2020	Change	/ochange
Total of All End Uses	6,631	6,590	6,541	6,554	6,467	6,468	6,516	6,547	6,545	6,543	-1,002	-0.75%
Boilers	2,541	2,540	2,555	2,571	2,580	2,603	2,623	2,645	2,660	2,681	202	0.41%
Process Heat	2,160	2,130	2,079	2,072	2,003	1,982	1,994	1,993	1,975	1,953	-682	-1.56%
Other Uses	1,490	1,481	1,462	1,463	1,430	1,423	1,432	1,436	1,430	1,421	-330	-1.09%
Cogen Gas Use /1	998	993	982	982	961	956	962	965	962	956	-223	-1.10%
Ammonia Feedstock	200	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	-165.	3.06%
Methanol Feedstock	28	24	21	20	18	17	17	16	16	15	-142	-11.64%
Hydrogen Feedstock	205	211	218	224	231	238	245	252	260	268	116	3.02%
Food												
Total of All End Uses	561	562	555	557	549	546	550	551	550	547	-52	-0.48%
Boilers	237	239	241	242	245	246	248	251	252	255	32	0.70%
Process Heat	192	192	186	186	180	178	179	179	176	174	-53	-1.38%
Other Uses	132	131	128	128	124	122	123	122	121	119	-31	-1.21%
Paper												
Total of All End Uses	558	554	550	546	543	541	539	538	536	534	-56	-0.52%
Boilers	411	411		1	411	411	412	412	412	412	2	0.03%
Process Heat	44	43		11	40	39	38	38	37	37	-18	-2.08%
Other Uses	103	100	97	95	92	91	89	88	87	85	-40	-1.99%
Petroleum Refining												
Total of All End Uses	1,102	1,104	1,079	1,075	1,038	1,020	1,025	1,025	1,015	1,001	-350	-1.57%
Boilers	366	367	369	370	372	373	375	376	377	379	25	0.36%
Process Heat	584	585	564	560	530	514	517	515	506	493	-304	-2.50%
Other Uses	151	151	146	145	137	133	134	134	132	129	-70	-2.26%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of Other Uses. Cogeneration gas use reported here is only for cogen capacity constructed prior to 1999; gas consumption from capacity constructed from 1999 forward is reported in the Power Generation sector.

AGAOIUb

			Re	egional In	dustrial N	Natural G	as Consur	nption (B	cf)		2001	-2010
U.S. Total	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	% Change
Total of All End Uses	2,602	2,986	2,470	2,510	2,344	2,227	2,337	2,387	2,410	2,337	-264	-1.18%
Boilers	822	910	781	828	781	765	824	842	865	845	23	0.31%
Process Heat	549	676	568	605	572	531	560	577	573	543	-6	-0.13%
Other Uses	551	643	538	552	529	500	519	529	527	509	-42	-0.88%
Ammonia Feedstock	370	426	319	280	235	213	211	211	211	206	-164	-6.28%
Methanol Feedstock	157	173	102	78	56	41	40	40	40	35	-122	-15.36%
Hydrogen Feedstock	152	157	162	167	172	177	182	188	193	199	47	3.05%
Stone, Clay and Glass												
Total of All End Uses	349	369	340	344	344	310	313	324	327	332	-16	-0.54%
Boilers	17	18	17	18	18	18	19	19	19	20	2	1.51%
Process Heat	287	303	278	282	281	252	254	263	266	270	-17	-0.70%
Other Uses	44	47	44	44	45	40	40	42	42	43	-1	-0.37%
Iron and Steel												
Total of All End Uses	318	334	338	368	368	338	330	326	314	303	-15	-0.54%
Boilers	50	53	56	60	60	59	60	61	61	60	10	2.05%
Process Heat	237	248	248	272	271	245	238	234	223	214	-23	-1.14%
Other Uses	31	33	34	36	37	33	32	32	30	29	-2	-0.73%
Primary Aluminum												
Total of All End Uses	89	85	82		80	71	70	71	67	63	-26	-3.81%
Boilers	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	0	0.11%
Process Heat	73	70	67	66	65	56	55	56	52	49	-25	-4.47%
Other Uses	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	-2	-4.26%
Other Primary Metals												
Total of All End Uses	191	169	137	137	134	117	116	118	118	118	-73	-5.21%
Boilers	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	-1	-2.57%
Process Heat	105	93	74	74	72	63	62	63	63	63	-42	-5.49%
Other Uses AGAGIUb 1130-2004 11:37	81	72	59	58	57	50	50	51	51	51	-30	-5.05%

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.
			I	Regional	Industri	al Natura	al Gas Co	onsumpt	ion (Bcf)			2001-2020
												Annual
U.S. Total	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Change	%Change
Chemicals												
Total of All End Uses	2,278	2,248	2,252	2,261	2,249	2,275	2,297	2,320	2,333	2,351	-250	-0.53%
Boilers	829	818	822	825	820	831	837	844	845	849	27	0.17%
Process Heat	517	504	502	503	495	501	507	512	515	519	-30	-0.30%
Other Uses	493	486	484	484	480	483	487	491	493	495	-56	-0.56%
Ammonia Feedstock	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	-165	-3.06%
Methanol Feedstock	28	24	21	20	18	17	17	16	16	15	-142	-11.64%
Hydrogen Feedstock	205	211	218	224	231	238	245	252	260	268	116	3.02%
Stone, Clay and Glass												
Total of All End Uses	327	319	312	314	307	307	312	313	313	312	-36	-0.58%
Boilers	20	20	20	21	21	22	22	22	23	23	6	1.56%
Process Heat	265	257	251	252	247	246	250	251	250	249	-38	-0.74%
Other Uses	42	41	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	-4	-0.54%
Iron and Steel												
Total of All End Uses	294	287	280	276	269	265	263	259	256	252	-66	-1.22%
Boilers	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	61	11	1.02%
Process Heat	206	200	194	190	184	181	178	175	172	168	-69	-1.78%
Other Uses	28	27	26		25	25	24	24	23	23	-8	-1.56%
Primary Aluminum												
Total of All End Uses	58	54	51	49	46	44	43	42	41	40	-50	-4.19%
Boilers	11	11	11	11	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	-0.17%
Process Heat	44	40	38	36	33	32	31	30	29	27	-46	-5.08%
Other Uses	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	-3	-4.95%
Other Primary Metals												
Total of All End Uses	116	110	104	103	97	95	94	91	89	86	-106	-4.15%
Boilers	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	-1	-1.17%
Process Heat	62	59	55	54	51	50	49	48	47	45	-60	-4.39%
Other Uses	50	47	45	44	42	40	40	39	38	36	-44	-4.11%

AGAOIL4b 11•30•2004 11:37

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.

			- J					(,				
											A	nnual
U.S. Total	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	%Change
Other Manufacturing												
Total of All End Uses	869	837	770	765	760	710	718	730	735	741	-128	-1.76%
Boilers	358	348	334	340	342	340	346	350	354	355	-3	-0.10%
Process Heat	209	198	174	172	168	149	149	153	153	155	-53	-3.23%
Other Uses	303	290	261	253	249	221	222	227	228	231	-72	-2.96%
Non-Manufacturing												
Total of All End Uses	587	592	571	588	585	555	563	575	581	586	-1	-0.02%
Boilers	228	229	224	231	234	233	238	242	245	246	18	0.85%
Process Heat	96	98	92	93	91	64	85	87	88	89	-8	-0.94%
Other Uses	262	265	255	265	260	237	240	245	247	251	-11	-0.48%
Industrial Curtailments	49	10	1	2	1	0	1	2	1	3	-46	-26.92%

Regional Industrial Natural Gas Consumption (Bcf)

AGAOIL4b 11•30-2004 11:37

2001-2010

		2001-2020 Annual										
U.S. Total	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Change	%Change
Other Manufacturing												
Total of All End Uses	747	756	753	761	755	758	769	776	779	783	-86	-0.55%
Boilers	357	361	366	371	377	383	390	397	404	412	54	0.75%
Process Heat	157	159	156	157	152	150	152	152	151	149	-60	-1.77%
Other Uses	233	236	231	233	227	224	227	227	225	222	-80	-1.61%
Non-Manufacturing												
Total of All End Uses	590	597	606	613	613	615	623	630	634	637	50	0.43%
Boilers	247	249	252	255	259	262	265	268	272	275	47	0.99%
Process Heat	89	90	91	93	92	91	92	93	93	93	-4	-0.22%
Other Uses	254	258	262	265	263	262	266	269	270	269	7	0.14%
Industrial Curtailments	4	5	6	5	3	3	3	4	12	20	-46	-13.18%

1	99	9	-20)1	0	
---	----	---	-----	----	---	--

																Annual %
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010C	hange	Change
LOWER-48																
TOTAL CAPACITY	723.2	727.4	736.0	763.8	799.9	865.0	920.8	927.8	935.7	951.5	956.5	961.6	965.9	972.0	236.0	2.56%
OIL/GAS CAPACITY	215.1	218.9	229.1	256.4	291.4	352.6	405.0	408.8	413.5	426.0	427.9	429.8	431.7	433.6	204.5	5.97%
CT/CC ADDITIONS	0.0	2.1	10.5	35.9	76.6	144.2	202.7	212.6	223.3	236.1	241.0	246.0	250.9	255.8	245.4	33.73%
COAL CAPACITY	304.5	304.5	304.5	306.4	307.2	309.6	311.9	314.3	316.7	319.0	321.4	323.7	326.4	329.1	24.6	0.71%
NUCLEAR CAPACITY	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	96.5	95.4	95.4	-1.1	-0.10%
HYDRO CAPACITY	100.0	100.2	99.0	97.7	97.7	97.9	98.3	98.6	98.8	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	0.0	0.00%
OTHER CAPACITY	7.2	7.3	6.8	6.9	7.2	8.4	9.0	9.6	10.2	10.9	11.7	12.5	13.3	14.9	8.1	7.35%

Power Generation Capacity (GW)

AGAOIL4b 11-30.2004 11:37

					Power G	eneratio	n Capacit	y (GW)			20	10-2020	19	99-2020
											А	nnual %	Α	nnual %
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Change	Change	Change	Change
LOWER-48														
TOTAL CAPACITY	977.0	982.2	987.7	993.5	999.6	1013.3	1027.4	1042.1	1056.6	1072.5	100.5	0.99%	336.5	1.81
OIL/GAS CAPACITY	436.5	439.4	442.2	445.1	447.9	451.6	455.3	458.9	462.6	466.3	32.6	0.73%	237.1	3.44%
CT/CC ADDITIONS	261.8	267.7	273.5	279.4	285.3	292.1	298.8	305.5	312.1	318.9	63.0	2.23%	308.4	17.67%
COAL CAPACITY	329.5	329.8	330.2	330.6	331.0	337.7	344.5	351.2	357.3	364.0	35.0	1.01%	59.6	0.85%
NUCLEAR CAPACITY	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.4	95.4	0.0	0.00%	-1.1	-0.05%
HYDRO CAPACITY	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%
OTHER CAPACITY	16.6	18.6	20.8	23.4	26.3	29.5	33.3	37.5	42.3	47.8	32.9	12.37%	40.9	9.71%

				Power G	eneratior	n Fossil Fu	el Cons	sumption	(Quads)						1999-2	2010
																Annual %
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	Change
LOWER-48																
FOSSIL FUEL CONSUMPTION	22.5	23.7	23.8	24.779	24.662	24.675 2	24.446	24.955	25.602	26.180	26.754	27.458	28.049	28.700	4.9	1.73%
GAS DEMAND	3.0	3.4	3.8	4.393	4.449	4.652	4.196	4.701	4.967	5.351	5.717	6.083	6.397	6.684	2.8	5.18%
Gas Curtailments	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.039	0.006	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.0	12.04%
Cogen Gas Use /1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.120	0.280	0.466	0.525	0.600	0.655	0.749	0.821	0.887	0.951	1.017	1.0	40.75%
COAL DEMAND	18.7	19.1	19.0	19.491	19.235	19.537 1	19.719	20.011	20.339	20.492	20.714	20.982	21.219	21.496	2.5	1.13%
OIL DEMAND	0.8	1.2	1.0	0.895	0.978	0.487	0.531	0.243	0.296	0.336	0.323	0.393	0.432	0.519	-0.4	-5.35%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of total Gas Demand. Cogeneration gas use reported here is only for cogen capacity constructed in 1999 and thereafter; gas consumption from capacity constructed prior to 1999 is reported in the Industrial sector.

AGAOIL4b 11-30.2004 11:37

Copyright 2002 by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Used with Permission Energy and Environmental Analysis Inc.

Existing Policies Scenario

				Power G	eneration	Fossil Fu	el Consur	nption (Q	uads)		2010-2020		1999-	-2020
												Annual %		Annual %
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Change	Change	Change	Change
LOWER-48														
FOSSIL FUEL CONSUMPTION	29.375	30.018	30.516	31.093	31.669	32.386	32.963	33.630	34.278	35.059	6.4	2.02%	11.283	1.87%
GAS DEMAND	7.048	7.229	7.533	7.809	7.783	8.049	7.984	8.060	8.076	8.192	1.5	2.05%	4.355	3.68%
Gas Curtailments	0.002	0.005	0.006	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.013	0.023	0.0	33.09%	0.023	21.61%
Cogen Gas Use /1	1.096	1.149	1.214	1.270	1.279	1.329	1.332	1.351	1.364	1.388	0.4	3.16%	1.365	21.39%
COAL DEMAND	21.728	21.858	21.950	21.960	22.037	22.351	22.727	23.162	23.575	24.067	2.6	1.14%	5.077	1.13%
OIL DEMAND	0.600	0.931	1.032	1.324	1.848	1.986	2.253	2.407	2.627	2.801	2.3	18.36%	1.851	5.28%

1. Cogeneration gas use is a part of total Gas Demand. Cogeneration gas use reported here is only for cogen capacity constructed in 1999 and thereafter; gas consumption from capacity constructed prior to 1999 is reported in the Industrial sector. AGAOIL4b 11:30.2004 11:37

				Re	egional N	latural (Fas Bala	nce (Anr	1ual Bcf)						1999-2	2010
															A	Annual %
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Change	Change
United States/2,3																
Total Consumption	21,990	21,363	22,358	23,269	21,547	22,417	21,495	21,993	22,091	21,975	22,538	23,168	23,575	23,959	1,601	0.63%
+Storage Injections/1	1,886	1,993	1,656	1,533	2,380	1,637	2,406	2,045	2,102	2,318	2,291	2,244	2,287	2,241	586	2.79%
+ LNG Injections	76	58	37	56	35	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	5	1.27%
+ Pipeline Exports																
+ Exports to Canada	529	435	539	581	789	735	883	819	784	810	832	872	892	910	371	4.87%
+ Exports to Mexico	33	48	55	100	140	266	340	409	512	461	212	240	240	272	218	15.73%
=Total Demand	24,513	23,896	24,644	25,540	24,893	25,098	25,165	25,309	25,531	25,605	25,915	26,567	27,035	27,424	2,781	0.98%
Total Production	19,207	18,862	18,922	19,088	19,383	18,734	18,528	18,415	18,389	18,512	18,795	18,969	19,052	19,201	279	0.13%
+ Supplemental Fuels	103	102	98	86	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	-19	-1.94%
+ Storage Withdrawals/1	1,919	1,470	1,797	2,380	1,231	2,080	2,211	2,328	2,391	2,047	2,025	2,249	2,203	2,325	528	2.37%
+LNGWithdrawals	68	52	36	51	35	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	3	0.80%
+ Net LNG Imports	16	20	100	160	169	164	464	807	1,047	1,487	1,578	1,765	1,870	1,870	1,770	30.52%
 + Ethane Rejection 	5	39	7	0	102	0	87	5	0	23	17	11	6	62	55	21.93%
+ Pipeline Imports																
+ Imports from Canada	3,494	3,577	3,856	3,997	4,261	4,227	4,087	3,918	3,835	3,692	3,580	3,661	3,996	4,086	230	0.53%
+ Imports from Mexico	11	10	50	6	7	0	0	0	0	9	73	53	60	49	-2	-0.29%
=Total Supply	24,822	24,131	24,866	25,769	25,266	25,324	25,496	25,592	25,781	25,889	26,186	26,827	27,306	27,711	2,844	0.99%
Balancing Item	309	236	222	229	374	226	331	283	249	283	270	260	271	286	64	2.32%

1. Sum of net monthly storage injections/withdrawals.

2. Net LNG Imports line item does not include LNG imports at Baja.

3. Imports from Mexico line item includes LNG gas delivered to Baja that is export to the U.S.

AGAOM4 11-30-2004 11:37

				Regi	onal Natu	ral Gas Ba	alance (An	nual Bcf)				2010-2020		1999-2020
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Change	Annual % Change	Change	Annual % Change
United States/2,3														
Total Consumption	24,354	24,612	24,877	25,228	25,190	25,583	25,608	25,803	25,882	26,111	2,152	0.86%	3,753	0.74%
+ Storage Injections/1	2,233	2,371	2,278	2,307	2,400	2,339	2,402	2,368	2,429	2,434	193	0.83%	779	1.85%
+ LNG Injections	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	0	0.00%	5	0.66%
+ Pipeline Exports														
+ Exports to Canada	903	895	913	920	918	923	928	948	926	925	16	0.17%	386	2.61%
+ Exports to Mexico	273	273	255	255	255	255	255	255	255	255	-17	-0.65%	200	7.62%
=Total Demand	27,805	28,194	28,366	28,752	28,805	29,142	29,236	29,415	29,533	29,768	2,343	0.82%	5,124	0.90%
Total Production	19,414	19,717	19,882	20,049	20,152	20,271	20,294	20,366	20,418	20,528	1,327	0.67%	1,606	0.39%
+ Supplemental Fuels	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	0	0.00%	-19	-1.02%
+ Storage Withdrawals/1	2,356	2,311	2,282	2,323	2,297	2,333	2,360	2,372	2,435	2,497	172	0.72%	700	1.58%
+ LNG Withdrawals	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	0	0.12%	4	0.47%
+ Net LNG Imports	1,870	1,875	1,870	1,870	1,870	1,875	1,870	1,870	1,870	1,875	5	0.03%	1,775	14.99%
 Ethane Rejection 	109	170	187	214	270	270	291	309	347	372	310	19.67%	365	20.85%
+ Pipeline Imports														
 Imports from Canada 	4,207	4,324	4,346	4,512	4,534	4,663	4,713	4,787	4,805	4,869	783	1.77%	1,013	1.12%
 Imports from Mexico 	45	45	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	34	5.45%	33	2.40%
=Total Supply	28,119	28,560	28,768	29,169	29,324	29,615	29,730	29,906	30,076	30,343	2,632	0.91%	5,476	0.95%
Balancing Item	314	366	403	417	519	473	495	491	543	575	289	7.23%	353	4.63%

1. Sum of net monthly storage injections/withdrawals.

Net LNG Imports line item does not include LNG imports at Baja.
 Imports from Mexico line item includes LNG gas delivered to Baja that is export to the U.S.

AGAOIL4b 11-30-2004 11:37

Energy and Environmental Analysis Inc.

	Gas Prices (Nominal \$/MMBtu)													
	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	AVG	Std Dev
Henry Hub														
1997	3.37	2.21	1.91	2.04	2.24	2.21	2.19	2.49	2.87	3.04	2.98	2.33	2.49	0.44
1998	2.11	2.22	2.23	2.44	2.13	2.16	2.20	1.85	1.99	1.89	2.09	1.68	2.08	0.19
1999	1.84	1.77	1.80	2.13	2.26	2.30	2.29	2.79	2.57	2.70	2.31	2.36	2.26	0.32
2000	2.41	2.66	2.78	3.02	3.58	4.30	4.05	4.39	5.02	5.03	5.49	8.69	4.29	1.65
2001	8.48	5.65	5.15	5.20	4.21	3.74	3.07	3.02	2.20	2.44	2.37	2.37	3.99	1.79
2002	2.32	2.28	3.02	3.39	3.52	3.22	3.04	3.13	3.55	4.13	4.06	4.74	3.37	0.68
2003	5.71	7.09	6.39	5.27	5.76	5.80	5.04	4.98	4.69	4.66	4.43	6.12	5.49	0.76
2004	6.05	5.40	5.38	4.42	5.62	5.73	7.08	6.38	5.68	4.95	5.75	5.85	5.69	0.64
2005	7.02	7.29	6.86	8.92	9.16	7.62	7.48	7.07	8.02	7.52	7.67	7.86	7.71	0.68
2006	8.93	9.02	8.20	7.34	9.04	7.81	7.76	7.48	8.59	7.66	7.93	8.07	8.15	0.58
2007	8.69	8.48	7.92	6.30	6.28	5.88	6.36	6.29	6.14	4.51	5.70	5.80	6.53	1.17
2008	6.38	6.34	5.78	7.15	7.65	6.97	7.48	7.23	6.97	5.85	6.72	6.84	6.78	0.57
2009	7.38	7.20	6.70	6.12	5.94	5.94	6.60	6.44	6.38	5.40	6.14	6.23	6.37	0.52
2010	6.83	6.69	6.15	8.29	9.01	7.96	7.70	7.75	8.42	6.59	7.49	7.74	7.55	0.81
2011	8.40	8.33	7.71	8.75	8.73	8.10	8.50	8.24	8.76	7.51	8.24	8.35	8.30	0.37
2012	9.14	9.23	8.54	8.61	9.92	9.71	9.81	9.66	9.83	9.29	9.61	9.74	9.43	0.45
2013	10.32	10.23	9.64	9.49	9.74	9.75	9.92	9.84	9.86	8.50	9.41	9.58	9.69	0.44
2014	10.19	10.17	9.69	10.41	10.91	10.21	10.24	10.25	10.58	10.31	10.37	10.54	10.32	0.28
2015	11.15	11.21	10.60	11.15	12.00	11.47	11.58	11.32	11.83	10.83	11.11	11.47	11.31	0.38
2016	11.86	11.95	11.35	11.17	11.79	11.36	11.54	11.28	11.25	10.53	11.04	11.18	11.36	0.38
2017	11.77	11.78	11.16		2.95	12.01	12.22	11.77	12.17	11.19	11.71	11.84	11.91	0.47
2018	12.38	12.40	11.79		3.32	12.61	13.11	12.18	12.35	11.67	12.07	12.20	12.32	0.49
2019	12.81	12.87	12.25	10.07	4.29	13.64	13.65	12.70	13.71	12.08	12.86	12.98	13.15	0.66
2020	13.61	13.76	13.11	14.09	15.02	14.07	14.29	13.15	14.10	12.96	13.41	13.57	13.76	0.56

Energy and Environmental Analysis Inc.

						Gas Price	s (2003\$/N	AMBtu)						
	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	AVG	Std Dev
Henry Hub														
1997	3.81	2.50	2.15	2.30	2.52	2.48	2.46	2.79	3.21	3.40	3.33	2.60	2.80	0.49
1998	2.35	2.47	2.48	2.72	2.36	2.40	2.44	2.04	2.20	2.09	2.31	1.86	2.31	0.22
1999	2.03	1.94	1.98	2.33	2.48	2.51	2.51	3.04	2.81	2.94	2.51	2.56	2.47	0.34
2000	2.61	2.87	3.00	3.24	3.83	4.60	4.33	4.69	5.35	5.35	5.84	9.21	4.58	1.73
2001	8.97	5.97	5.43	5.47	4.42	3.92	3.22	3.16	2.29	2.54	2.46	2.46	4.19	1.91
2002	2.40	2.36	3.12	3.48	3.62	3.30	3.11	3.19	3.62	4.20	4.12	4.80	3.44	0.68
2003	5.77	7.14	6.43	5.29	5.78	5.80	5.02	4.95	4.66	4.62	4.38	6.05	5.49	0.78
2004	5.96	5.31	5.28	4.33	5.49	5.59	6.89	6.20	5.51	4.79	5.55	5.64	5.55	0.62
2005	6.75	6.99	6.57	8.52	8.74	7.25	7.10	6.71	7.59	7.10	7.23	7.39	7.33	0.65
2006	8.37	8.44	7.67	6.84	8.41	7.26	7.19	6.91	7.93	7.06	7.29	7.40	7.56	0.56
2007	7.95	7.75	7.22	5.73	5.70	5.33	5.75	5.67	5.53	4.05	5.11	5.19	5.92	1.10
2008	5.70	5.65	5.14	6.34	6.77	6.16	6.60	6.36	6.13	5.13	5.88	5.98	5.99	0.50
2009	6.43	6.26	5.81	5.30	5.14	5.12	5.68	5.53	5.46	4.62	5.24	5.31	5.49	0.48
2010	5.81	5.67	5.21	7.00	7.59	6.70	6.46	6.49	7.04	5.50	6.24	6.43	6.34	0.67
2011	6.96	6.90	6.37	7.21	7.18	6.65	6.97	6.74	7.14	6.11	6.70	6.77	6.81	0.32
2012	7.39	7.45	6.88	6.93	7.96	7.78	7.84	7.70	7.82	7.38	7.62	7.71	7.54	0.33
2013	8.15	8.06	7.58	7.45	7.62	7.62	7.73	7.66	7.66	6.59	7.27	7.39	7.56	0.38
2014	7.85	7.81	7.43	7.96	0 00	7.78	7.79	7.78	8.01	7.79	7.82	7.93	7.86	0.20
2015	8.38	8.40	7.93	8.3;		8.53	8.59	8.38	8.74	7.98	8.17	8.43	8.40	0.28
2016	8.69	8.74	8.29	8.14		8.24	8.36	8.15	8.11	7.58	7.93	8.01	8.23	0.32
2017	8.41	8.41	7.95	8.78	9.19	8.50	8.63	8.30	8.56	7.86	8.20	8.28	8.42	0.34
2018	8.64	8.63	8.19	8.16	9.22	8.71	9.04	8.37	8.48	7.99	8.25	8.32	8.50	0.35
2019	8.72	8.74	8.30	9.43	9.64	9.19	9.17	8.52	9.18	8.07	8.58	8.64	8.85	0.45
2020	9.04	9.12	8.67	9.29	9.89	9.24	9.37	8.61	9.21	8.45	8.72	8.81	9.03	0.39